

# Size Thresholds for Greenhouse Gas Regulation

Who Would Be Affected by a 25,000-ton CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Rule?

Freyr Sverrisson, Independent Consultant

September 2009



NI R 09-05











# Nicholas Institute for Environmental Policy Solutions Report NI R 09-05 September 2009

# Size Thresholds for Greenhouse Gas Regulation Who Would Be Affected by a 25,000-ton CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Rule?

Freyr Sverrisson, Independent Consultant

This report was made possible by a grant from Environmental Defense Fund. It serves as an update to the Nicholas Institute Policy Brief, "Size Thresholds for Greenhouse Gas Regulation: Who Would Be Affected by a 10,000-ton CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Rule?," first published in 2007, which was intended to identify the impact of a potential 10,000-ton-per-year CO<sub>2</sub> threshold on three sectors of the U.S. economy, with particular attention given to the manufacturing sector. To extend our previous analysis, this report uses a 25,000-ton requirement to identify at a national level who is in, and who is out, of regulation in three U.S. sectors: electric power plants, commercial buildings, and manufacturing industries. The author can be reached at freyrs@comcast.net.



# Contents

Executive Summary	5
Key findings	5
1. Introduction	7
2. Summary of Results	8
2.01. Sector summaries	8
2.01.01. Electric power plants	8
2.01.02. Farms and commercial buildings	8
2.01.03. Manufacturing	8
2.02. Industry summaries	9
2.03. CO <sub>2</sub> emissions are concentrated among largest facilities	12
3. Examples of Manufacturing Facilities in 14 States	14
4. Methodology	53
4.01. A note on non-CO <sub>2</sub> gases and process emissions	53
4.02. Data sources and calculation methods	54
4.03. Comments on data consistency and availability	55
5. CO <sub>2</sub> Emission Characteristics of Manufacturing	56
5.01. Food (NAICS code 311)	56
5.01.01. Wet corn milling (NAICS code 311221)	57
5.01.02. "Sugar" (NAICS codes 31131x)	57
5.01.03. Fruit & vegetable canning (NAICS code 311421)	58
5.02. Beverage and tobacco (NAICS code 312)	58
5.02.01. Beverage product manufacturing (NAICS codes 3121xx)	59
5.02.02. Tobacco product manufacturing (NAICS codes 3122xx)	59
5.03. Textile mills (NAICS code 313)	60
5.04. Textile product mills (NAICS code 314)	60
5.05. Apparel (NAICS code 315)	61
5.06. Leather and allied products (NAICS code 316)	62
5.07. Wood products (NAICS code 321)	62
5.07.01. Sawmills (NAICS code 321113)	63
5.07.02. "Veneer, plywood, and engineered wood" (NAICS codes 32121x)	63
5.07.03. "Other wood products" (NAICS codes 3219xx)	63
5.08. Paper (NAICS code 322)	64
5.08.01. Pulp mills (NAICS code 322110)	65
5.09. Printing and related activities (NAICS code 323)	66
5.10. Petroleum and coal products (NAICS code 324)	66
5.10.01. Petroleum and coal products – entire industry (NAICS code 324)	67
5.10.02. Petroleum refineries (NAICS code 324110)	67
5.11. Chemicals (NAICS code 325)	68
5.11.01. Chemicals – entire industry (NAICS code 325)	68
5.11.02. Petrochemicals (NAICS code 325110)	68
5.11.03. Industrial gas manufacturing (NAICS code 325120)	69
5.11.04. Alkalies and chlorine manufacturing (NAICS code 325181)	69
5.11.05. Carbon black (NAICS code 325182)	69
5.11.06. Other basic inorganic chemicals (NAICS code 325188)	70
5.11.07. Cyclic crude and intermediate (NAICS code 325192)	70
5.11.08. Ethyl alcohol (NAICS code 325193)	70

5.11.09. Other basic organic chemicals (NAICS code 325199)	71
5.11.10. Primary production of plastics material and resin (NAICS code 325211)	71
5.11.11. Synthetic rubber (NAICS code 325212)	71
5.11.12. Noncellulosic organic fiber (NAICS code 325222)	72
5.11.13. Nitrogenous fertilizer (NAICS code 325311)	72
5.11.14. Phosphatic fertilizer (NAICS code 325312)	72
5.11.15. "Pharmaceuticals and medicines" (NAICS codes 32541x)	73
5.11.16. Pharmaceutical preparation (NAICS codes 325412)	73
5.11.17. Photographic film, chemicals, etc. (NAICS code 325992)	74
5.12. Plastics and rubber (NAICS code 326)	74
5.13. Nonmetallic minerals (NAICS code 327)	75
5.13.01. "Glass and glass products" (NAICS codes 32721x)	75
5.13.02. Flat glass (NAICS code 327211)	76
5.13.03. Glass containers (NAICS code 327213)	76
5.13.04. Cement manufacturing (NAICS code 327310)	76
5.13.05. Lime manufacturing (NAICS code 327410)	77
5.13.06. Mineral wool (NAICS code 327993)	77
5.14. Primary metals (NAICS code 331)	77
5.14.01. Iron and steel mills (NAICS code 331111)	78
5.14.02. Electrometallurgical ferroalloys (NAICS code 331112)	78
5.14.03. "Steel products from purchased steel" (NAICS codes 3312xx)	78
5.14.04. "Alumina and aluminum" (NAICS codes 33131x)	79
5.14.05. Primary aluminum (NAICS code 331312)	79
5.14.06. "Nonferrous metals except aluminum" (NAICS codes 3314xx)	79
5.14.07. "Foundries" (NAICS codes 3315xx)	80
5.14.08. Iron foundries (NAICS codes 331511)	80
5.14.09. Aluminum die-casting foundries (NAICS codes 331521)	81
5.14.10. Aluminum foundries (except die-casting) (NAICS codes 331524)	81
5.15. Fabricated metals (NAICS code 332)	82
5.16. Machinery (NAICS code 333)	82
5.17. Computers and electronics (NAICS code 334)	82
5.18. Electrical equipment, appliances, and components (NAICS code 335)	83
5.19. Transportation equipment (NAICS code 336)	83
5.19.01. Light trucks and utility vehicles (NAICS code 336112)	83
5.20. Furniture and related products (NAICS code 337)	84
5.21. Miscellaneous (NAICS code 339)	84
Works Cited	85

## **Executive Summary**

This report was first published as a policy brief in 2007. The objective at that time was to identify the impact of a potential 10,000-ton-per-year  $CO_2$  threshold on three sectors of the U.S. economy, with particular attention given to the manufacturing sector. This update of the brief presents the same information, presented now in light of a threshold set at 25,000 tons per year.

One of the first questions posed when new regulations of any kind are being considered is, who is regulated? Lack of clarity on the point of regulation can lead to incorrect assumptions and policy decisions. Opponents of action on climate change have warned that jobs might be lost to a cap-and-trade system that encompasses small businesses. This concern is especially prevalent in the manufacturing sector, where most facilities currently do not measure emissions, and are unsure how they would be affected by a cap-and-trade system. It is critical, therefore, to understand what type and size of business entities might be subject to regulation, both to allay concerns and design policy to assist those most affected.

Various legislative proposals have sought to target only "large emitters," commonly measured as those facilities that emit at least 25,000 metric tons per year of carbon dioxide or its greenhouse gas equivalent. This report uses a 25,000-ton requirement to identify who is in, and who is out, of regulation in three U.S. sectors. Data on fuel consumption per employee used in this report to derive total annual CO2 emissions per employee are from the latest Manufacturing Energy Consumption Survey (MECS) from the Energy Information Administration (EIA). Data on the number of facilities and employees in each industry, used with fuel consumption data to estimate total annual emissions per facility, are from the U.S. Census Bureau's Economic Census. It is important to note that because the available data deal only with fuel consumption, emissions of other greenhouse gas emissions from manufacturing are not counted in this analysis. There are certain industries, such as cement production and semiconductor manufacturing, that have significant emissions of CO2 and/or other greenhouse gases from non-energy processes. Such industrial-process emissions, which can be very significant in some industries, are not included in this analysis, but they could affect which industries would exceed the 25,000-ton threshold.

In the electric power sector, most of the CO2 emissions (99.78%) come from only half of the plants (51.8%).<sup>2</sup> Large power plants, and those that use fossil fuel for generation, are almost certain to be covered under a 25,000-ton regulation; small generating units used intermittently may be exempt. In the commercial sector, farms and commercial buildings generally would be outside the scope of a program that sets the threshold at 25,000 tons per year, except for a few very large universities and hospitals that run large boilers for central heat.

The manufacturing sector, given the variety of facility sizes and industry processes, presents an interesting story. The general rule of thumb is that if the facility has a smokestack, it will probably be required to account for its emissions under a 25,000-ton rule. If the facility has fewer than 50 employees, and no smokestack, it will be virtually guaranteed safe passage around any regulation, regardless of the industry. The vast majority of manufacturing industries are not expected to cross a 25,000-ton threshold until the employee count is in the hundreds, and for a large number of industries, that threshold is never crossed.

## **Key findings**

- The **electric power sector** largely **WILL** be included in a 25,000-ton CO<sub>2</sub> regulation
- Farms and commercial buildings largely WILL NOT be included in a 25,000-ton CO<sub>2</sub> regulation
- For the majority of individual manufacturing industries, LESS THAN 10% of facilities in any single

<sup>1</sup> The terms ton and metric ton (abbreviated t) are used interchangeably in this report (1 ton = 1,000 kg = 2,204.62 lbs).

<sup>2</sup> Based on U.S. Department of Energy and Environmental Protection Agency data from 2005. Many of the plants emitting less than 25,000 tons of  $CO_2$  were either not operating in 2005 or operating at an uncharacteristically low level. The results shown here represent actual unit emissions in the year 2005, and not necessarily typical emissions for each unit.

industry will be included in a 25,000-ton CO<sub>2</sub> regulation, and only **1.3% of facilities across all industries** would be affected

**Table 1.** The scope of a 25,000-ton threshold in manufacturing.

Total number of facilities in 2002	Annual CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (1,000 tons)	Number of facilities above threshold	Percentage of facilities above threshold	CO <sub>2</sub> reported (1,000 tons) by threshold facilities	Percentage of industry CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from threshold facilities
350,075	851,677	4,724	1.3%	702,907	82.5%

Using EIA manufacturing consumption data and Census Bureau facility size information, this report confirms that a 25,000-ton threshold for inclusion in an emissions cap would have covered approximately 83% of manufacturing sector emissions in 2002 while affecting only 1.3% of manufacturing facilities (Table 1). The findings indicate that a 25,000-ton threshold would apply mainly to large factories and facilities with a large number of employees, and that as the threshold number of employees increases even fewer facilities reach the required emission level to be directly affected by climate regulation. For example, in the sub-sectors of pharmaceuticals, textile product mills and most food manufacture, for which we estimate a threshold facility size of 1,000 employees, less than 5% of facilities would fall under regulation. For a few very energy-intensive industries, however, such as oil refining and lime production, virtually all facilities would be affected (Table 2).

Table 2. At what size may a manufacturing facility reach a 25,000-ton threshold?<sup>3</sup>

Size of facility (employee numbers)	Examples of industries affected	Percentage of facilities affected
No facilities expected to be regulated	Printing, plastics and rubber products, steel products from purchased steel, fabricated metals, machinery, computers and electronics, electrical equipment and components, appliances, transportation equipment, furniture, wood products, apparel, and leather goods.	0%
>1,000	Pharmaceuticals and medicine, aluminum foundries, textile product mills, most food manufacture, including fruit and vegetable canning.	Less than 5% (ranges from .1% to 3.4%)
>500	Beverages, textile mills.	Less than 5% (ranges from 1.3% to 2.1%)
>250	Tobacco, paper (except mills), glass and glass products other than flat glass, iron and steel mills, nonferrous metals, and iron foundries.	Less than 30% (ranges from 6.3% to 28.4%)
>100	Paper mills; sugar production; primary production of various chemicals such as plastics, resins, and synthetic rubber; phosphatic fertilizer; flat glass; and mineral wool.	Less than 90% (ranges from 6.6% to 86.1%)
>50	Petroleum (except refineries), various chemicals such as basic organics and inorganics, and ferroalloys.	Less than 90% (ranges from 18.7% to 80.0%)
All facilities regulated	Petroleum refineries and lime manufacturing.	100%

For the majority of manufacturing industries, less than 10% of facilities, if any, would be expected to fall under a 25,000-ton emission regulation. Overall, a cap-and-trade system that implements a 25,000-ton threshold for participation will primarily apply to large industry and the electric power sector, and will not affect small and medium-sized businesses in the United States.

<sup>3</sup> See Table 5 in main text for individual percentages for each industry.

#### 1. Introduction

Various legislative proposals to address climate change through a market-based cap-and-trade system have sought to target only "large emitters," generating maximum environmental benefits while minimizing the cost to businesses. In Washington, this has come to mean a policy that affects only those facilities that emit at least 25,000 metric tons per year of carbon dioxide or its greenhouse gas equivalent. The presumption is that this threshold essentially defines a large emitter, and that it may represent the optimal balance between the scope of a cap-and-trade system and the potential burden such a system may place on business owners. This is not only a matter of conceiving efficient public policy. Opponents of action on climate change have warned that jobs might be lost to a cap-and-trade system that regulates small businesses. Therefore, it is critical to understand what type and size of business entities might be affected, both to allay concerns and design policy to assist those who would experience the most impact.

A study published in 2003 estimated the scope of a 10,000-ton threshold on broad categories of manufacturing, and provided similar estimates for the electric power sector, landfills, commercial buildings, and agriculture. The study found that this threshold would account for virtually all greenhouse gas emissions from the

power sector and landfills, encompassing the majority of emitters in those sectors, while effectively relieving commercial buildings and agriculture from participation altogether, because of generally low, diffuse sector emissions. Small variations in the threshold level would not change this outcome.

The manufacturing industry, however, is a different story. Manufacturing facilities represent a significant portion of greenhouse gas emissions because of their energy use—yet they also come in all shapes and sizes, from steel mills employing thousands of people to family firms of just a few individuals. Using 1998 data, the 2003 study concluded that a 10,000-ton threshold would affect only 2.1% of manufacturing facilities while accounting for 80% of fuel-related greenhouse gas emissions from industry.<sup>5</sup>

The original version of this policy brief, using 2004 data, confirmed those findings and showed that 85% of fuel-related  $CO_2$  emissions from industry would be reported by 2.3% of manufacturing facilities. This updated brief asks, Which manufacturing sector businesses would be regulated under a national, economy-wide cap-and-trade program for carbon dioxide emissions that would specifically target large emitters with a 25,000-ton threshold?

As before, this report identifies the effect on various sub-sectors of the manufacturing industry and establishes what type and size of facility might be included in a cap-and-trade regime. The findings indicate that a cap-and-trade system that implements a 25,000-ton threshold for participation would focus on large industry, and would not impact the majority of small and medium-sized businesses. It is important to note that because the available data deal only with fuel consumption, emissions of other greenhouse gas emissions from manufacturing are not counted in this analysis. There are certain industries, such as cement production and semiconductor manufacturing, that have significant emissions of  $CO_2$  and/or other greenhouse gases from non-energy processes. Such industrial-process emissions, which can be very significant in some industries, are not included in this analysis, but they could affect which industries would exceed the 25,000-ton threshold.

The report begins with a summary of the results from different sectors and industries, followed by examples of individual facilities from nine different states. Section 4 discusses the data and methodology used in the emissions analysis, and section 5 concludes with the full results of the analysis, organized by industry.

A note on non-CO<sub>2</sub> and other process emissions

The estimated thresholds reported here are based only on carbon dioxide emissions from fossil fuel combustion. The available data does not allow us to estimate emissions of other greenhouse gases or noncombustion emissions of  $\mathrm{CO}_2$ . There are certain industries, such as semiconductor manufacturing and aluminum production, that have significant emissions of both  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  and other greenhouse gases from nonenergy processes that sometimes involve non-energy use of fossil fuels as industrial feedstocks. Such industrial process emissions, which can be very significant in these industries, are not included in this analysis.

<sup>4</sup> West and Peña, 2003.

<sup>5</sup> Ibid.

