Built Environments 15. Built Wetlands

DEFINITION

Built wetlands, also known as *constructed*, *artificial*, or *treatment* wetlands, are water treatment systems built with wetland soils and vegetation to mimic the ecological and biophysical processes that improve water quality in natural wetlands (EPA 2023). They are generally shallow channels or ponds with wetland plants into which wastewater or stormwater is directed for treatment (EPA 2000). Built wetlands can be used to treat urban stormwater runoff as well as wastewater (Scholz 2015). They can remove a variety of pollutants including suspended solids, nitrogen, phosphorus, hydrocarbons, and metals (Gelt 1997).

TECHNICAL APPROACH

There are multiple ways to categorize built wetlands. One primary way is by the direction of flow: horizontal or vertical (UN-HABITAT 2008). Both use similar design and construction approaches, with differences in how water moves through the wetland. General steps for creation of a built wetland are outlined as follows.

- **1. Excavation:** The project site is excavated and leveled to create a wetland basin that is level or slightly sloped (0.5% to 1%) toward the outflow in horizontal flow wetlands, with berms sufficient to contain rainfall during storms. Horizontal flow wetlands are generally 30–45 cm deep, and vertical wetlands 50–100 cm deep. If soils are highly permeable or if the wetland will be used for wastewater treatment, a liner should be used to prevent infiltration and protect groundwater quality (UN-HABITAT 2008).
- **2. Substrate addition:** The basin is filled with a substrate that distributes flow, traps particles, allows vegetation rooting, and supports the microbial community (UN-HABITAT 2008). A variety of substrates can be used, including natural materials (gravel, sand) or artificial and industrial products. Some artificial and industrial products are designed for high hydraulic conductivity and phosphorus sorption capacity and may be useful when nutrient removal is required (Wu et al. 2015).
- **3. Inlet and outlet construction:** Inlet and outlet structures are placed to allow effluent to flow into the built wetland and treated water to flow out of the wetland. There are a variety of inlet structures, including perforated pipes, channels, and gabions (cages filled with rocks). These structures should be placed so that water flows evenly throughout the entire wetland, rather than creating "dead zones" that are not in the flow path; vertical flow wetlands often require a network of pipes or channels to distribute the water over the wetland surface. Outlet structures are usually drainpipes or weirs that can be adjusted to set the water level in the wetland (UN-HABITAT 2008).

- **4. Vegetation:** Vegetation is established by transplanting seedlings or plants or by broadcasting seeds (UN-HABITAT 2008). Emergent wetland plants are primarily used in constructed wetlands; in North America, the most used species are *Typha latifolia* and other *Typha* species (Figure 1). Because built wetlands are designed to remove pollutants, it is important to consider the plants' tolerance of the toxins and nutrients in wastewater, as well as their ability to remove pollutants (Wu et al. 2015).
- **5. Water:** At first, clean water is introduced into the system to support plant growth. Once plants are established, increasing amounts of wastewater or stormwater effluent can be introduced. Wastewater needs to undergo primary treatment (separating suspended matter through settling) before the effluent is introduced into a built wetland (UN-HABITAT 2008).

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

Once established, built wetlands are relatively low-maintenance (UN-HABITAT 2008). Regularly required maintenance includes checking inlets and outlets, clearing debris and accu-



Figure 15.1 A stormwater treatment wetland in Apex, NC

Photo courtesy NC Wetlands

mulated sediment (especially if it blocks flows), and removing nuisance and invasive species (EPA 2000). Adjusting water levels and maintaining berms may be needed periodically. Because built wetlands are water treatment systems, water should be sampled and tested regularly to assess treatment efficacy (UN-HABITAT 2008).