# 2. Summary of Results

#### 2.01. Sector summaries

#### 2.01.01. Electric power plants

One half of power plants that use fossil fuels to generate electricity emit more than 25,000 tons of  $CO_2$  per year. Power plants are concentrated on the high end of the spectrum of  $CO_2$  emissions per facility. For example, a small coal-fired unit of 25-megawatt (MW) generating capacity would exceed 25,000 tons of  $CO_2$  emissions in 45 days at full output. However, there is a large number of even smaller generating units around the country, often used only intermittently, that are not likely to emit more than 25,000 tons of  $CO_2$ . Data gathered by the U.S. Department of Energy and the Environmental Protection Agency indicate that in 2005, some 2,834 generating plants in the country used fossil fuels (coal, gas, or oil) as their primary fuel. Almost 52% of these plants emitted more than 25,000 metric tons of  $CO_2$  in that year. The emissions were so concentrated among the larger power plants that these 1,468 plants accounted for 99.78% of  $CO_2$  emissions from the fossil fuel power sector.

**Table 3.** The scope of a 25,000-ton threshold in power generation.

Total number of fossil fuel- generating plants in 2005	Total annual CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (million tons)	Number of plants above 25,000 tons	Percentage of plants above 25,000 tons	CO <sub>2</sub> reported from threshold plants (million tons)	Percentage of generation CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from threshold plants
2,834	2,667	1,468	51.8%	2,661	99.78%

Source: U.S. EPA, 2005 data from eGRID2007, Version 1.1, Plant File.

#### 2.01.02. Farms and commercial buildings

On the other end of the spectrum we find most farms and commercial buildings, which generally have no large boilers or other large sources of fossil fuel combustion. The exceptions are some large universities and hospitals that run large boilers for central heat. A 25,000-ton threshold would not affect the vast majority of farms, and the same is true for commercial buildings that are not centers of large-scale manufacturing.

#### 2.01.03. Manufacturing

In the manufacturing sector, the bulk of  $CO_2$  emissions come from a very small portion of all manufacturing facilities, but due to the large number of facilities and differences across sub-sectors of industry, there is reason to take a closer look. The manufacturing sector spans the entire spectrum from very low to very high emissions per facility, and is the area with the greatest need for clarification on who is, and who is not, affected by a 25,000-ton threshold. The rest of this report focuses on identifying the relative impact of a  $CO_2$  regulation on manufacturing facilities in various sub-sectors of industry.

This report confirms that a 25,000-ton threshold for inclusion in an emissions cap would have covered approximately 83% of manufacturing sector emissions in 2002 while affecting only 1.3% of manufacturing facilities, mostly large factories and facilities with a large number of employees (Table 4).

<sup>6</sup> Assuming heat rate of 10,000 Btu per kWh: (250 mill. Btu/hour) x (25.74 kg carbon per mill. Btu) x (44/12 mass ratio  $CO_2/C$ ) = 23.6 metric tons/hour. 10,000 tons/23.6 tons per hour/24 hours per day = 17.7 days.

<sup>7</sup> Here, a power plant refers to a single plant that may be, and often is, made up of several boilers and several generators of varying capacity. A plant as a whole may exceed the 25,000-ton threshold while some, or all, generating units of the plant may remain within the threshold individually.

<sup>8</sup> Many of the plants emitting less than 25,000 tons of  $CO_2$  were either not operating in 2005 or operating at an uncharacteristically low level. The results shown here represent actual unit emissions in the year 2005, and not necessarily typical emissions for each unit.

**Table 4.** The scope of a 25,000-ton threshold in manufacturing.

Total number of facilities in 2002	Annual CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (1,000 tons)	Number of facilities above threshold	Percentage of facilities above threshold	CO <sub>2</sub> reported (1,000 tons) by threshold facilities	Percentage of industry CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from threshold facilities
350,075	851,677	4,724	1.3%	702,907	82.5%

# 2.02. Industry summaries

Table 5 provides an overview of results for all manufacturing industries covered in this report, listing industry designations, the number of facilities and total  $CO_2$  emissions in each industry, size thresholds for regulation, percentages of facilities regulated and the share of total emissions reported for each industry. A more detailed discussion of thresholds for each industry is provided in section 3.

**Table 5.** All available results for a 25,000-ton threshold.

NAICS Code	Industry	Total number of facilities	Annual CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (1,000 tons)	Size threshold for regulation (employees)	Percentage of facilities regulated	Percentage of emissions regulated
311	Food	27,898	50,890	>1,000	0.9%	45%
311221	Wet corn milling	61	14,656	>50	54.1%	99%
[31131X]	"Sugar"	87	4,984	>100	50.6%	92%
311421	Fruit & vegetable canning	782	2,062	>1,000	0.4%	11%
312	Beverage and tobacco	3,017	4,571	>500	1.9%	59%
[3121Xx]	"Beverages"	2,903	3,336	>500	1.3%	51%
[3122Xx]	"Tobacco"	114	1,235	>250	18.4%	87%
313	Textile mills	3,919	6,590	>500	2.1%	38%
314	Textile product mills	7,270	1,759	>1,000	0.1%	13%
315	Apparel	13,041	913	None	0.0%	0%
316	Leather and allied products	1,530	212	None	0.0%	0%
321	Wood products	17,178	4,244	None	0.0%	0%
321113	Sawmills	3,807	959	None	0.0%	0%
[32121X]	"Veneer, plywood, etc."	1,916	2,260	None	0.0%	0%
[3219Xx]	"Other wood products"	10,940	793	None	0.0%	0%
322	Paper	5,501	58,475	>250	7.0%	86%
322110	Pulp mills	32	1,703	>100	68.8%	99%
323	Printing and related activities	37,532	2,504	None	0.0%	0%
324	Petroleum*	2,262	280,200	>50	18.7%	98%
324110	Petroleum refineries	198	254,600	All	100.0%	100%
325	Chemicals*	13,189	211,600	>100	14.6%	87%
325182	Carbon black mfg	25	4,900	>50	80.0%	100%
325188	All other basic inorganic chemicals	617	7,200	>50	22.0%	88%
325192	Cyclic crude & intermediate mfg	39	2,800	>100	33.3%	93%
325199	All other basic organic chemicals <sup>†</sup>	688	65,700	>50	42.3%	98%
325211	Primary production of plastics material & resin	688	49,400	>100	23.1%	94%
325212	Synthetic rubber mfg	157	2,400	>100	17.2%	91%

NAICS Code	Industry	Total number of facilities	Annual CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (1,000 tons)	Size threshold for regulation (employees)	Percentage of facilities regulated	Percentage of emissions regulated
325311	Nitrogenous fertilizer mfg <sup>§</sup>	143	10,156	>50	18.9%	98%
325312	Phosphatic fertilizer mfg	44	1,900	>100	40.9%	97%
[32541X]	"Pharmaceuticals and medicines"	1,800	4,516	>1,000	2.5%	37%
325412	Pharmaceutical preparation	901	3,202	>1,000	3.4%	50%
326	Plastics and rubber products	15,487	7,678	None	0.0%	0%
327	Nonmetallic mineral products	16,653	63,907	>100	6.6%	92%
[32721X]	"Glass and glass products"	2,261	8,128	>250	6.5%	74%
327211	Flat glass mfg	36	2,759	>100	86.1%	99%
327310	Cement mfg <sup>‡</sup>	246	30,580	>50	48.8%	92%
327410	Lime mfg	77	9,461	All	100.0%	100%
327993	Mineral wool mfg	304	2,139	>100	17.4%	89%
331	Primary metals	5,188	119,000	>250	9.1%	89%
331111	Iron & steel mills	373	91,000	>250	28.4%	98%
331112	Ferroalloys	24	800	>50	50.0%	89%
[3312Xx]	"Steel products from purchased steel"	666	1,273	None	0.0%	0%
[33131X]	"Alumina and aluminum"**	592	11,300	>250	13.2%	89%
[3314Xx]	"Nonferrous metals, except aluminum"	1,021	4,300	>250	6.3%	59%
[3315Xx]	"Foundries"	2,512	7,600	>500	4.1%	51%
331511	Iron foundries	619	4,900	>250	11.1%	68%
331521	Aluminum die-casting foundries	295	849	>1,000	0.7%	25%
331524	Aluminum foundries, except die-casting	542	743	>1,000	0.2%	8%
332	Fabricated metals	62,176	11,811	None	0.0%	0%
333	Machinery	28,239	4,717	None	0.0%	0%
334	Computers and electronics	15,813	3,601	None	0.0%	0%
335	Electrical equipment, appliances,	6,481	2,948	None	0.0%	0%
336	Transportation equipment	12,579	12,738	None	0.0%	0%
337	Furniture and related products	22,524	1,557	None	0.0%	0%
339	Miscellaneous	32,598	1,761	None	0.0%	0%
	Total manufacturing	350,075	851,677		1.3%	82.5%

<sup>\*</sup> The production of many petrochemicals results in substantial nonfuel process emissions of methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), which are not reflected in this table (see section 4).

 $<sup>\</sup>dagger$  The production of organic chemicals may be associated with substantial nonfuel greenhouse gas emissions. Specifically, the adipic acid production process emits nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), which is not reflected in this table (see section 4).

 $<sup>\</sup>ddagger$  Virtually all cement manufacturing would be expected to exceed 25,000 tons per year of  $CO_2$  emissions due to high process emissions, which are not reflected in this table (see section 4).

 $<sup>\</sup>label{eq:continuous} \mbox{Nitric acid production is a potent source of nitrous oxide ($N_2O$) emissions, which are not reflected in this table (see section 4).}$ 

<sup>\*\*</sup> Primary aluminum production (NAICS 331312) results in substantial process emissions of carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  and sulfur hexafluoride  $(SF_6)$ , which are not reflected in this table (see section 4).

Some generalizations can be derived from this manufacturing analysis to highlight which industries are likely to be affected by a 25,000-ton emissions regulation, and which are not. For example, pharmaceutical preparation manufacturers (NAICS Code 325412) are largely unaffected. With over 900 facilities throughout the U.S. in the year 2002, only 31 plants would have been expected to fall under a 25,000-ton  $CO_2$  regulation requirement. Those 31 facilities represent 50% of that industry's total emissions.

Flat glass manufacturing, on the other hand, is a relatively small yet energy-intensive industry which will be affected by a 25,000-ton  $CO_2$  policy. There were 36 flat glass facilities in the United States in 2002, and the 31 plants that had more than 100 employees would have been expected to exceed 25,000 tons of  $CO_2$  emissions per year. These 31 plants account for 99% of the industry's emissions.

Table 6 shows the percentage of each industry that is expected to be affected by a 25,000-ton requirement. A more complete description of each industry is included in section 5. A breakdown of facilities by 14 sample states (Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Indiana, Michigan, Missouri, Montana, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia) is included in section 3.

**Table 6.** Percentage of facilities expected to fall under a 25,000-ton emission regulation.

No facilities	<1% of facilities	1%-5% of facilities	5%-10% of facilities	10%–30% of facilities	30%-60% of facilities	60%–90% of facilities	All facilities
Apparel Leather and allied products Wood products Sawmills Printing and related activities Plastics and rubber products Steel products from purchased steel Fabricated metals Machinery Computers and electronics Electrical equipment and appliances Transportation equipment Furniture	Textile product mills Aluminum foundries Fruit & vegetable canning Food	Beverages Textile mills Pharmaceuticals and medicine	Nonferrous metals, except aluminum Paper, other than pulp mills Glass products other than flat glass	Iron foundries Alumina and aluminum Synthetic rubber Mineral wool Tobacco Nitrogenous fertilizer Basic inorganic chemicals Primary production of plastics material & resin Iron & steel mills	Cyclic crude & intermediate Phosphatic fertilizer Basic organic chemical Cement mfg Ferroalloys Sugar Wet corn milling	Pulp mills Carbon black Flat glass	Petroleum refineries Lime

#### 2.03. CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are concentrated among largest facilities

The bulk of emissions are generally concentrated with the very largest manufacturing facilities in each industry. To fully explain why emissions are so heavily concentrated, at 83% among only 1.3% of facilities, it is helpful to look at the relationship among some variables that affect this outcome:

- 1. The number of employees per facility;
- 2. Energy use per employee, which is the product of:
  - 2a. Energy use per unit of production (energy productivity);
  - 2b. Unit production per employee (manufacturing productivity);
- 3. Carbon content of the energy used on-site (not purchased electricity).

Clearly, the consumption of energy in any given industry grows with the size of operations. Greater employee numbers generally mean greater emissions. However, there are more specific reasons for facility emissions to be higher at large facilities. Increased facility size often allows for improved productivity in manufacturing, which means higher economic output per unit of energy (variable 2a declines) and higher economic output per employee (variable 2b rises). If these variables moved proportionately in opposite directions, the energy use per employee would be constant, and a larger facility would not necessarily contribute proportionately more emissions.

Large facilities tend to capitalize on increased efficiency to increase production, however, increasing their total output. The competitive advantage of combined improvements in production efficiency (increased output per worker) and energy efficiency (causing lower cost per unit of output) normally lead to accelerated production. As a result, relative to smaller facilities, emissions per unit of output are lower, but emissions per employee will often be much higher because of the relative acceleration of production. In other words, the additional emissions from increased output tends to exceed reductions in emissions from increased unit efficiency. To further augment the contrast, very large manufacturing facilities tend to be few in numbers compared to the large number of smaller facilities. The combined overall effect is the concentration of industry emissions in the hands of a few large facilities.

Another reason for  $CO_2$  emissions to be concentrated on large manufacturing facilities lies in the nature of industries. Most  $CO_2$  emissions in industry come from the combustion of fossil fuels, which is the only source quantified in this report. This relates to the variable of carbon content of energy used on-site (variable 3 above). Most small manufacturers simply do not burn vast amounts of fuel on-site (although electricity consumption can be substantial). By the time a manufacturing facility is using very large amounts of coal, oil, and natural gas, it tends to be a large facility. A simple way to conceive of the difference is as follows: If the facility has a smokestack, it will probably be required to account for its emissions under a 25,000-ton rule. If the facility has fewer than 50 employees, and no smokestack, it will be virtually guaranteed not to be regulated, regardless of what the industry may be. The vast majority of manufacturing industries are not expected to cross a 25,000-ton threshold until the employee count is in the hundreds, and for a large number of industries, that threshold is never crossed.

Table 7 reveals how a 25,000-ton threshold would primarily target the largest emitters, whether those are energy-intensive industries that are affected regardless of size, such as refineries, or less energy-intensive industries that are only affected once their physical and economic scale has become quite significant, such as transportation manufacturers. These values are broad approximations based on average emissions among facilities within each of the indicated size ranges.

 Table 7. At what size may a facility reach a 25,000-ton threshold?

Size of facility (employee numbers)	Examples of industries affected	Percentage of facilities affected
No facilities expected to be regulated	Printing, plastics and rubber products, steel products from purchased steel, fabricated metals, machinery, computers and electronics, electrical equipment and components, appliances, transportation equipment, furniture, wood products, apparel, and leather goods.	0%
>1,000	Pharmaceuticals and medicine, aluminum foundries, textile product mills, most food manufacture, including fruit and vegetable canning.	Less than 5% (ranges from .1% to 3.4%)
>500	Beverages, textile mills.	Less than 5% (ranges from 1.3% to 2.1%)
>250	Tobacco, paper (except mills), glass and glass products other than flat glass, iron and steel mills, nonferrous metals, and iron foundries.	Less than 30% (ranges from 6.3% to 28.4%)
>100	Paper mills; sugar production; primary production of various chemicals such as plastics, resins, and synthetic rubber; phosphatic fertilizer; flat glass; and mineral wool.	Less than 90% (ranges from 6.6% to 86.1%)
>50	Petroleum (except refineries), various chemicals such as basic organics and inorganics, and ferroalloys.	Less than 90% (ranges from 18.7% to 80.0%)
All facilities regulated	Petroleum refineries and lime manufacturing.	100%

# 3. Examples of Manufacturing Facilities in 14 States

This section provides examples of facilities both above and below the estimated threshold for each industry in 14 states. Because these thresholds are not based on directly observed emissions, there is some uncertainty in listing which specific facilities will be included in the cap. Furthermore, because facility information tends to be listed in size ranges (e.g. 100–250 employees), it is often difficult to know how close or far a particular facility is from the threshold with precision. Therefore, in the tables below, facilities that fall close to the threshold are listed in italics. For example, if the threshold is 250 employees, and a facility is reported as having 250–499 employees, it would be listed as a facility estimated to be above the threshold, but it would be shown in italics. If, however, the facility is reported as having 100–249 employees, it would be listed as a facility estimated to be below the threshold, but it would also be shown in italics. All other facilities are assumed to be either well above or below the threshold.

**Table 8.** Example facilities from 14 states with expected annual  $CO_2$  emissions above and below 25,000 tons. Facilities in *italics* are potentially close to the threshold for regulation.

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO <sub>2</sub> annually
			Tate and Lyle	
			Van Buren, Arkansas	
			Abbitt's Mill Inc.	Corn Products
311221	Wet corn	>50	Williamston, North Carolina	Winston-Salem, North Carolina
311221	milling	/50		Cargill Corn Milling Inc.
				Dayton, Ohio
			Tate and Lyle	
			Morrisville, Pennsylvania	
			North Pacific Processors Inc.	
			Cordova, Alaska	
			Sun Orchard Inc.	Tyson Foods, Inc.
			Van Buren, Arkansas	Green Forest, Arkansas
			Morgan Foods Inc.	
			Austin, Indiana	
			Birdseye Foods	
			Fennville, Michigan	
			Jasper Products	
	F:+ 0		Joplin, Missouri	
311421	Fruit & vegetable	>1,000	TW Garner Food Co.	
311121	canning	71,000	Winston-Salem, North Carolina	
			Herman Pickle Co.	
			Garrettsville, Ohio	
			Knouse Foods	Heinz North America
		Biglerville, Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania	
		Wood Brothers Inc.		
			West Columbia, South Carolina	
			H.B. Hunter Co.	
			Norfolk, Virginia	
			Knouse Foods Cooperative, Inc.	
			Inwood, West Virginia	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO <sub>2</sub> annually	
			Michigan Sugar Co.	Michigan Sugar Co.	
			Au Gres, Michigan	Bay City, Michigan	
			Chase General Corporation		
			Saint Joseph, Missouri		
			Western Sugar Co.	Sidney Sugars Inc.	
			Custer, Montana	Sidney, Montana	
[21121]	//C//	. 100	Amstar Corporation		
[31131x]	"Sugar"	>100	Charlotte, North Carolina		
			American Crystal Sugar	American Crystal Sugar	
			Cavalier, North Dakota	Drayton, North Dakota	
			A&A Distributors Inc.	American Sugar Refining	
			Cleveland, Ohio	Cleveland, Ohio	
			Holl's Chocolate Inc.		
			Vienna, West Virginia		
			Alaskan Brewing Co.		
				Juneau, Alaska	
			Coca-Cola Bottling Co.	Coca-Cola Bottling Co.	
		_	Flagstaff, Arizona	Tempe, Arizona	
			Pepsi Bottling Group	·	
			Fort Smith, Arkansas		
			Pepsi Americas Inc.	Coca-Cola Bottling Co.	
			Muncie, Indiana	Indianapolis, Indiana	
			Coca-Cola Bottling Co.	Stroh Companies Inc.	
			Kalamazoo, Michigan	Detroit, Michigan	
			Arctic Ice Inc.	Pepsi Americas Inc.	
			St. Louis, Missouri	St. Louis, Missouri	
			Pepsi Bottling Group		
			Butte, Montana		
[3121xx]	"Beverages"	>500	Coca-Cola Bottling Co.	Coca-Cola Bottling Co.	
			Raleigh, North Carolina	Charlotte, North Carolina	
			Pepsi Bottling Group	,	
			Dickinson, North Dakota		
			Coca-Cola Bottling Co.	Anheuser-Busch Co.	
			Twinsburg, Ohio	Columbus, Ohio	
			Coca-Cola Bottling Co.	Coca-Cola Bottling Co.	
			Pottsville, Pennsylvania	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	
			Coca-Cola Bottling Co.		
			Rock Hill, South Carolina		
			Dr. Pepper Bottling Co.		
			Pulaski, Virginia		
			Pepsi Bottling Group		
			Nitro, West Virginia		

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
			RJ Reynolds Tobacco Co.	
[3122xx]	"Tobacco"	>250	Winston-Salem, North Carolina	
[SIZZXX]	ТОВАССО	>250	U.S. Smokeless Tobacco	
			Weirton, West Virginia	
			BEI Sportswear Inc.	
			Tempe, Arizona	
			Fiber Bond Corp.	
			Trail Creek, Indiana	
			Guildford Mills Inc.	
			Madison Heights, Michigan	
			RM Coco Inc.	
			Cape Girardeau, Missouri	
			Gray Wolf Trading Co.	
			Polson, Montana	
313	Textile mills	>500	Glen Raven Inc.	
313	Textile IIIIIS	>500	Burlington, North Carolina	
			Drapery Stitch	
			Delphos, Ohio	
			American Silk Mills	
			Hudson, Pennsylvania	
			Milliken and Company	Swift Galey
			Spartanburg, South Carolina	Society Hill, South Carolina
			Jefferson Mills	National Textile
			Pulaski, Virginia	Galax, Virginia
			Edgewood Country Club	
			Charleston, West Virginia	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
			Nomar LLC	
			Homer, Alaska	
			Arizona Tent and Events LLC	
			Phoenix, Arizona	
			White Bag, Inc.	
			North Little Rock, Arkansas	
			Anchor Industries	
			Evansville, Indiana Scott Group Inc.	
			·	
			Grand Rapids, Michigan  CDI Inc.	
			Cape Girardeau, Missouri	
	Textile		Sutton's Sportswear Co.	
314	product	>1,000	Billings, Montana	
	mills		RL Stowe Mills	
			Belmont, North Carolina	
			American Pacific Enterprises	
			Grove City, Ohio	
			Fabtex Inc.	
			Danville, Pennsylvania	
			Lugoff Industrial Textile	
			Lugoff, South Carolina	
			Dixie Fibertex Co.	
			Richmond, Virginia	
			Palmer Smith Co.	
			Farmington, West Virginia	
			David Green Master Furrier	
			Anchorage, Alaska	
			Fruit of the Loom, Inc.	
			Jonesboro, Arkansas	
			Berne Apparel Corporation	
			New Haven, Indiana Freshwater Apparel	
			Bolivar, Missouri	
			Montana Knits, Inc.	
315	Apparel	None	Helena, Montana	
			Interstate Narrow Fabrics Inc.	
			Haw River, North Carolina	
			Omnova Solutions, Inc.	
			Akron, Ohio	
			New Morton Shirt Co.	
			Masontown, West Virginia	
			Arrowhead Textile Co.	
			Spartanburg, South Carolina	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO <sub>2</sub> annually
			Frontier Tanning Co.	
			Anchorage, Alaska	
			Wolverine Procurement, Inc.	
			Jonesboro, Arkansas	
			Sunrise Tannery	
			Kansas City, Missouri	
			Kinro Manufacturing	
	Leather		Elkhart, Indiana	
316	and allied	None	Montana Leather Co.	
	products		Billings, Montana  D&W Leather Products Co.	
			Gastonia, North Carolina  Dacotah Leather Co.	
			Grand Forks, North Dakota	
			John King Leather Co.	
			Columbia, South Carolina	
			Green Valley Manufacturing	
			Cameron, West Virginia	
			Pacific Log and Lumber Ltd.	
			Ketchikan, Alaska	
			Reidhead Brothers Lumber Mill	
			Nutrioso, Arizona	
			Adkins Sawmill	
			Mitchell, Indiana	
			Hardwoods of Michigan, Inc.	
			Clinton, Michigan	
			Horse Creek Hardwoods	
			Lamar, Missouri	
			Four Corners Pine LLC	
			Trout Creek, Montana	
321113	Sawmills	None	Sunrise Sawmill	
			Asheville, North Carolina	
			Frieds Saw Mill Inc.	
			Mandan, North Dakota	
			J. McCoy Lumber Co. Ltd.	
			Peebles, Ohio Bradford Forest Products	
			Bradford, Pennsylvania	
			Dempsey Wood Products Inc.	
			Rowesville, South Carolina	
			Ferguson Land and Lumber Co.	
			Rocky Mount, Virginia	
			Bowling Timber and Logging	
			Liberty, West Virginia	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
			Fairbanks Truss Co.	
			Fairbanks, Alaska	
			R&K Lumber	
			Gilbert, Arizona	
			Davidson Plyforms Inc.	
			Grand Rapids, Michigan	
[32121x]	"Veneer, plywood,	None	Affordable Truss	
[32121X]	etc."	None	Exeter, Missouri	
			Georgia-Pacific Plywood Plant	
			Dudley, North Carolina	
			Stark Truss Co. Inc.	
			Washington Court House, Ohio	
			Amron Building Components	
			Bickmore, West Virginia	
			Alaskan Wood Moulding	
			Anchorage, Alaska	
			Atrium Windows and Doors	
			Tolleson, Arizona	
			Handy Home Products	
			Monroe, Michigan	
	<b>"</b> O.1		Cox and Son Lumber	
[3219xx]	"Other wood	None	Lamar, Missouri	
[3213//]	products"	None	Alpine Log Homes	
			Victor, Montana	
			Pinnacle Builders Inc.	
			Waynesville, North Carolina	
			Ohio Valley Lumber	
			Piketon, Ohio	
			Freedom Homes	
			Nitro, West Virginia	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
			Greenbay Packaging Inc.	Domtar Industries
			Heber Springs, Arkansas	Ashdown, Arkansas
			Forest Resources	New Page Corporation
			Quinnesec, Michigan	Escanaba, Michigan
			Verso Paper	AJM Packaging Corporation
			Chesterfield, Missouri	Joplin, Missouri
			Brawley Timber Co.	Blue Ridge Paper Products
			Murphy, North Carolina	Canton, North Carolina
222110	D 1 '11	100	B&B Paper Converters Inc.	Mead Westvaco Corporation
322110	Pulp mills	>100	Cleveland, Ohio	Chillicothe, Ohio
			Metzler Forest Products	Domtar Industries
			Woodland, Pennsylvania	Johnsonburg, Pennsylvania
			Tyson Pulpwood	Abitibi Bowater Inc.
			Georgetown, South Carolina	Greenville, South Carolina
			Gladys Timber Products	
			Gladys, Virginia	
			US Tag and Ticket	
			Huntington, West Virginia	
			Bowne Financial Print	
			Phoenix, Arizona	
			Alaska Litho Inc.	
			Juneau, Alaska	
			A-1 Graphics	
			Muncie, Indiana	
			Grandville Printing Co.	
			Grandville, Michigan	
			Four State Printing Co.	
			Anderson, Missouri	
222	Printing	Nama	Advanced Litho Printing	
323	and related activities	None	Great Falls, Montana	
	detivities		Carolina Printing	
			Raleigh, North Carolina	
			Cole Papers	
			Fargo, North Dakota	
			Hopkins Printing Inc.	
			Columbus, Ohio	
			Printers Ink	
			Summerville, South Carolina	
			WV Printing	
			Charleston, West Virginia	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
				Flint Hills Resources
				North Pole, Alaska
				Western Refining Inc.
				Tempe, Arizona
				Lion Oil Co.
				El Dorado, Arkansas
				Marathon Petroleum
				Detroit, Michigan
324110	Petroleum	All All		Conoco Philips Billings Refinery
324110	refineries			Billings, Montana
				Tesoro Refinery
				Mandan, North Dakota
				Marathon Petroleum
				Canton, Ohio
				Sunoco Inc.
				Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
				ExxonMobil Refining & Supply
				Fairfax, Virginia
			Columbian Chemicals Co.	
			El Dorado, Arkansas	
			Occidental Chemical Corporation	
325182	Carbon	>50	Pottstown, Pennsylvania	
323.02	black mfg		Azdel Inc.	
			Forest, Virginia	
			Cabot Corporation	Columbian Chemicals Co.
			Waverly, West Virginia	Proctor, West Virginia

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	
	(311)	K Tech Inc.	Porocel Corporation		
			Hot Springs, Arkansas	Little Rock, Arkansas	
			Ceres Solutions LLP	Curtis Dyna-fog Ltd.	
			Vincennes, Indiana	Westfield, Indiana	
			Mead Technologies Inc.	Mississippi Lime Co.	
			Rolla, Missouri	Sainte Genevieve, Missouri	
			Montana Sulphur & Chemical Co.	American Chemet Corporation	
			Billings, Montana	East Helena, Montana  Arclin  Moncure, North Carolina	
	All other		Elon Specialties	Arclin	
325188	basic	>50	Concord, North Carolina		
323.00	inorganic chemicals	7 50	Ohio Carbon Blank	Perstorp Polyols Inc.	
	Chemicais		Willoughby, Ohio		
			Fedchem Inc.	BASF Corporation	
			Bethlehem, Pennsylvania		
			Pioneer Chemicals Inc.	_	
			Greenville, South Carolina	Columbia, South Carolina	
			Nalco Chemical Co.		
			Hopewell, Virginia		
			Occidental Chemical Corporation	Chemtura Corporation	
			Belle, West Virginia	Morgantown, West Virginia	
			Cytec Engineered Materials	Guardian Fiberglass	
			Tempe, Arizona	Kingman, Arizona	
			Mesa Industries, Inc.	Crane Composites	
			Fort Smith, Arkansas	Jonesboro, Arkansas	
			Brunk Corporation	DSM Engineering Plastics	
		rs.	Goshen, Indiana  Vertex Plastics	Evansville, Indiana Presence from Innovation LLC	
			Kearney, Missouri Rocky Mountain Plastics	Hazelwood, Missouri	
			Whitefish, Montana		
			Polyreps Inc.	Clariant Corporation	
	Plastics		Monroe, North Carolina	Charlotte, North Carolina	
325211	material &	>100	Terhorst Manufacturing Co.	Chanotte, North Carolina	
	resin mfg		Minot, North Dakota		
			Buckeye Polymers Inc.	Lubrizol Advanced Materials	
			Lodi, Ohio	Cleveland, Ohio	
			Fiber Depot Inc.	Nova Chemicals Inc.	
			Harrisville, Pennsylvania	Coraopolis, Pennsylvania	
			Diamond Plastics Inc.	Dispoz-o Products Inc.	
			Rock Hill, South Carolina	Fountain Inn, South Carolina	
			Tritex LLC	Honeywell International	
			Independence, Virginia	Chester, Virginia	
			Adell Polymers Inc.		
			Petersburg, West Virginia		