FACTORS INFLUENCING SITE SUITABILITY

- Historic, degraded wetlands without a water source: Built wetlands can be used as a restoration approach for historic wetlands that no longer have a reliable water source (EPA 2000).
- ✓ Medium- to fine-textured soils: These types of soils are highly suitable for vegetation establishment, water retention, and pollutant trapping (MassDEP Wetlands Program 2008).
- In a floodplain, floodway, or existing wetland complex: Built wetlands should generally be sited outside of floodplain, floodway, or existing wetland areas to avoid degrading natural aquatic resources (EPA 2000).
- Highly permeable soils: Soils that allow rapid infiltration can cause groundwater contamination and make it difficult to create a hydrologic regime suitable for wetland vegetation (EPA 2000). Impermeable liners can be used to prevent infiltration if needed.
- Shallow bedrock: Basin excavation may be cost-prohibitive if bedrock is near the surface (MassDEP Wetlands Program 2008).
- Discharge to cold-water fishery area: Treated effluent may still have higher nutrient levels or temperatures that can disrupt cold-water fish habitat (MassDEP Wetlands Program 2008).

TOOLS, TRAINING, AND RESOURCES FOR PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION

| | | | | | | | Resc Inclu | | - |
|--|------------------|------|---|-----------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Name and Link | Resource Type | Year | Authors/ Authoring Organization | Geography | Description | Design/Construction Guidance? | Site Selection? | Monitoring Guidance? | Example Projects? |
| Constructed Wetlands Manual | Guidebook | 2008 | United Na- tions Human Settlements Programme | Global | Overview of built wetlands design and construction process | ~ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Manual: Constructed Wetlands Treatment of Municipal Wastewaters | Guidebook | 2000 | US Environ- mental Protec- tion Agency | National | Explains how built wetlands function, project design, construction, operations, and monitoring | ~ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Wetland Construction: Principles, Planning, and Design | Course | N/A | Rutgers Uni- versity | National | Four-day online course on planning, designing, and constructing a functional wetland | ✓ | ✓ | | |

GRAY INFRASTRUCTURE ALTERNATIVES

Built wetlands can be an alternative to gray stormwater treatment and sewage treatment facilities. The ability of a built wetland to replace or supplement one of these gray infrastructure types depends strongly on the project's location and whether it is designed to create the necessary outcomes. Certain environmental conditions may require gray infrastructure rather than built wetlands. See the gray infrastructure alternative tables in Section 1 for a comparison of built wetlands to these alternatives.

LIKELY BENEFITS AND OUTCOMES

Primary objectives for each strategy are highlighted.

Climate Threat Reduction

• **Reduced flooding:** Built wetlands temporarily store water and can help to attenuate peak flows during storms (MassDEP Wetlands Program 2008).

- Built Environments: 15. Built Wetlands
- **Drought mitigation:** Built wetlands can provide effluent that meets water quality standards for reclaimed water, increasing water supplies during drought (Rousseau et al. 2008).

Social and Economic

- **Aesthetics:** Built wetlands have more aesthetic value than gray infrastructure alternatives (e.g., wastewater treatment plants) (Minnesota Pollution Control Agency 2023).
- **Recreational opportunities:** Built wetlands can provide opportunities for birdwatching, hiking, and other outdoor recreation (Minnesota Pollution Control Agency 2023). However, not all built wetlands are open to the public.

Ecological

- **Improved water quality:** Built wetlands are highly effective in trapping sediment and pollutants associated with sediment and can remove some nitrogen and phosphorus (Minnesota Pollution Control Agency 2023).
- **Supports wildlife:** Built wetlands are valuable for wildlife that use wetland habitats, including birds, reptiles, and amphibians (Minnesota Pollution Control Agency 2023).

BARRIERS AND SOLUTIONS FOR PRACTITIONERS

Common Barriers

Several barriers are common across many of the nature-based solutions strategies; these are described in more detail in Section 1 of the Roadmap. Additional notes about the barriers specific to built wetlands are included here.

- Expense
- Capacity
- **Public opinion:** In particular, communities are often concerned about the potential for built wetlands to create breeding habitat for mosquitoes (MassDEP Wetlands Program 2008).
- **Conflict with other land uses:** Built wetlands require more land than gray infrastructure alternatives, so they have greater potential for conflict with other land uses.
- **Regulation:** Built wetlands are not functionally equivalent to natural or restored wetlands, so they generally cannot be used for wetland mitigation.
- Lack of effectiveness data

Ecological

• Adverse wildlife effects: Built wetlands may intercept breeding amphibians trying to reach vernal pools (MassDEP Wetlands Program 2008).