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO <sub>2</sub> annually
			USA Synthetics	Garlock Rubber Technologies
			Bentonville, Arkansas	Paragould, Arkansas
			Rotation Dynamics	Jasper Rubber Products
			La Porte, Indiana	Jasper, Indiana
			Gilmur Group	
			Independence, Missouri	
225212	Synthetic	> 100	Silicones, Inc.	
325212	rubber mfg	>100	High Point, North Carolina	
			Insta-Mold Products Inc.	
			Oaks, Pennsylvania	
			Goodyear Tire and Rubber Co.	
			Radford, Virginia	
			Mullins International	Fypon Ltd.
			Dayton, Ohio	Archbold, Ohio
				Alaska Nitrogen Products, Inc.
				Kenai, Alaska
			Casa Grande Plant Food Co.	Apache Nitrogen Products, Inc.
			Casa Grande, Arizona	Benson, Arizona
			Delta Farmers Association	El Dorado Chemical Co.
			Grady, Arkansas	El Dorado, Arkansas
			Ceres Solutions LLP	
			Westpoint, Indiana	
			Hydro Dynamics International	
			Lansing, Michigan	
			Mid-west Agri-Chemico Inc.	Omnium LLC
			Cape Girardeau, Missouri	Saint Joseph, Missouri
			Valley Crop Care	
225211	Nitrogenous	. 50	Sidney, Montana	
325311	fertilizer mfa	>50	CPS	McGill Environmental System
	9		Princeton, North Carolina	Rose Hill, North Carolina
			Milton Fertilizer Plant	
			Milton, North Dakota	
			United Landmark, LLC	Andersons
			Lancaster, Ohio	Columbus, Ohio
			Penn Canal Co.	Excell Minerals
			Belleville, Pennsylvania	Sarver, Pennsylvania
			Carolina Eastern Pamlico Inc.	
			Pamplico, South Carolina	
			Prescription Fertilizer & Chemical	
			Ivor, Virginia	
			Fullen Fertilizer Co. Inc.	
			Union, West Virginia	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
			Howard Johnson's Enterprises	
225242	Phosphatic	100	Neosho, Missouri	
325312	fertilizer mfg	>100	Growmark	
	iiig		Cochranville, Pennsylvania	
			Division-Medical Asst.	
			Anchorage, Alaska	
			Medicis Pharamceutical Corp.	
			Scottsdale, Arizona	
			NMHC Integral	
			Little Rock, Arkansas	
			Pfizer Inc.	Bayer Corp
			Terre Haute, Indiana	Mishawaka, Indiana
			J.B. Laboratories	Pfizer Inc.
			Holland, Michigan	Kalamazoo, Michigan
			Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.	
			Mexico, Missouri	
325412	Pharma- ceutical	>1,000	Novo Nordisk Pharmaceutical	
323412	preparation	,	Clayton, North Carolina	
	' '		Swanson Health Products	
			Fargo, North Dakota	
			Barr Laboratories Inc.	
			Cincinnati, Ohio	
			Bayer Corporation	GlaxoSmithKline
			Myerstown, Pennsylvania	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
			Palmetto State Pharmaceuticals	
			Charleston, South Carolina	
			Pfizer Inc.	Wyeth Pharmaceuticals
			Reston, Virginia	Richmond, Virginia
			Pfizer Inc.	Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.
			Fairmont, West Virginia	Morgantown, West Virginia
			Cadillac Plastic and Chemical Co.	
			Anchorage, Alaska	
			Western Container Corporation	
	Plastics		Tolleson, Arizona	
326	and rubber	None	Crawford Industries	
	products		Crawfordsville, Indiana	
			Alcoa Engineered Plastic	
			Mattawan, Michigan	
			Big Sky Insulations Inc.	
		Belgrade, Montana		

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO <sub>2</sub> annually
			Custom Reflections	Oldcastle Glass
			Peoria, Arizona	Phoenix, Arizona
			Hoosier Glass Co. Inc.	Guardian Automotive
			Indianapolis, Indiana	Auburn, Indiana
			Wolverine Glass Products	Guardian Industries Corporation
			Grandville, Michigan	Auburn Hills, Michigan
			Chippewa Glass and Mirror Co.	Nordyne Inc.
			Saint Louis, Missouri	O'Fallon, Missouri
			Carolina Glass and Supply	
			Hampstead, North Carolina	
327211	Flat glass	\$100		Cardinal Insulating Glass
32/211	mfg	>100		Fargo, North Dakota
			Global Home Products, LLC	Pilkington North America, Inc.
			Lancaster, Ohio	Toledo, Ohio
			Norristown Glass Co.	PPG Industries Inc.
			Norristown, Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
			JPS Composite Materials Corp.	
			Slater, South Carolina	
			Old Castle Glass	
			Warrenton, Virginia	
			Paul Wissmach Glass Co.	
			Paden City, West Virginia	
				Essroc Cement
				Speed, Indiana
				Lafarge North America
				Bingham Farms, Michigan
				Buzzi Unicem USA Inc.
				Cape Girardeau, Missouri
				Cemex Inc.
327310	Cement mfg	>50		Charlotte, North Carolina
32/310	Cementing	/50		Cemex Inc.
				Fairborn, Ohio
				Lehigh Cement Co.
				Fleetwood, Pennsylvania
				Lafarge North America
				Harleyville, South Carolina
				Roanoke Cement Co.
				Troutville, Virginia

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
			Plaschem Supply and Consulting	
			Anchorage, Alaska	
			Desert Sun Fiberglass Systems	Johns Manville Corporation
			Phoenix, Arizona	Tucson, Arizona
			Fiberglass Fabricators Inc.	
			Ashdown, Arkansas	
			Fiberglass Fabricators	Reflectix Inc.
			Fort Wayne, Indiana	Markleville, Indiana
			Hamilton Engineering	Sankuer Composite Technology
			Livonia, Michigan	Ira, Michigan
		>100	American Fibrex	
			Joplin, Missouri	
			Fiberglass Structures Inc.	
327993	Mineral		Laurel, Montana	
321993	wool mfg		Albright Fiberglass Repair	
			Durham, North Carolina	
			Fiberglass Specialties	
			Minot, North Dakota	
			Great Lakes Textiles	USG Interiors, Inc.
			Cleveland, Ohio	Cleveland, Ohio
			Colonial Fiberglass Industries	Certain Teed Corporation
			Hanover, Pennsylvania	Mountain Top, Pennsylvania
			San Fiberglass Co.	New York Wire Co.
			Murrells Inlet, South Carolina	Walterboro, South Carolina
			Fiberglass Manufacturing Co.	Johns Manville Corporation
			Midland, Virginia	Edinburg, Virginia
			Performance Fiberglass	Guardian Fiberglass
			West Hamlin, West Virginia	Inwood, West Virginia

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
			United States Container Corporation	Graham Packaging Co.
			Phoenix, Arizona	Tolleson, Arizona
			Old Castle Glass	Pilkington Glass Co.
			Indianapolis, Indiana	Shelbyville, Indiana
			Wojan Window and Door Corporation	
			Charlevoix, Michigan	
			Libbey Glass Inc.	Gujarat Glass International Inc.
			Saint Charles, Missouri	Flat River, Missouri
[32721x]	"Glass and glass	>250	Valley Glass Inc.	
[32/21X]	products"	>230	Kalispell, Montana	
	products		Sun Drop/Canada Dry Bottling Co.	
			Rocky Mount, North Carolina	
			Machine Glass Specialists	Anchor Hocking Glass Co.
			Springboro, Ohio	Lancaster, Ohio
				Drug Plastics & Glass Inc.
				Boyertown, Pennsylvania
			Eagle Glass Specialties Inc.	
			Clarksburg, West Virginia	
			Schuff Steel	Asarco Inc Mission Complex
			Mesa, Arizona	Sahuarita, Arizona
			Merit Steel Processing Inc.	Nucor Steel
			Fort Smith, Arkansas	Blytheville, Arkansas
			Alro Steel Corporation	Nucor Steel
			Indianapolis, Indiana	Crawfordsville, Indiana
			Michigan Wire Processing Inc.	Kenwal Pickling
			Lowell, Michigan	Dearborn, Michigan
			Phoenix Manufacturing	
			Cole Camp, Missouri	
			Skyline Stainless	
331111	Iron & steel	>250	Billings, Montana	
331111	mills	>230	Nucor Corporation	DH Griffin Co.
			Charlotte, North Carolina	Greensboro, North Carolina
			Mid-America Steel Corporation	Republic Engineered Products
			Cleveland, Ohio	Lorain, Ohio
			Allan Industries	U.S. Steel Corporation
			Wilkes Barre, Pennsylvania	Clairton, Pennsylvania
			Greer Metals	Nucor Steel
			Greer, South Carolina	Huger, South Carolina
			Weston Co.	Roanoke Steel
			Warrenton, Virginia	Roanoke, Virginia
			West Virginia Cold Drawn	Mittal Steel USA
			Point Pleasant, West Virginia	Weirton, West Virginia

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
			Bentonville Casting Co.	
			Bentonville, Arkansas	
			Hiler Industries	Grede New Castle Inc.
			La Porte, Indiana	New Castle, Indiana
			East Jordan Iron Works Inc	East Jordan Iron Works Inc
			Sunfield, Michigan	East Jordan, Michigan
			Clay & Bailey Manufacturing Co.	Grede New Castle Inc.  New Castle, Indiana  East Jordan Iron Works Inc
			Kansas City, Missouri	
			Foothills Water & Sewer Construction	Charlotte Pipe and Foundry Co.
331511	Iron	>250	Stony Point, North Carolina	Charlotte, North Carolina
331311	foundries	>230	T&B Foundry Co.	
			Cleveland, Ohio	
			Leed Foundry Inc.	Donsco Inc.
			Saint Clair, Pennsylvania	Wrightsville, Pennsylvania
			Carolina Casting Co.	Greenwood Foundry Co.
			Union, South Carolina	Greenwood, South Carolina
			HD Supply Co.	New River Castings
			Fredericksburg, Virginia	Radford, Virginia
			Taylor's Iron and Metal	
			Huntington, West Virginia	
			Southwestern Die-casting Co.	
			Fort Smith, Arkansas	
			General Aluminum Mfg Co. Hunting- ton, Indiana	
			ICG Castings Inc.	
			Bridgman, Michigan	
			Pace Industries	
	Aluminum		Monroe City, Missouri	
331521	die-casting foundries	>1,000	Matthews Foundry Inc.	
	loundies		Matthews, North Carolina	
			Magnesium Aluminum Corp.	
			Cleveland, Ohio	
			Bowersox Precision Castings	
			Boothwyn, Pennsylvania	
			Dynacast, Inc.	
			Spartanburg, South Carolina	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
			Alaskan Bronze	
			Moose Pass, Alaska	
			Presto Casting Co.	
			Glendale, Arizona	
			JW Aluminum Co.	
			Russellville, Arkansas	
			Casting Technology Inc.	
			Franklin, Indiana	
			Whitehall Products Ltd.	
	Aluminum		Whitehall, Michigan	
331524	foundries,	> 1,000	Thyssen Krupp Stahl Co.	
331324	except	>1,000	Warrensburg, Missouri	
	die-casting		Aluminum Specialties	
			Mandan, North Dakota	
			Thakar Aluminum Corp.	
			Sandusky, Ohio	
			Harmony Castings Inc.	
			Harmony, Pennsylvania	
			D&D Foundry	
			Great Falls, South Carolina	
			Metal Casting Co.	
			Bristol, Virginia	
			Salt River Wire Products Inc.	
			Phoenix, Arizona	
			Accel International	
			Wolcotville, Indiana	
			Macsteel	
			Monroe, Michigan	
	"Steel		Lockweld Industries	
[2212,07]	products from	None	South West City, Missouri	
[3312xx]	purchased	None	Pine Ridge Post and Pole	
	steel"		Arlee, Montana	
			Haynes Wire Co.	
			Mountain Home, North Carolina	
			US Steel Corporation	
			Lorain, Ohio	
			North American Fastener Corp.	
			Bridgeport, West Virginia	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
			Husky Manufacturing Co.	
			Wasilla, Alaska	
			Kaiser Aluminum Corporation	
			Chandler, Arizona	
			Reynolds Metal Co.	Hutchinson FTS Inc.  Troy, Michigan  Columbia Falls Aluminum Columbia Falls, Montana Indalex Aluminum Solutions Burlington, North Carolina Gayston Corporation Springboro, Ohio Sapa Industrial Extrusions Cressona, Pennsylvania Hydro North America
			Malvern, Arkansas	
			Alconex Specialty Products	
			Fort Wayne, Indiana	
			Extruded Aluminum Corporation	Hutchinson FTS Inc.
			Belding, Michigan	Troy, Michigan
			General Sign Co.	
	<b>"</b> "		Cape Girardeau, Missouri	
[33131x]	"Alumina and	>250		Columbia Falls Aluminum
[55151]	aluminum"			·
			Aluminum Tool Fabricators	Indalex Aluminum Solutions
			Jonesville, North Carolina	Burlington, North Carolina
			Crest Aluminum Products	Gayston Corporation
			Mentor, Ohio	Springboro, Ohio
			Bristol Aluminum	Sapa Industrial Extrusions
			Levittown, Pennsylvania	Cressona, Pennsylvania
			Briteline Extrusions Inc.	Hydro North America
			Summerville, South Carolina	Belton, South Carolina
				Alcoa Inc.
				Richmond, Virginia
			Preferred Surfaces LLC	Alcan Rolled Products
			Morganton, West Virginia	Ravenswood, West Virginia

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO <sub>2</sub> annually
			Santoku America Inc.	Asarco Inc Ray Complex
			Tolleson, Arizona	Hayden, Arizona
			Essex Group Inc.	Alcoa Automotive
			Kendallville, Indiana	Auburn, Indiana
			Fritz Products Inc.	Huron Valley Steel Corporation
			River Rouge, Michigan	Belleville, Michigan
•			Rapco Horizon Inc.	Spartan Light Metal Products
			Jackson, Missouri	Mexico, Missouri
			U.S. Antimony Corporation	
	"Nonferrous		Thompson Falls, Montana	
[2244 ]	metals,	250	Victory White Metal Corporation	
[3314xx]	except	>250	Winston-Salem, North Carolina	
	aluminum"		Federal Metal Co.	
			Cleveland, Ohio	
			Heyco Metals Inc.	
			Reading, Pennsylvania	
			Metal Shapes and Alloys	
			Piedmont, South Carolina	
			National Castings Corporation	Nibco Virginia
			Virginia Beach, Virginia	Stuarts Draft, Virginia
			St. Mary's Refining Co.	
			Saint Marys, West Virginia	
			Northern Building Supplies Inc.	
			Anchorage, Alaska	
			Syndicate Systems	
			Middlebury, Indiana	
			Cleary Building Corporation	
			California, Missouri	
			American Iron	
222	Fabricated	Nama	Billings, Montana	
332	metals	None	Jackson Steel Inc.	
			Hendersonville, North Carolina	
			Fargo Tank & Steel	
			Fargo, North Dakota	
			Mid-Ohio Mechanical	
			Columbus, Ohio	
			Charleston Steel Co.	
			Dunbar, West Virginia	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
			Pacific Dynamics	
			Fairbanks, Alaska	
			New York Blower Company	
			La Porte, Indiana	
			Lexmark International Inc.	
333	Machinery	None	Kansas City, Missouri	
333	Macrificity	None	Burlington Machine Service	
			Burlington, North Carolina	
			Buckeye Gear Co.	
			Cleveland, Ohio	
			Petitto Mine Equipment Inc.	
			Morganton, West Virginia	

**Table 9.** Example facilities from Alaska with expected annual  $CO_2$  emissions above and below 25,000 tons. Facilities in *italics* are potentially close to the threshold for regulation.

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
311421	Fruit & vegetable canning	>1,000	North Pacific Processors Inc. Cordova, Alaska	
[3121xx]	"Beverages"	>500	Alaskan Brewing Co. Juneau, Alaska	
314	Textile product mills	>1,000	Nomar LLC Homer, Alaska	
315	Apparel	None	David Green Master Furrier Anchorage, Alaska	
316	Leather and allied products	None	Frontier Tanning Co. Anchorage, Alaska	
321113	Sawmills	None	Pacific Log and Lumber Ltd. Ketchikan, Alaska	
[32121x]	"Veneer, plywood, etc."	None	Fairbanks Truss Co. Fairbanks, Alaska	
[3219xx]	"Other wood products"	None	Alaskan Wood Moulding Anchorage, Alaska	
323	Printing and related activities	None	Alaska Litho Inc. Juneau, Alaska	
324110	Petroleum refineries	All		Flint Hills Resources North Pole, Alaska
325311	Nitrogenous fertilizer mfg	>50		Alaska Nitrogen Products, Inc. Kenai, Alaska

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
325412	Pharma- ceutical preparation	>1,000	Division-Medical Asst. Anchorage, Alaska	
326	Plastics and rubber products	None	Cadillac Plastic and Chemical Co. Anchorage, Alaska	
327993	Mineral wool mfg	>100	Plaschem Supply and Consulting Anchorage, Alaska	
331524	Aluminum foundries, except die-casting	>1,000	Alaskan Bronze Moose Pass, Alaska	
[33131x]	"Alumina and aluminum"	>250	Husky Manufacturing Co. Wasilla, Alaska	
332	Fabricated metals	None	Northern Building Supplies Inc. Anchorage, Alaska	
333	Machinery	None	Pacific Dynamics Fairbanks, Alaska	

**Table 10.** Example facilities from Arizona with expected annual  $CO_2$  emissions above and below 25,000 tons. Facilities in *italics* are potentially close to the threshold for regulation.

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
[3121xx]	"Beverages"	>500	Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Flagstaff, Arizona	Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Tempe, Arizona
313	Textile mills	>500	BEI Sportswear Inc. Tempe, Arizona	
314	Textile product mills	>1,000	Arizona Tent and Events LLC Phoenix, Arizona	
321113	Sawmills	None	Reidhead Brothers Lumber Mill Nutrioso, Arizona	
[32121x]	"Veneer, plywood, etc."	None	R&K Lumber Gilbert, Arizona	
[3219xx]	"Other wood products"	None	Atrium Windows and Doors Tolleson, Arizona	
323	Printing and related activities	None	Bowne Financial Print Phoenix, Arizona	
324110	Petroleum refineries	All		Western Refining Inc. Tempe, Arizona
325211	Plastics material & resin mfg	>100	Cytec Engineered Materials Tempe, Arizona	Guardian Fiberglass Kingman, Arizona

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
325311	Nitrogenous fertilizer mfg	>50	Casa Grande Plant Food Co. Casa Grande, Arizona	Apache Nitrogen Products, Inc. Benson, Arizona
325412	Pharma- ceutical preparation	>1,000	Medicis Pharamceutical Corporation Scottsdale, Arizona	
326	Plastics and rubber products	None	Western Container Corporation Tolleson, Arizona	
327211	Flat glass mfg	>100	Custom Reflections Peoria, Arizona	Oldcastle Glass Phoenix, Arizona
327993	Mineral wool mfg	>100	Desert Sun Fiberglass Systems Phoenix, Arizona	Johns Manville Corporation Tucson, Arizona
[32721x]	"Glass and glass products"	>250	United States Container Corporation Phoenix, Arizona	Graham Packaging Co. Tolleson, Arizona
331111	Iron & steel mills	>250	Schuff Steel Mesa, Arizona	Asarco Inc Mission Complex Sahuarita, Arizona
331524	Aluminum foundries, except die-casting	>1,000	Presto Casting Co. Glendale, Arizona	
[3312xx]	"Steel products from purchased steel"	None	Salt River Wire Products Inc. Phoenix, Arizona	
[33131x]	"Alumina and aluminum"	>250	T.A. Caid Industries Tucson, Arizona	
[3314xx]	"Nonferrous metals, except aluminum"	>250	Santoku America Inc. Tolleson, Arizona	Asarco Inc Ray Complex Hayden, Arizona

**Table 11.** Example facilities from Arkansas with expected annual  $CO_2$  emissions above and below 25,000 tons. Facilities in *italics* are potentially close to the threshold for regulation.

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
311221	Wet corn milling	>50	Tate and Lyle Van Buren, Arkansas	
311421	Fruit & vegetable canning	>1,000	Allen Canning Co. Van Buren, Arkansas	Tyson Foods, Inc. Green Forest, Arkansas
[3121xx]	"Beverages"	>500	Pepsi Bottling Group Fort Smith, Arkansas	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
314	Textile product mills	>1,000	White Bag, Inc. North Little Rock, Arkansas	
315	Apparel	None	Fruit of the Loom, Inc. Jonesboro, Arkansas	
316	Leather and allied products	None	Wolverine Procurement, Inc. Jonesboro, Arkansas	
322110	Pulp mills	>100	Greenbay Packaging Inc. Heber Springs, Arkansas	Domtar Industries Ashdown, Arkansas
324110	Petroleum refineries	All		Lion Oil Co. El Dorado, Arkansas
325182	Carbon black mfg	>50	Columbian Chemicals Co. El Dorado, Arkansas	
325188	All other basic inorganic chemicals	>50	K Tech Inc. Hot Springs, Arkansas	Porocel Corporation Little Rock, Arkansas
325211	Plastics material & resin mfg	>100	Mesa Industries, Inc. Fort Smith, Arkansas	Crane Composites Jonesboro, Arkansas
325212	Synthetic rubber mfg	>100	USA Synthetics Bentonville, Arkansas	Garlock Rubber Technologies Paragould, Arkansas
325311	Nitrogenous fertilizer mfg	>50	Delta Farmers Association Grady, Arkansas	El Dorado Chemical Co. El Dorado, Arkansas
325412	Pharma- ceutical preparation	>1,000	NMHC Integral Little Rock, Arkansas	
327993	Mineral wool mfg	>100	Fiberglass Fabricators Inc. Ashdown, Arkansas	
331111	Iron & steel mills	>250	Merit Steel Processing Inc. Fort Smith, Arkansas	Nucor Steel Blytheville, Arkansas
331511	Iron foundries	>250	Bentonville Casting Co. Bentonville, Arkansas	
331521	Aluminum die-casting foundries	>1,000	Southwestern Die-casting Co. Fort Smith, Arkansas	
331524	Aluminum foundries, except die-casting	>1,000	JW Aluminum Co. Russellville, Arkansas	
[33131x]	"Alumina and aluminum"	>250	Alcoa Inc. Arkadelphia, Arkansas	

**Table 12.** Example facilities from Indiana with expected annual  $CO_2$  emissions above and below 25,000 tons. Facilities in *italics* are potentially close to the threshold for regulation.

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
311421	Fruit & vegetable canning	>1,000	Morgan Foods Inc. Austin, Indiana	
[3121xx]	"Beverages"	>500	Pepsi Americas Inc. Muncie, Indiana	
313	Textile mills	>500	Fiber Bond Corp. Trail Creek, Indiana	
314	Textile product mills	>1,000	Anchor Industries Evansville, Indiana	
315	Apparel	None	Berne Apparel Corporation New Haven, Indiana	
316	Leather and allied products	None	Kinro Manufacturing Elkhart, Indiana	
321113	Sawmills	None	Adkins Sawmill Mitchell, Indiana	
323	Printing and related activities	None	A-1 Graphics Muncie, Indiana	
325188	All other basic inorganic chemicals	>50	Ceres Solutions LLP Vincennes, Indiana	Curtis Dyna-fog Ltd. Westfield, Indiana
325211	Plastics material & resin mfg	>100	Brunk Corporation Goshen, Indiana	DSM Engineering Plastics Evansville, Indiana
325212	Synthetic rubber mfg	>100	Rotation Dynamics La Porte, Indiana	Jasper Rubber Products Jasper, Indiana
325311	Nitrogenous fertilizer mfg	>50	Ceres Solutions LLP Westpoint, Indiana	
325412	Pharma- ceutical preparation	>1,000	Pfizer Inc. Terre Haute, Indiana	Bayer Corp Mishawaka, Indiana
326	Plastics and rubber products	None	Crawford Industries Crawfordsville, Indiana	
327211	Flat glass mfg	>100	Indy Glass Center Indianapolis, Indiana	Schott Gemtron Corporation Vincennes, Indiana
327310	Cement mfg	>50		Essroc Cement Speed, Indiana
327993	Mineral wool mfg	>100	Fiberglass Fabricators Fort Wayne, Indiana	Reflectix Inc. Markleville, Indiana

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
[32721x]	"Glass and glass products"	>250	Old Castle Glass Indianapolis, Indiana	Pilkington Glass Co. Shelbyville, Indiana
331111	Iron & steel mills	>250	Alro Steel Corporation Indianapolis, Indiana	Nucor Steel Crawfordsville, Indiana
331511	Iron foundries	>250	Hiler Industries La Porte, Indiana	Grede New Castle Inc. New Castle, Indiana
331521	Aluminum die-casting foundries	>1,000	General Aluminum Mfg Co. Hunting- ton, Indiana	
331524	Aluminum foundries, except die-casting	>1,000	Casting Technology Inc. Franklin, Indiana	
[3312xx]	"Steel products from purchased steel"	None	Accel International Wolcotville, Indiana	
[33131x]	"Alumina and aluminum"	>250	Alconex Specialty Products Fort Wayne, Indiana	
[3314xx]	"Nonferrous metals, except aluminum"	>250	Essex Group Inc. Kendallville, Indiana	Alcoa Automotive Auburn, Indiana
332	Fabricated metals	None	Syndicate Systems Middlebury, Indiana	
333	Machinery	None	New York Blower Company La Porte, Indiana	

**Table 13.** Example facilities from Michigan with expected annual  $CO_2$  emissions above and below 25,000 tons. Facilities in *italics* are potentially close to the threshold for regulation.

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
311421	Fruit & vegetable canning	>1,000	Birdseye Foods Fennville, Michigan	
[31131x]	"Sugar"	>100	Michigan Sugar Co. Au Gres, Michigan	Michigan Sugar Co. Bay City, Michigan
[3121xx]	"Beverages"	>500	Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Kalamazoo, Michigan	Stroh Companies Inc. Detroit, Michigan
313	Textile mills	>500	Guildford Mills Inc. Madison Heights, Michigan	
314	Textile product mills	>1,000	Scott Group Inc. Grand Rapids, Michigan	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
321113	Sawmills	none	Hardwoods of Michigan, Inc. Clinton, Michigan	
[32121x]	"Veneer, plywood, etc."	none	Davidson Plyforms Inc. Grand Rapids, Michigan	
[3219xx]	"Other wood products"	none	Handy Home Products Monroe, Michigan	
322110	Pulp mills	>100	Forest Resources Quinnesec, Michigan	New Page Corporation Escanaba, Michigan
323	Printing and related activities	none	Grandville Printing Co. Grandville, Michigan	<u> </u>
324110	Petroleum refineries	All		Marathon Petroleum Detroit, Michigan
325311	Nitrogenous fertilizer mfg	>50	Hydro Dynamics International Lansing, Michigan	
325412	Pharma- ceutical preparation	>1,000	J.B. Laboratories Holland, Michigan	Pfizer Inc. Kalamazoo, Michigan
326	Plastics and rubber products	none	Alcoa Engineered Plastic Mattawan, Michigan	
327211	Flat glass mfg	>100	Wolverine Glass Products Grandville, Michigan	Guardian Industries Corporation Auburn Hills, Michigan
327310	Cement mfg	>50		Lafarge North America Bingham Farms, Michigan
327993	Mineral wool mfg	>100	Hamilton Engineering Livonia, Michigan	Sankuer Composite Technology Ira, Michigan
[32721x]	"Glass and glass products"	>250	Wojan Window and Door Corporation Charlevoix, Michigan	
331111	Iron & steel mills	>250	Michigan Wire Processing Inc. Lowell, Michigan	Kenwal Pickling Dearborn, Michigan
331511	Iron foundries	>250	East Jordan Iron Works Inc Sunfield, Michigan	East Jordan Iron Works Inc East Jordan, Michigan
331521	Aluminum die-casting foundries	>1,000	ICG Castings Inc. Bridgman, Michigan	
331524	Aluminum foundries, except die-casting	>1,000	Whitehall Products Ltd. Whitehall, Michigan	
[3312xx]	"Steel products from purchased steel"	none	Macsteel Monroe, Michigan	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
[33131x]	"Alumina and aluminum"	>250	Extruded Aluminum Corporation Belding, Michigan	Hutchinson FTS Inc. Troy, Michigan
[3314xx]	"Nonferrous metals, except aluminum"	>250	Fritz Products Inc. River Rouge, Michigan	Huron Valley Steel Corporation Belleville, Michigan

**Table 14.** Example facilities from Missouri with expected annual  $CO_2$  emissions above and below 25,000 tons. Facilities in *italics* are potentially close to the threshold for regulation.

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
311421	Fruit & vegetable canning	>1,000	Jasper Products Joplin, Missouri	
[31131x]	"Sugar"	>100	Chase General Corporation Saint Joseph, Missouri	
[3121xx]	"Beverages"	>500	Arctic Ice Inc. St. Louis, Missouri	Pepsi Americas Inc. St. Louis, Missouri
313	Textile mills	>500	RM Coco Inc. Cape Girardeau, Missouri	
314	Textile product mills	>1,000	CDI Inc. Cape Girardeau, Missouri	
315	Apparel	None	Freshwater Apparel Bolivar, Missouri	
316	Leather and allied products	None	Sunrise Tannery Kansas City, Missouri	
321113	Sawmills	None	Adkins Sawmill Mitchell, Indiana	
[32121x]	"Veneer, plywood, etc."	None	Affordable Truss Exeter, Missouri	
[3219xx]	"Other wood products"	None	Cox and Son Lumber	
322110	Pulp mills	>100	Verso Paper Chesterfield, Missouri	AJM Packaging Corporation Joplin, Missouri
323	Printing and related activities	None	Four State Printing Co. Anderson, Missouri	
325188	All other basic inorganic chemicals	>50	Mead Technologies Inc. Rolla, Missouri	Mississippi Lime Co. Sainte Genevieve, Missouri

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
325211	Plastics material & resin mfg	>100	Vertex Plastics Kearney, Missouri	Presence from Innovation LLC Hazelwood, Missouri
325212	Synthetic rubber mfg	>100	Gilmur Group Independence, Missouri	
325311	Nitrogenous fertilizer mfg	>50	Mid-west Agri-Chemico Inc. Cape Girardeau, Missouri	Omnium LLC Saint Joseph, Missouri
325312	Phosphatic fertilizer mfg	>100	Howard Johnson's Enterprises Neosho, Missouri	
325412	Pharma- ceutical preparation	>1,000	Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc. Mexico, Missouri	
326	Plastics and rubber products	None		
327211	Flat glass mfg	>100	Chippewa Glass and Mirror Co. Saint Louis, Missouri	Nordyne Inc. OʻFallon, Missouri
327310	Cement mfg	>50		Buzzi Unicem USA Inc. Cape Girardeau, Missouri
327993	Mineral wool mfg	>100	American Fibrex Joplin, Missouri	
[32721x]	"Glass and glass products"	>250	Libbey Glass Inc. Saint Charles, Missouri	Gujarat Glass International Inc. Flat River, Missouri
331111	Iron & steel mills	>250	Phoenix Manufacturing Cole Camp, Missouri	
331511	Iron foundries	>250	Clay & Bailey Manufacturing Co. Kansas City, Missouri	
331521	Aluminum die-casting foundries	>1,000	Pace Industries Monroe City, Missouri	
331524	Aluminum foundries, except die-casting	>1,000	Thyssen Krupp Stahl Co. Warrensburg, Missouri	
[3312xx]	«Steel products from purchased steel»	None	Lockweld Industries South West City, Missouri	
[33131x]	«Alumina and aluminum»	>250	General Sign Co. Cape Girardeau, Missouri	
[3314xx]	«Nonferrous metals, except aluminum»	>250	Rapco Horizon Inc. Jackson, Missouri	Spartan Light Metal Products Mexico, Missouri

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
332	Fabricated metals	None	Cleary Building Corporation  California, Missouri	
333	Machinery	None	Lexmark International Inc. Kansas City, Missouri	

**Table 15.** Example facilities from Montana with expected annual  $CO_2$  emissions above and below 25,000 tons. Facilities in *italics* are potentially close to the threshold for regulation.

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
[21121v]	"Sugar"	>100	Western Sugar Co.	Sidney Sugars Inc.
[31131x]	Sugar	>100	Custer, Montana	Sidney, Montana
[3121xx]	"Beverages"	>500	Pepsi Bottling Group	
[312177]	Develages	>500	Butte, Montana	
313	Textile mills	>500	Gray Wolf Trading Co.	
313		>300	Polson, Montana	
214	Textile	. 1 000	Sutton's Sportswear Co.	
314	product mills	>1,000	Billings, Montana	
245		N	Montana Knits, Inc.	
315	Apparel	None	Helena, Montana	
	Leather		Montana Leather Co.	
316	and allied products	None	Billings, Montana	
	products		Four Corners Pine LLC	
321113	Sawmills	None	Trout Creek, Montana	
	"Other		Alpine Log Homes	
[3219xx]	wood products"	None	Victor, Montana	
	Printing		Advanced Litho Printing	
323	and related	None		
	activities		Great Falls, Montana	
324110	Petroleum	All		Conoco Philips Billings Refinery
	refineries All other			Billings, Montana
	basic		Montana Sulphur & Chemical Co.	American Chemet Corporation
325188	inorganic	>50	Billings, Montana	East Helena, Montana
	chemicals			·
325211	Plastics material &	>100	Rocky Mountain Plastics	
323211	resin mfg	>100	Whitefish, Montana	
	Nitrogenous		Valley Crop Care	
325311	fertilizer	>50	Sidney, Montana	
	mfg Plastics		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
326	and rubber	None	Big Sky Insulations Inc.	
	products	_	Belgrade, Montana	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
327993	Mineral wool mfg	>100	Fiberglass Structures Inc. Laurel, Montana	
[32721x]	"Glass and glass products"	>250	Valley Glass Inc. Kalispell, Montana	
331111	Iron & steel mills	>250	Skyline Stainless Billings, Montana	
[3312xx]	"Steel products from purchased steel"	None	Pine Ridge Post and Pole Arlee, Montana	
[3314xx]	"Nonferrous metals, except aluminum"	>250	U.S. Antimony Corporation Thompson Falls, Montana	
332	Fabricated metals	None	American Iron Billings, Montana	

**Table 16.** Example facilities from North Carolina with expected annual  $CO_2$  emissions above and below 25,000 tons. Facilities in *italics* are potentially close to the threshold for regulation.