EXAMPLE PROJECTS

| Name and Link | Location | Leading Organizations | Techniques Used | Size, acres | Cost, \$ | Duration | Project Description | Climate Threats Targeted | Lessons Learned or Adaptive Management |
|--|------------------------|---|---|----------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Huie Con- structed Wetlands | Clayton County, GA | Clayton County Water Authority | Horizontal flow wet- lands | 532 | 30 million (Wysocky 2021) | Con- structed in four phases between 2004 and 2010 (Hall 2010) | 263 wetland cells treat up to 17.4 mil- lion gallons of water per day from the water treatment fa- cility and discharge the filtered water into two reservoirs (Wysocky 2021). | Drought (almost 100% of daily water use is re- turned to waterways via the treatment wetlands) (Hall 2010). | Built wetlands are more cost-efficient and use less land than the previous system, which used pipes and sprinklers to distribute treated water over timber- land (Wysocky 2021). |
| Demon- stration Ur- ban Storm Water Treatment Marsh | San Francis- co, CA | Association of Bay Area Gov- ernments | Built wetland consisting of multiple ponds, shal- low basins, and marshes. | ~30 | Not avail- able | Con- structed in early 1980s; specific duration not avail- able | Constructed wet- land built in degrad- ed wetland that had been diked and filled. Water was diverted from an urban creek channel into the built wet- land for treatment (Wetzig 1995). | No | Dense cattail growth re- stricted flow, requiring mod- ification of the weir to restore flow (Wetzig 1995). |

Built Environments: 15. Built Wetlands

| Name and Link | Location | Leading Organizations | Techniques Used | Size, acres | Cost, \$ | Duration | Project Description | Climate Threats Targeted | Lessons Learned or Adaptive Management |
|--|--------------------|--|--|---|--------------|---|---|--------------------------------|---|
| Harbor Brook Construct- ed Wet- lands Pilot Treatment System | Syracuse, NY | Onondaga County Depart- ment of Water Environment Protection | Horizontal flow wetland, vertical down flow wetland, and "float- ing wetland island" | 34 | 4.5 million | 3 years (from contract- ing to comple- tion of construc- tion) | Multiple types of built wetlands treat effluent from a com- bined sewer over- flow (14.9 million gallons treated per year) and discharge into Harbor Brook | No | Pilot project was designed to test the ef- fectiveness of different types of built wet- lands; knowl- edge gained will be used to inform larger built wetland projects in the same area. |
| South Los Angeles Wetland Park | Los Angeles, CA | City of Los An- geles | Stormwater runoff is pre- treated to re- move debris, gasoline, etc. and circulat- ed through built wetland pools. | 4.5 acres of built wet- lands and 4.5 acres of upland habitat | 12.4 million | Complet- ed in 2011; specific duration not avail- able | Wetland park with riparian and marsh habitat on a former brownfield site treats urban runoff from a 525 acre wa- tershed. | No | Supplemental water is need- ed to main- tain wetland habitats during droughts. Missed oppor- tunities to con- nect with local community for recreation and education (e.g., lack of signs in Spanish, no restrooms on site). |

Bolding indicates DOI affiliates.