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
311221	Wet corn milling	>50	Abbitt's Mill Inc. Williamston, North Carolina	Corn Products Winston-Salem, North Carolina
311421	Fruit & vegetable canning	>1,000	TW Garner Food Co. Winston-Salem, North Carolin	
[31131x]	"Sugar"	>100	Amstar Corporation Charlotte, North Carolina	
[3121xx]	"Beverages"	>500	Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Raleigh, North Carolina	Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Charlotte, North Carolina
[3122xx]	"Tobacco"	>250	RJ Reynolds Tobacco Co. Winston-Salem, North Carolina	
313	Textile mills	>500	Glen Raven Inc. Burlington, North Carolina	
314	Textile product mills	>1,000	RL Stowe Mills Belmont, North Carolina	
315	Apparel	None	Interstate Narrow Fabrics Inc. Haw River, North Carolina	
316	Leather and allied products	None	D&W Leather Products Co. Gastonia, North Carolina	
321113	Sawmills	None	Sunrise Sawmill Asheville, North Carolina	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
[32121x]	"Veneer, plywood, etc."	None	Georgia-Pacific Plywood Plant Dudley, North Carolina	
[3219xx]	«Other wood products»	None	Pinnacle Builders Inc. Waynesville, North Carolina	
322110	Pulp mills	>100	Brawley Timber Co. Murphy, North Carolina	Blue Ridge Paper Products Canton, North Carolina
323	Printing and related activities	None	Carolina Printing Raleigh, North Carolina	
325188	All other basic inorganic chemicals	>50	Elon Specialties Concord, North Carolina	Arclin Moncure, North Carolina
325211	Plastics material & resin mfg	>100	Polyreps Inc. Monroe, North Carolina	Clariant Corporation Charlotte, North Carolina
325212	Synthetic rubber mfg	>100	Silicones, Inc. High Point, North Carolina	
325311	Nitrogenous fertilizer mfg	>50	CPS Princeton, North Carolina	McGill Environmental System Rose Hill, North Carolina
325412	Pharma- ceutical preparation	>1,000	Novo Nordisk Pharmaceutical Clayton, North Carolina	
327211	Flat glass mfg	>100	Carolina Glass and Supply Hampstead, North Carolina	
327310	Cement mfg	>50		Cemex Inc. Charlotte, North Carolina
327993	Mineral wool mfg	>100	Albright Fiberglass Repair Durham, North Carolina	
[32721x]	"Glass and glass products"	>250	Sun Drop/Canada Dry Bottling Co. Rocky Mount, North Carolina	
331111	Iron & steel mills	>250	Nucor Corporation Charlotte, North Carolina	DH Griffin Co. Greensboro, North Carolina
331511	Iron foundries	>250	Foothills Water & Sewer Construction Stony Point, North Carolina	Charlotte Pipe and Foundry Co. Charlotte, North Carolina
331521	Aluminum die-casting foundries	>1,000	Matthews Foundry Inc. Matthews, North Carolina	
[3312xx]	"Steel products from purchased steel"	None	Haynes Wire Co. Mountain Home, North Carolina	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
[33131x]	"Alumina and aluminum"	>250	Aluminum Tool Fabricators Jonesville, North Carolina	Indalex Aluminum Solutions Burlington, North Carolina
[3314xx]	"Nonferrous metals, except aluminum"	>250	Victory White Metal Corporation Winston-Salem, North Carolina	
332	Fabricated metals	None	Jackson Steel Inc. Hendersonville, North Carolina	
333	Machinery	None	Burlington Machine Service Burlington, North Carolina	

**Table 17.** Example facilities from North Dakota with expected annual  $CO_2$  emissions above and below 25,000 tons. Facilities in *italics* are potentially close to the threshold for regulation.

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
[31131x]	"Sugar"	>100	American Crystal Sugar	American Crystal Sugar
	Sugai	>100	Cavalier, North Dakota	Drayton, North Dakota
[3121xx]	"Beverages"	>500	Pepsi Bottling Group	
[312177]	Develages	>500	Dickinson, North Dakota	
	Leather		Dacotah Leather Co.	
316	and allied products	None	Grand Forks, North Dakota	
			Frieds Saw Mill Inc.	
321113	Sawmills	None	Mandan, North Dakota	
323	Printing and related activities	None	Cole Papers Fargo, North Dakota	
324110	Petroleum	All		Tesoro Refinery
324110	refineries	All		Mandan, North Dakota
325211	Plastics material & resin mfg	>100	Terhorst Manufacturing Co. Minot, North Dakota	
325311	Nitrogenous fertilizer mfg	>50	Milton Fertilizer Plant Milton, North Dakota	
325412	Pharma- ceutical preparation	>1,000	Swanson Health Products Fargo, North Dakota	
327211	Flat glass	>100		Cardinal Insulating Glass
JZ/ZII	mfg	>100		Fargo, North Dakota
327993	Mineral	>100	Fiberglass Specialties	
32/993 v	wool mfg	>100	Minot, North Dakota	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
331524	Aluminum foundries, except die-casting	>1,000	Aluminum Specialties Mandan, North Dakota	
332	Fabricated metals	None	Fargo Tank & Steel Fargo, North Dakota	

**Table 18.** Example facilities from Ohio with expected annual  $CO_2$  emissions above and below 25,000 tons. Facilities in *italics* are potentially close to the threshold for regulation.

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
311221	Wet corn	>50		Cargill Corn Milling Inc.
	milling			Dayton, Ohio
311421	Fruit & vegetable canning	>1,000	Herman Pickle Co. Garrettsville, Ohio	
[31131x]	"Sugar"	>100	A&A Distributors Inc. Cleveland, Ohio	American Sugar Refining Cleveland, Ohio
[3121xx]	"Beverages"	>500	Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Twinsburg, Ohio	Anheuser-Busch Co. Columbus, Ohio
313	Textile mills	>500	Drapery Stitch Delphos, Ohio	
314	Textile product mills	>1,000	American Pacific Enterprises Grove City, Ohio	
315	Apparel	None	Omnova Solutions, Inc. Akron, Ohio	
321113	Sawmills	None	J. McCoy Lumber Co. Ltd. Peebles, Ohio	
[32121x]	"Veneer, plywood, etc."	None	Stark Truss Co. Inc. Washington Court House, Ohio	
[3219xx]	"Other wood products"	None	Ohio Valley Lumber Piketon, Ohio	
322110	Pulp mills	>100	B&B Paper Converters Inc. Cleveland, Ohio	Mead Westvaco Corporation Chillicothe, Ohio
323	Printing and related activities	None	Hopkins Printing Inc. Columbus, Ohio	
324110	Petroleum refineries	All		Marathon Petroleum Canton, Ohio
325188	All other basic inorganic chemicals	>50	Ohio Carbon Blank Willoughby, Ohio	Perstorp Polyols Inc. Toledo, Ohio

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO <sub>2</sub> annually
325211	Plastics material & resin mfg	>100	Buckeye Polymers Inc. Lodi, Ohio	Lubrizol Advanced Materials Cleveland, Ohio
325212	Synthetic rubber mfg	>100	Mullins International Dayton, Ohio	Fypon Ltd. Archbold, Ohio
325311	Nitrogenous fertilizer mfg	>50	United Landmark, LLC Lancaster, Ohio	Andersons Columbus, Ohio
325412	Pharma- ceutical preparation	>1,000	Barr Laboratories Inc. Cincinnati, Ohio	
327211	Flat glass mfg	>100	Global Home Products, LLC Lancaster, Ohio	Pilkington North America, Inc. Toledo, Ohio
327310	Cement mfg	>50		Cemex Inc. Fairborn, Ohio
327993	Mineral wool mfg	>100	Great Lakes Textiles Cleveland, Ohio	USG Interiors, Inc. Cleveland, Ohio
[32721x]	«Glass and glass products»	>250	Machine Glass Specialists Springboro, Ohio	Anchor Hocking Glass Co. Lancaster, Ohio
331111	Iron & steel mills	>250	Mid-America Steel Corporation Cleveland, Ohio	Republic Engineered Products Lorain, Ohio
331511	Iron foundries	>250	T&B Foundry Co. Cleveland, Ohio	
331521	Aluminum die-casting foundries	>1,000	Magnesium Aluminum Corp. Cleveland, Ohio	
331524	Aluminum foundries, except die-casting	>1,000	Thakar Aluminum Corp. Sandusky, Ohio	
[3312xx]	"Steel products from purchased steel"	None	US Steel Corporation Lorain, Ohio	
[33131x]	"Alumina and aluminum"	>250	Crest Aluminum Products Mentor, Ohio	Gayston Corporation Springboro, Ohio
[3314xx]	"Nonferrous metals, except aluminum"	>250	Federal Metal Co. Cleveland, Ohio	
332	Fabricated metals	None	Mid-Ohio Mechanical Columbus, Ohio	
333	Machinery	None	Buckeye Gear Co. Cleveland, Ohio	

**Table 19.** Example facilities from Pennsylvania with expected annual  $CO_2$  emissions above and below 25,000 tons. Facilities in *italics* are potentially close to the threshold for regulation.

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO <sub>2</sub> annually
311221	Wet corn	>50	Tate and Lyle	
	milling		Morrisville, Pennsylvania	
311421	Fruit & vegetable	>1,000	Knouse Foods	Heinz North America
311421	canning	>1,000	Biglerville, Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
[2121,04]	"Day on a sa"	. 500	Coca-Cola Bottling Co.	Coca-Cola Bottling Co.
[3121xx]	"Beverages"	>500	Pottsville, Pennsylvania	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
313	Textile mills	>500	American Silk Mills	
313		>300	Hudson, Pennsylvania	
214	Textile	. 1 000	Fabtex Inc.	
314	product mills	>1,000	Danville, Pennsylvania	
221112		No:	Bradford Forest Products	
321113	Sawmills	None	Bradford, Pennsylvania	
322110	Pulp mills	>100	Metzler Forest Products	Domtar Industries
322110	ruip IIIIIIS	>100	Woodland, Pennsylvania	Johnsonburg, Pennsylvania
324110	Petroleum	All		Sunoco Inc.
321110	refineries	7.11		Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
	All other	>50	Fedchem Inc.	BASF Corporation
325188	basic inorganic		Bethlehem, Pennsylvania	Erie, Pennsylvania
	chemicals			2.10,1 0.1113,110.110
225211	Plastics	. 100	Fiber Depot Inc.	Nova Chemicals Inc.
325211	material & resin mfg	>100	Harrisville, Pennsylvania	Coraopolis, Pennsylvania
225242	Synthetic	100	Insta-Mold Products Inc.	
325212	rubber mfg	>100	Oaks, Pennsylvania	
	Nitrogenous		Penn Canal Co.	Excell Minerals
325311	fertilizer mfg	>50	Belleville, Pennsylvania	Sarver, Pennsylvania
	Phosphatic		Construction of the constr	,
325312	fertilizer	>100	Growmark	
	mfg		Cochranville, Pennsylvania	
325412	Pharma- ceutical	>1,000	Bayer Corporation	GlaxoSmithKline
323412	preparation	/1,000	Myerstown, Pennsylvania	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
327211	Flat glass	>100	Norristown Glass Co.	PPG Industries Inc.
32/211	mfg	>100	Norristown, Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
327310	Cement mfg	>50		Lehigh Cement Co.
52,510	cementing	7.50		Fleetwood, Pennsylvania
327993	Mineral	>100	Colonial Fiberglass Industries	Certain Teed Corporation
	wool mfg		Hanover, Pennsylvania	Mountain Top, Pennsylvania
[32721x]	"Glass and glass	>250		Drug Plastics & Glass Inc.
[02/21/]	products"			Boyertown, Pennsylvania

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
331111	Iron & steel mills	>250	Allan Industries Wilkes Barre, Pennsylvania	U.S. Steel Corporation Clairton, Pennsylvania
331511	Iron foundries	>250	Leed Foundry Inc. Saint Clair, Pennsylvania	Donsco Inc. Wrightsville, Pennsylvania
331521	Aluminum die-casting foundries	>1,000	Bowersox Precision Castings Boothwyn, Pennsylvania	
331524	Aluminum foundries, except die-casting	>1,000	Harmony Castings Inc. Harmony, Pennsylvania	
[33131x]	"Alumina and aluminum"	>250	Bristol Aluminum Levittown, Pennsylvania	Sapa Industrial Extrusions Cressona, Pennsylvania
[3314xx]	"Nonferrous metals, except aluminum"	>250	Heyco Metals Inc. Reading, Pennsylvania	

**Table 20.** Example facilities from South Carolina with expected annual  $CO_2$  emissions above and below 25,000 tons. Facilities in *italics* are potentially close to the threshold for regulation.

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
311421	Fruit & vegetable canning	>1,000	Wood Brothers Inc. West Columbia, South Carolina	
[3121xx]	"Beverages"	>500	Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Rock Hill, South Carolina	
313	Textile mills	>500	Milliken and Company Spartanburg, South Carolina	Swift Galey Society Hill, South Carolina
314	Textile product mills	>1,000	Lugoff Industrial Textile Lugoff, South Carolina	
315	Apparel	None	Arrowhead Textile Co. Spartanburg, South Carolina	
316	Leather and allied products	None	John King Leather Co. Columbia, South Carolina	
321113	Sawmills	None	Dempsey Wood Products Inc. Rowesville, South Carolina	
322110	Pulp mills	>100	Tyson Pulpwood Georgetown, South Carolina	Abitibi Bowater Inc. Greenville, South Carolina
323	Printing and related activities	None	Printers Ink Summerville, South Carolina	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO <sub>2</sub> annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
325188	All other basic inorganic chemicals	>50	Pioneer Chemicals Inc. Greenville, South Carolina	Carbide Alloys Inc. Columbia, South Carolina
325211	Plastics material & resin mfg	>100	Diamond Plastics Inc. Rock Hill, South Carolina	Dispoz-o Products Inc. Fountain Inn, South Carolina
325311	Nitrogenous fertilizer mfg	>50	Carolina Eastern Pamlico Inc. Pamplico, South Carolina	
325412	Pharma- ceutical preparation	>1,000	Palmetto State Pharmaceuticals Charleston, South Carolina	
327211	Flat glass mfg	>100	JPS Composite Materials Corp. Slater, South Carolina	
327310	Cement mfg	>50		Lafarge North America Harleyville, South Carolina
327993	Mineral wool mfg	>100	San Fiberglass Co. Murrells Inlet, South Carolina	New York Wire Co. Walterboro, South Carolina
331111	Iron & steel mills	>250	Greer Metals Greer, South Carolina	Nucor Steel Huger, South Carolina
331511	Iron foundries	>250	Carolina Casting Co. Union, South Carolina	Greenwood Foundry Co. Greenwood, South Carolina
331521	Aluminum die-casting foundries	>1,000	Dynacast, Inc. Spartanburg, South Carolina	
331524	Aluminum foundries, except die-casting	>1,000	D&D Foundry Great Falls, South Carolina	
[33131x]	"Alumina and aluminum"	>250	Briteline Extrusions Inc. Summerville, South Carolina	Hydro North America Belton, South Carolina
[3314xx]	"Nonferrous metals, except aluminum"	>250	Metal Shapes and Alloys Piedmont, South Carolina	

**Table 21.** Example facilities from Virginia with expected annual  $CO_2$  emissions above and below 25,000 tons. Facilities in *italics* are potentially close to the threshold for regulation.

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
311421	Fruit & vegetable canning	>1,000	H.B. Hunter Co. Norfolk, Virginia	
[3121xx]	"Beverages"	>500	Dr. Pepper Bottling Co. Pulaski, Virginia	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO <sub>2</sub> annually
313	Textile mills	>500	Jefferson Mills Pulaski, Virginia	National Textile Galax, Virginia
314	Textile product mills	>1,000	Dixie Fibertex Co. Richmond, Virginia	, J
322110	Pulp mills	>100	Gladys Timber Products Gladys, Virginia	
323	Printing and related activities	None	, <u></u>	
324110	Petroleum refineries	All		ExxonMobil Refining & Supply Fairfax, Virginia
325182	Carbon black mfg	>50	Azdel Inc. Forest, Virginia	
325188	All other basic inorganic chemicals	>50	Nalco Chemical Co. Hopewell, Virginia	
325211	Plastics material & resin mfg	>100	Tritex LLC Independence, Virginia	Honeywell International Chester, Virginia
325212	Synthetic rubber mfg	>100	Goodyear Tire and Rubber Co. Radford, Virginia	
325311	Nitrogenous fertilizer mfg	>50	Prescription Fertilizer & Chemical Ivor, Virginia	
325412	Pharma- ceutical preparation	>1,000	Pfizer Inc. Reston, Virginia	Wyeth Pharmaceuticals Richmond, Virginia
327211	Flat glass mfg	>100	Old Castle Glass Warrenton, Virginia	
327310	Cement mfg	>50		Roanoke Cement Co. Troutville, Virginia
327993	Mineral wool mfg	>100	Fiberglass Manufacturing Co. Midland, Virginia	Johns Manville Corporation Edinburg, Virginia
331111	Iron & steel mills	>250	Weston Co. Warrenton, Virginia	Roanoke Steel Roanoke, Virginia
331511	Iron foundries	>250	HD Supply Co. Fredericksburg, Virginia	New River Castings Radford, Virginia
[33131x]	"Alumina and aluminum"	>250	J. J	Alcoa Inc. Richmond, Virginia
[3314xx]	"Nonferrous metals, except aluminum"	>250	National Castings Corporation Virginia Beach, Virginia	Nibco Virginia Stuarts Draft, Virginia

**Table 22.** Example facilities from West Virginia with expected annual  $CO_2$  emissions above and below 25,000 tons. Facilities in *italics* are potentially close to the threshold for regulation.

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO₂ annually
311421	Fruit & vegetable canning	>1,000	Knouse Foods Cooperative, Inc. Inwood, West Virginia	
[31131x]	"Sugar"	>100	Holl's Chocolate Inc. Vienna, West Virginia	
[3121xx]	"Beverages"	>500	Pepsi Bottling Group Nitro, West Virginia	
[3122xx]	"Tobacco"	>250	U.S. Smokeless Tobacco Weirton, West Virginia	
313	Textile mills	>500	Edgewood Country Club Charleston, West Virginia	
314	Textile product mills	>1,000	Palmer Smith Co. Farmington, West Virginia	
315	Apparel	None	New Morton Shirt Co. Masontown, West Virginia	
316	Leather and allied products	None	Green Valley Manufacturing Cameron, West Virginia	
321113	Sawmills	None	Bowling Timber and Logging Liberty, West Virginia	
[32121x]	"Veneer, plywood, etc."	None	Amron Building Components Bickmore, West Virginia	
[3219xx]	"Other wood products"	None	Freedom Homes Nitro, West Virginia	
322110	Pulp mills	>100	US Tag and Ticket Huntington, West Virginia	
323	Printing and related activities	None	WV Printing Charleston, West Virginia	
325182	Carbon black mfg	>50	Cabot Corporation Waverly, West Virginia	Columbian Chemicals Co. Proctor, West Virginia
325188	All other basic inorganic chemicals	>50	Occidental Chemical Corporation Belle, West Virginia	Chemtura Corporation Morgantown, West Virginia
325211	Plastics material & resin mfg	>100	Adell Polymers Inc. Petersburg, West Virginia	
325311	Nitrogenous fertilizer mfg	>50	Fullen Fertilizer Co. Inc. Union, West Virginia	

NAICS Code	Industry	Best estimate size threshold for regulation (employees)	Facilities estimated to be BELOW 25,000 tons CO₂ annually	Facilities estimated to be ABOVE 25,000 tons CO <sub>2</sub> annually
325412	Pharma- ceutical preparation	>1,000	Pfizer Inc. Fairmont, West Virginia	Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. Morgantown, West Virginia
327211	Flat glass mfg	>100	Paul Wissmach Glass Co. Paden City, West Virginia	
327993	Mineral wool mfg	>100	Performance Fiberglass West Hamlin, West Virginia	Guardian Fiberglass Inwood, West Virginia
[32721x]	"Glass and glass products"	>250	Eagle Glass Specialties Inc. Clarksburg, West Virginia	
331111	Iron & steel mills	>250	West Virginia Cold Drawn Point Pleasant, West Virginia	Mittal Steel USA Weirton, West Virginia
331511	Iron foundries	>250	Taylor's Iron and Metal Huntington, West Virginia	
[3312xx]	"Steel products from purchased steel"	None	North American Fastener Corp. Bridgeport, West Virginia	
[33131x]	"Alumina and aluminum"	>250	Preferred Surfaces LLC Morganton, West Virginia	Alcan Rolled Products Ravenswood, West Virginia
[3314xx]	"Nonferrous metals, except aluminum"	>250	St. Mary's Refining Co. Saint Marys, West Virginia	
332	Fabricated metals	None	Charleston Steel Co. Dunbar, West Virginia	
333	Machinery	None	Petitto Mine Equipment Inc. Morganton, West Virginia	

# 4. Methodology

This report estimates the  $CO_2$  emissions for various industries based on their direct use of fossil fuels.  $CO_2$  emissions from purchased electricity are excluded because electricity generators report those emissions. Process emissions of  $CO_2$ , methane, nitrous oxide, HFCs, PFCs, and  $SF_6$  are not counted here.

# 4.01. A note on non-CO<sub>2</sub> gases and process emissions

Because the available data only allows us to present  $CO_2$  emissions from the use of fossil fuels in manufacturing, process emissions and emissions of other non- $CO_2$  GHGs, such as methane and  $SF_6$ , are not included in this analysis. Process emissions are defined as those  $CO_2$  emissions that are derived from industrial processes, rather than from energy use. An example of non-energy process emissions (thus excluded from this analysis) is the venting of  $CO_2$  in lime manufacture that occurs when calcium carbonate is heated and converted to lime and  $CO_2$  (lime is also a component of cement). It should be noted that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency treats all nonfuel manufacturing emissions as emissions from industrial "processes." For example, the EPA considers emissions from both the production and the use of metallurgical coke in the steel industry to be "non-energy (industrial) processes, not energy (combustion) processes" and lists it as "industrial process" emissions.<sup>9</sup>

In 2002, industrial emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> not caused by either fuel- or nonfuel use of energy accounted for 69.4 million tons (Mt) of total U.S. carbon dioxide emissions. <sup>10</sup> This is about 8.1% of fossil fuel-related CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in manufacturing in 2002, which was calculated for this report to be 852 Mt, excluding the contribution of electricity consumption. <sup>11</sup> Cement manufacture was by far the largest contributor of process emissions, at 43.0 Mt. Other significant sources are lime manufacture (14.1 Mt), soda ash manufacture (3.5 Mt) and aluminum production (4.0 Mt). The exclusion of process emissions does not affect the conclusions of this report. Due to high process emissions, in addition to fuel-related emissions, all cement and lime manufacturing facilities would be expected to exceed the 25,000-ton threshold. Due to lack of data, comprehensive emission estimates for aluminum production were not included in this report, except under the wider category of "Alumina and aluminum," which includes aluminum oxide manufacture and secondary metals industries. Nonetheless, it is safe to assume that all primary aluminum production facilities would emit CO<sub>2</sub> in excess of 25,000 tons per year.

Other process emissions of greenhouse gases include methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), and sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>). In total, industrial process emissions of  $CO_2$  and other greenhouse gases in 2002 amounted to the equivalent of 227.7 MtCO<sub>2</sub>, which is 27% of the total  $CO_2$  manufacturing emissions derived from the use of fossil fuels. Table 23 provides an overview of the amount and sources of these greenhouse gases in manufacturing in 2002.

Table 23. Industrial	process emissions of	greenhouse (	gases in 2002. <sup>12</sup>

Greenhouse Gas	Industry Source	MtCO₂ equivalent
CO <sub>2</sub>	Cement manufacture	43.0
	Limestone use (incl. lime manufacture)	17.1
	Soda ash manufacture and use	3.9
	Carbon dioxide manufacture	1.4
	Aluminum manufacture	4.0

<sup>9</sup> U.S. EPA, 2006, 4-4.

<sup>10</sup> U.S. EIA, 2006(a), Table 14, 28.

<sup>11</sup> U.S. EIA, 2006(b), Table 2. The contribution of net electricity consumption to total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions form manufacturing in 2002 was reported as 540.7 Mt, and total manufacturing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were reported as 1,401.2 Mt, for a difference of 860.5 Mt. The calculated equivalent value in this report is 851.7 MtCO<sub>2</sub>.

12 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: U.S. EIA, 2006(a), Table 14, 28; all other gases: U.S. EPA, 2006, Table 2-8, 2-14.

Greenhouse Gas	Industry Source	MtCO₂ equivalent
CH <sub>4</sub>	Petrochemical production	1.5
	Iron and steel production	1.0
N <sub>2</sub> O	Nitric acid production	17.2
	Adipic acid production	5.9
HFCs, PFCs, and SF <sub>6</sub>	Substitution of ozone-depleting substances	86.2
	HCFC-22 production	19.8
	Electrical transmission and distribution	14.5
	Semiconductor manufacture	4.4
	Aluminum production	5.3
	Magnesium production and processing	2.6
Total		227.7

#### 4.02. Data sources and calculation methods

Answering the question posed in the introduction—who would be regulated under a national cap-and-trade program with a 25,000-ton threshold?—requires information on the pattern of energy use across each manufacturing industry. Specifically, the amount and type of fuel used by each industry must be known but also how that energy use changes relative to the size of manufacturing facilities.

That information is found in the Energy Information Administration's (EIA) Manufacturing Energy Consumption Survey (MECS), which organizes fuel consumption data by industry according to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS).<sup>13</sup> Most importantly, MECS data provide annual fuel consumption per employee in each of six size categories: fewer than 50, 50–99, 100–249, 250–499, 500–999, and more than 1,000 employees. While fuel use per employee changes across the six size categories, the composition of the fuel mix is assumed to be constant, as EIA reports only one energy profile for each industry. It is possible, and even quite likely, that a small facility in a given industry may use a slightly different energy mix from a typical large facility in the same industry. However, the energy profile of an industry is determined primarily by the nature of the industry, which differentiates it from other industries.

The next step is to calculate  $CO_2$  emissions per unit of fuel consumed in each industry. Once the composition of the fuel mix is known, its quantity (annual fuel use per employee) is multiplied by an emission coefficient ( $CO_2$  emissions per unit fuel) to derive total annual  $CO_2$  emissions per employee. The emission coefficients used are estimated or documented by EIA in it its reports on national emissions of greenhouse gases. Different coefficients, one for each type of fuel, were weighted by relative composition of the fuel mix to generate a single coefficient for each industry. The product of that composite emission coefficient and the annual energy use per employee in each facility size category provided the annual  $CO_2$  emissions per employee for each size category.

Annual  $CO_2$  emissions per employee in each size category needs to inform an estimate of how much  $CO_2$  might be emitted by a typical facility of that size category. Since there is no publicly available information on the energy use and size of individual manufacturing facilities in the country, it is necessary to apply averages. The U.S. Census Bureau publishes information on the total number of facilities and total number of employees within each of ten size categories within each industry (by the same NAICS classification codes used by EIA). The Census Bureau's ten size categories match well enough to be used with EIA's six size categories. The census data provide the basis for calculating the average number of employees within each facility size

<sup>13</sup> U.S. EIA, 2002 Manufacturing Energy Consumption Survey (MECS), 2002 Data Tables.

<sup>14</sup> U.S. EIA, 2006(c).

<sup>15</sup> U.S. Census Bureau, 2005.

<sup>16</sup> The range of 1-49 employees is represented by four segments (1-4, 5-9, 10-19, and 20-49 employees) and the range above 1,000 is represented by two segments (1,000-2,499, and 2,500 employees or more).

category of each industry. This serves to establish the average annual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions for each size category by simple multiplication.

The size category in each industry in which the average annual emissions per facility exceed the 25,000-ton threshold determined the estimated size of a facility that would be required to report its emissions. For example, if the average annual emissions of facilities with 250-499 employees were 26,000 tons, then all facilities larger than 250 employees would be assumed to report their emissions. Since the total number of employees and facilities in each size category is known, the estimate of total  $CO_2$  emissions reported are also known.

One drawback of this methodology is its reliance on averages across a relatively large size range. In the example above, the average emissions across the range of facilities with 250–499 employees may be low enough that it is doubtful that all facilities within the range would exceed the threshold, even though they do "on average." However, this methodology is made necessary by the need to quantify an estimate of the total number of facilities affected and their share of total emissions in the industry, because data are available only by size category and not by individual facilities.

To partially address this shortcoming, this report provides an estimate, to the extent feasible, of the approximate facility size at which 25,000 tons of  $CO_2$  emissions would be expected for each industry. This was done by dividing the threshold value of 25,000 tons by the average annual emission per employee in each size category. Since average emissions per employee vary between size categories, and often quite significantly, it is sometimes difficult to identify a specific threshold size, and a size range must suffice.

# 4.03. Comments on data consistency and availability

In some instances both census data and energy data were withheld for one or more size categories of an industry. For the number of employees, the census data sometimes provided a size range instead of a specific value, presumably to preserve confidentiality. Energy use was similarly withheld, both at the facility level (energy use per employee) and at the industry level (the contribution of one or more fuel types to the industry's fuel mix). Compensation was made when possible by reasonable estimation from total reported energy use and total reported number of employees, relative to reported figures for those size categories where data were not withheld. When information on the energy mix of an industry was too fragmented, separately reported  $CO_2$  emission values by industry were used to formulate an alternate emission coefficient for that industry. While this alternate data source was not available for all manufacturing categories, it did help with many of the most energy-intensive industries such as chemical and metals manufacturing.

Apparent inconsistencies were noted between census data, EIA's reported energy use per employee, and reported total energy use. EIA notes in its 2002 MECS data tables that energy use per employee is based in part on the 2002 Economic Census (Industry Series). However, the product of energy use per employee from MECS tables and total energy use per size category from the census data generally did not match precisely EIA's reported total energy use. The discrepancy was generally not large but significant enough that it seemed appropriate to proportionately re-scale energy use statistics per employee in each industry and size category so that the product of the rate of energy use (EIA) and the number of employees (Census data) would match EIA's total reported energy use.

# 5. CO<sub>2</sub> Emission Characteristics of Manufacturing

This section provides greater detail and context on the results for each manufacturing industry.

First, it establishes for each industry the smallest size category (by number of employees) regulated under a 25,000-ton threshold. Defined by EIA and Census Bureau reporting systems, the six categories are: 1–49, 50–99, 100–249, 250–499, 500–999, and more than 1,000 employees. The number and percentage of firms regulated is noted, as well as the amount and percentage of industry CO<sub>2</sub> emissions covered.

Second, the actual average threshold size of a facility in any given industry is identified to the extent that is feasible. Even though data are not available for facilities individually, and only in the context of broad size categories, it is often possible to derive a better estimate of the size of a facility, by number of employees, when a given threshold of emissions may be exceeded. For example, the average emissions of facilities of 500–999 employees in a given industry may exceed a 25,000-ton threshold but average emissions per employee may indicate that only facilities of more than 600 employees are actually likely to do so. This is not always a simple exercise because the average emission rate can vary significantly between size categories within a single industry.

#### 5.01. Food (NAICS code 311)

The food manufacturing industry includes 48 sub-categories under the NAICS classification system. EIA reported energy use per employee in 2002 for three of these under the combined category "Sugar" and for two more individually, wet corn milling and fruit and vegetable canning. Sugar production and wet corn milling are very energy intensive segments of the food industry while fruit and vegetable canning is more representative of average energy use and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions across all segments of the food industry.