REFERENCES

- EPA. 2000. Guiding Principles for Constructed Treatment Wetlands: Providing for Water Quality and Wildlife Habitat. Washington, DC: United States Environmental Protection Agency. https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF. cgi/2000536S.PDF?Dockey=2000536S.PDF.
- EPA. 2023. *Constructed Wetlands*. Washington, DC: United States Environmental Protection Agency. https://www.epa.gov/wetlands/constructed-wetlands.
- Gelt, J. 1997. "Constructed Wetlands: Using Human Ingenuity, Natural Processes to Treat Water, Build Habitat." *Arroyo* 9(4). https://wrrc.arizona.edu/publication/ constructed-wetlands-using-human-ingenuity-natural-processes-treat-waterbuild-habitat.
- Hall, J. 2010. "Water Authority Completes Wetlands' 4th Phase." *Clayton News*, September 28, 2010. https://www.news-daily.com/news/water-authoritycompletes-wetlands-4th-phase/article_1f304c28-4a5f-5407-b11a-16e3fc408da6. html.
- Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. 2023. *Minnesota Stormwater Manual*. https://stormwater.pca.state.mn.us/index.php?title=Main_Page.
- Rousseau, D. P. L., E. Lesage, A. Story, P. A. Vanrolleghem, and N. De Pauw. 2008. "Constructed Wetlands for Water Reclamation." *Desalination* 218(1–3): 181–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2006.09.034.
- Scholz, M. 2015. Wetland Systems to Control Urban Runoff, 2nd ed. Amersterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier. https://shop.elsevier.com/books/wetland-systems-tocontrol-urban-runoff/scholz/978-0-444-63607-2.
- MassDEP Wetlands Program. 2008. Volume 2, Chapter 2: Structural BMP Specifications for the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. Boston: Commonwealth of Massechusetts. https://www.mass.gov/doc/massachusettsstormwater-handbook-vol-2-ch-2-stormwater-best-management-practices/ download.
- UN-HABITAT. 2008. Constructed Wetlands Manual. Kathmandu, Nepal: United Nations Human Settlements Programme. https://sswm.info/sites/default/ files/reference_attachments/UN%20HABITAT%202008%20Constructed%20 Wetlands%20Manual.pdf.
- Wetzig, R. M. 1995. "Case Study of a Wetland Storm Water Treatment System on San Francisco Bay, California." Hayward, CA: California State University, East Bay. https://scholarworks.calstate.edu/concern/theses/9c67wn73k.
- Wu, H., J. Zhang, H. H. Ngo, W. Guo, Z. Hu, S. Liang, J. Fan, and H. Liu. 2015. "A Review on the Sustainability of Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment: Design and Operation." *Bioresource Technology* 175(January): 594–601. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.10.068.
- Wysocky, K. 2021. "Water Utility Emphasizes Innovation." *Municipal Sewer & Water*, March 19, 2021. https://www.mswmag.com/editorial/2021/04/water-utilityemphasizes-innovation.

This strategy is one section of a larger work, the Department of the Interior Nature-Based Solutions Roadmap, writtenin collaboration between the Nicholas Institute for Energy, Environment & Sustainabilty at Duke University and the US Department of the Interior. This section and the whole document is a work of the United States Government and is in the public domain (see 17 U.S.C. §105).

Authors and Affiliations

Katie Warnell, Nicholas Institute for Energy, Environment & Sustainability, Duke University Sara Mason, Nicholas Institute for Energy, Environment & Sustainability, Duke University
Aaron Siegle, Duke University
Melissa Merritt, Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University
Lydia Olander, Nicholas Institute for Energy, Environment & Sustainability, Duke University

Contributors

Tamara Wilson, US Department of the Interior Whitney Boone, US Department of the Interior

Acknowledgments

The Department of the Interior's Nature-Based Solutions Working Group provided input and feedback on the DOI Nature-Based Solutions Roadmap throughout its development. This work was supported by the US Geological Survey National Climate Adaptation Science Center.

Citation

Warnell, K., S. Mason, A. Siegle, M. Merritt, and L. Olander. 2023. *Department of the Interior Nature-Based Solutions Roadmap*. NI R 23-06. Durham, NC: Nicholas Institute for Energy, Environment & Sustainability, Duke University. https:// nicholasinstitute.duke.edu/publications/department-interior-nature-based-solutions-roadmap.

Nicholas Institute for Energy, Environment & Sustainability



The Nicholas Institute for Energy, Environment

& Sustainability at Duke University accelerates solutions to critical energy and environmental challenges, advancing a more just, resilient, and sustainable world. The Nicholas Institute conducts and supports actionable research and undertakes sustained engagement with policymakers, businesses, and communities in addition to delivering transformative educational experiences to empower future leaders. The Nicholas Institute's work is aligned with the Duke Climate Commitment, which unites the university's education, research, operations, and external engagement missions to address the climate crisis.

United States Department of the Interior

The US Department of the Interior protects and manages the Nation's natural resources



and cultural heritage; provides scientific and other information about those resources; and honors its trust responsibilities or special commitments to American Indians, Alaska Natives, and affiliated Island Communities. The Department of the Interior plays a central role in how the United States stewards its public lands, increases environmental protections, pursues environmental justice, and honors our nation-to-nation relationship with Tribes.