As is true for most primary industry categories, the observed average energy use and  $CO_2$  emissions for the industry as a whole may not be representative of individual sub-categories. For example, the average  $CO_2$  emissions per employee in the combined sugar category is about 10 times greater than the food industry average, and  $CO_2$  emissions per employee in wet corn milling are more than four times greater on average than in sugar manufacturing. While average characteristics of the food industry are discussed below, it is important to keep in mind that any food category that is likely to be more or less energy intensive than the mean, would not be represented well by industry averages.

Most food manufacturing facilities (22,722) employ fewer than 50 people, emitting 33.4 tons per employee on average, but the largest 1,448 facilities with more than 250 employees emitted slightly less on average, at about 29 tons of  $CO_2$  per employee. That implies that the threshold would be reached at the facility size of around 900 employees. However, the energy use per employee declines rapidly at the very largest facilities. The overall average annual  $CO_2$  emissions were only 1,824 tons per facility in 2002, but the average for the 858 facilities with 250–499 employees was more than 18,000 tons (52 tons per employee), close to 15,000 tons for the 398 facilities of 500–999 employees (22 tons per employee), and close to 22,000 tons for the remaining 192 facilities with more than 1,000 employees (14 tons per employee).

At the  $CO_2$  emission rate of 13.64 tons per employee for the very largest size category, a facility would have to count more than 1,833 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. Since the available data does not break down further the number and energy intensity of facilities larger than 1,000 employees, it is difficult to assess what portion of the 192 facilities in this category might exceed the 25,000-ton threshold. In the absence of further information, this report has listed all the 192 facilities in that size category as affected by the 25,000-ton threshold, even though that is clearly somewhat excessive. Based on overall industry averages, a food manufacturing facility would reach the threshold at about 1,800 employees, but this average value is of limited use due to the great variability in energy use among the various food industries.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would include facilities with 250–499 employees (average emissions of 18,214 tons per year) and increase the number of facilities regulated to 1,448, which is 5.2% of the total number of facilities. The amount of  $CO_2$  emissions that would be subject to regulation under this lower threshold would increase 51% of the total for the industry. Additional facilities of even smaller size (<250) would be regulated under this lower threshold because of the contribution of relatively energy intensive food industries such as sugar manufacturing.

# 5.01.01. Wet corn milling (NAICS code 311221)

The 33 wet corn mills that employ more than 50 people each would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This is a little more than half of the 61 facilities in this industry. Yet, this level of regulation would represent 14.6 million tons of the total of 14.7 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted by the industry in 2002, or 99%.

Facilities that employ fewer than 50 people are the largest single segment by number of facilities (28), but counts only 4.2% of employment in the industry. This segment of the industry emits 277 tons per employee on average, but the remainder of the industry emits on average in excess of 1,688 tons of  $CO_2$  per employee.  $CO_2$  emissions per employee seem to vary significantly in this industry depending on how large the facility is. The average annual  $CO_2$  emissions exceeded 240,000 tons per facility in 2002, but the average for the facilities with 250–499 employees was about 824,000 tons, while it was only a tenth as large for facilities of 50–99 employees.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 1,629 tons per employee, a facility would have to count only sixteen employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, since the average emission rate for the smaller facilities is much lower than the overall average, it would be reasonable to anticipate that facilities approaching 50 employees may exceed the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not increase the number of facilities regulated because the average annual emissions among the smallest facilities (<50 employees) is still below that threshold, at 3,717 tons per year. However, it would take a facility of about 36 employees to cross that threshold at the average rate of emissions for this size category, which might to include some of those 28 facilities, even though the average number of employees in that class is only thirteen.

# 5.01.02. "Sugar" (NAICS codes 31131x)

The "sugar" category of industry includes the three sub-categories of sugarcane mills, cane sugar refining, and beet sugar manufacturing.

The 44 sugar facilities that employ more than 100 people each would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This is about half of the 87 facilities in this industry. This level of regulation would represent 4.6 million tons of the total of almost 5 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted by the industry in 2002, or 92%.

Facilities that employ fewer than 50 people are the largest single segment by number of facilities (36), but counts only 3.8% of employment in the industry. Unlike wet corn milling, the smallest sugar facilities (<50 employees) have a higher average emission rate than the rest of the industry, or 571 tons per employee compared to an average of 332 tons of  $CO_2$  per employee for the remainder of the industry. Average annual  $CO_2$  emissions are the greatest for facilities with 250–499 employees, at close to 132,000 tons, but are 8,793 tons on average for facilities with fewer than 50 employees.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 341 tons per employee, a facility would have to count only 74 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size category is particularly low at 188 tons per employee, indicating a much higher threshold of 134 employees.

This is in stark contrast with the much higher average emission rate for the smallest size category (<50 employees) noted above, which would imply a threshold of only 44 employees. Similarly, the average emissions for the size category of 100–249 employees are 476 tons per employee, suggesting a threshold of only 53 employees. Such nonlinearity makes it difficult to pinpoint a narrow threshold range, but it would seem reasonable to anticipate that facilities of 50–100 employees would approach or exceed the threshold level of 25,000-tons.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  would affect all facilities to of more than fifty employees. This is because the average annual emissions among the smallest facilities (<50 employees) approaches 9,000 tons. Since the average number of employees per facility in that size category is 15 and it would take a facility of only 18 employees to cross the 10,000-ton threshold at the average rate of emissions, it is likely that some portion of the 36 facilities in this size category would be affected.

# 5.01.03. Fruit & vegetable canning (NAICS code 311421)

Among fruit and vegetable canners, only the three facilities (from a total of 782) that employ more than 1,000 people each would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. Yet, in 2002, these three facilities represented 230,000 tons of the total of 2.06 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry that year, or 11%.

Most of these canning facilities (516) employ fewer than 50 people, emitting 26.7 tons per employee on average, but the largest three facilities emit on average 52 tons of  $CO_2$  per employee. While the average annual  $CO_2$  emissions were 2,637 tons per facility in 2002, the average for the 44 facilities with 250–499 employees was more than 10,000 tons, more than 18,000 tons for the 15 facilities of 500–999 employees, and in excess of 76,000 tons for the remaining three facilities with more than 1,000 employees.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 35 tons per employee, a facility would have to count more than 716 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size class (500–999 employees) is slightly lower than the industry average, at 28.7 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 871 employees. Therefore, it seems reasonable to anticipate that any facility approaching 900 employees may exceed the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would include facilities with 250-499 employees (average emissions of 10,490 tons per year) and increase the number of facilities regulated to 62, which is 7.9% of the total number of facilities. The amount of  $CO_2$  emissions that would be subject to regulation under this lower threshold would increase to 970,000 tons, which is 47% of the total for the industry. However, since the average emission level in this size class is relatively close to the 10,000-ton threshold, it is likely that the actual number of regulated facilities would be smaller than 62. It follows that it is not very likely that additional facilities of even smaller size (<250) would be regulated under this lower threshold because the average emission rate for the 111 facilities with 100-249 employees is 34.2 tons per employee, with average annual emissions of 5,414 tons.

# 5.02. Beverage and tobacco (NAICS code 312)

The beverage and tobacco industry covers six sub-categories for beverages, such as soft drinks, breweries, and distilleries, and three tobacco-related categories.

It is inherently problematic to treat beverage and tobacco manufacturing as a single industry category for the purpose at hand, mostly because the types of energy and the pattern of energy use differ significantly between beverage and tobacco manufacturers. For example, coal is by far the primary fossil energy source for tobacco facilities while natural gas is the largest source of fossil energy for beverage manufacturers. Also, large beverage facilities (500+ employees) use more energy per employee on average than tobacco facilities of the same size while the average energy use by smaller tobacco facilities (100–500 employees) is more than

twice the average for beverage facilities of the same size. Subsequently, average CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per employee for the various size classes of facilities differ significantly between the two industry categories.

For these reasons, it is not reasonable to generalize about the impact of a 25,000-ton  $CO_2$  threshold on beverage and tobacco manufacturers as a single industry class. Despite limited availability of data and apparent inconsistency in reported values on employment and energy use for the two individual industry categories (see section 3), it is more useful to estimate  $CO_2$  emissions and likelihood of regulation individually for each industry category.

#### 5.02.01. Beverage product manufacturing (NAICS codes 3121xx)

Among beverage manufacturers, the 37 facilities that employ more than 500 people each would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This is only 1.3% of the total number of establishments in this industry of 2,903 facilities. This level of regulation would represent 1.7 million tons of the total of 3.3 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 51%.

Most beverage manufacturing facilities (2,361) employ fewer than 50 people, emitting 15.3 tons per employee on average, but the largest 37 facilities emitted on average in excess of 48 tons of  $CO_2$  per employee. While the average annual  $CO_2$  emissions were only 1,149 tons per facility in 2002, the average for the 29 facilities with 500–1000 employees was about 40,000 tons, and in excess of 68,000 tons for the remaining eight facilities with more than 1,000 employees.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 24.5 tons per employee, a facility would have to count more than 1,020 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size category, at 41.9 tons per employee, implies a threshold of 597 employees, and the average emission rate for facilities with 500–1000 employees, still higher at 52.2 tons per employee, suggests a threshold of 479 employees. Smaller facilities have much lower emission rates per employee, indicating that 500-employee facilities and larger exhibit decisively more  $CO_2$  emissions than smaller facilities, and that this is also the point where a beverage facility may exceed the 25,000-ton threshold. These larger facilities are very large breweries, wineries, and soft drink manufacturers.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not necessarily cover a large number of additional facilities. In addition to the 37 facilities with more than 500 employees, there were another 84 facilities with 250–499 employees from a total of 2,903 facilities. On average, those 84 plants had an average of 358 employees in 2002 and emitted 5,599 tons each at an average emission rate of 15.6 tons per employee, implying a threshold number of 1,600 employees, which is far outside the range of the size category. Somewhere in the range 360 and 500 employees, the average emission rate increases dramatically, not only to exceed 10,000 tons per year but even 25,000 tons per year. This is probably explained further by differences between sub-sectors of the beverage industry where larger facilities of one kind, such as breweries, have far higher emission rates than smaller facilities in a different sub-sector, such as bottled water manufacturing.

#### 5.02.02. Tobacco product manufacturing (NAICS codes 3122xx)

Among tobacco manufacturers, the 21 facilities that employ more than 250 people each would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This is 18.4% of the total number of establishments in this industry of 114 facilities. This level of regulation would represent 1.08 million tons of the total of 1.24 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 87%.

Most tobacco manufacturing facilities (68) employ fewer than 50 people, emitting 17.1 tons per employee on average, but the largest 21 facilities (>250) emitted on average in excess of 51 tons of  $CO_2$  per employee. While the average annual  $CO_2$  emissions were only 10,832 tons per facility in 2002, the average for the 11 facilities with 250–500 employees was approaching 29,000 tons, close to 35,000 tons for the four facilities

of 250–500 employees, and in excess of 103,000 tons for the remaining six facilities with more than 1,000 employees.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 50.3 tons per employee, a facility would have to count more than 498 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size class (250–499 employees) is 72.6 tons per employee, making it reasonable to expect that any tobacco facility with more than 345 employees may exceed the 25,000-ton threshold. This report has included all 11 plants with 250–499 employees as exceeding the 25,000-ton threshold. However, even though on average they do emit at a rate higher than the threshold, it is very likely that some of those plants would fall below that level.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would almost certainly include all facilities with more than 250 employees, but would not necessarily expand coverage much beyond that. In 2002, there were another ten facilities with 100-249 employees but their average emissions were only 9,944 tons per year. Some of those plants are bound to have exceeded 10,000 tons per year but all ten plants accounted for only 99,000 tons of  $CO_2$  compared to the 1,075,000 tons already covered under larger facilities. Nonetheless, since it is likely that not all larger facilities (>250) would be covered under the 25,000-ton threshold, a lower threshold might easily increase actual coverage by 100,000 tons of  $CO_2$  per year, or more.

#### 5.03. Textile mills (NAICS code 313)

Textile mills include yarn, thread, and fabric mills, textile and fabric finishing, and fabric coating. There are twelve sub-categories of industry within this category but data on energy use, employment distribution, and number of facilities are available only for the general category of textile mills.

Based on data available for textile mills in general, the 82 facilities that employ more than 500 people each would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This is only 2.1% of the total number of establishments in this industry of 3,919 facilities. Yet, this level of regulation would represent 2.5 million tons of the total of 6.6 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 38%.

Most textile mills (2,794) count fewer than 50 employees, emitting twelve tons per employee on average, but the largest 82 facilities emitted on average in excess of 36 tons of  $CO_2$  per employee. While the average annual  $CO_2$  emissions were only 1,682 tons per facility in 2002, the average for the 70 facilities with 500–999 employees was more than 27,000 tons, and in excess of 47,000 tons for the remaining twelve facilities with more than 1,000 employees.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 28.1 tons per employee, a facility would have to count more than 889 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. Since the average emission rate for facilities in that size range (500–999 employees) is considerably higher, at 41.2 tons per employee, any facility of more than 607 employees would be considered likely to approach the 25,000-ton threshold. Therefore, it is plausible that some of the 70 facilities with 500–999 employees would fall below the threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would almost certainly include all facilities with more than 500 employees and probably some of the 202 plants with 250–499 employees, with average emissions of 9,665 tons per year in 2002 and total emissions a bit under 2 million tons.

# 5.04. Textile product mills (NAICS code 314)

Textile product mills include facilities that manufacture items such as carpets, curtains, canvas, rope, tire fabric and other miscellaneous textile products. There are eight sub-categories of industry within this

category but data on energy use, employment distribution, and number of facilities are available only for the general category of textile product mills.

Based on data available for textile product mills in general, the seven facilities that employ more than 1,000 people each would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This is only 0.1% of the total number of establishments in this industry of 7,270 facilities. Yet, this level of regulation would represent 234,000 tons of the total of 1.76 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 13%.

Most textile product mills (6,566) count fewer than 50 employees, emitting 3.6 tons per employee on average, while the largest seven facilities emitted on average in nearly 27 tons of  $CO_2$  per employee. While the average annual  $CO_2$  emissions were only 242 tons per facility in 2002, the average for the seven largest facilities was more than 33,000 tons.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 9.6 tons per employee, a facility would have to count more than 2,600 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, since the few largest facilities have significantly higher average emission rates than the industry average, any facility of more than 1,000 employees would be considered likely to approach the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would include facilities with 500-999 employees (average emissions of 12,323 tons per year) and would increase the number of facilities regulated to 43, which is still only 0.6% of the total number of facilities. However, the amount of  $CO_2$  emissions that would be subject to regulation under this lower threshold would increase to 677,000 tons, which is 38% of the total for the industry. It is unlikely that many additional facilities of even smaller size would be regulated under this lower threshold because the average emission rate for the 106 facilities with 250-499 employees is just less than 18 tons per employee, with average annual emissions of 6,586 tons.

#### 5.05. Apparel (NAICS code 315)

The apparel class of industry encompasses knitting mills and "cut & sew" facilities that manufacture clothing and accessories, including fur and leather apparel. There are twenty-four sub-categories of industry within the apparel category but data on energy use, employment distribution, and number of facilities are available only for the general apparel category.

Based on data available for apparel manufacturing in general, not a single establishment in this industry would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. There were only nine apparel manufacturing facilities in the largest EIA size category in 2002 (1,000+ employees), from an industry total of 13,041 facilities. The average size of these nine largest facilities was 1,292 employees, emitting 1.51 tons of  $CO_2$  per employee, or 1,956 tons  $CO_2$  per establishment. Since the Census Bureau reports no facilities in this industry larger than 2,500 employees, it is virtually impossible that any single facility would emit more than 25,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year, unless its emission rate far exceeded the average rate for the industry. Most apparel manufacturing locations count fewer than 50 employees, and the average annual  $CO_2$  emissions were only 70 tons per facility in 2002.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 2.66 tons per employee, a facility would have to count about 9,400 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold, and since the few largest facilities have significantly lower average emission rates than the industry average, that theoretical employee threshold would be exceedingly large.

Due to the relatively low emissions levels for apparel manufacturing facilities, even a lower threshold of 10,000 tons CO<sub>2</sub> per year would not be likely to trigger any regulation in this industry.

# 5.06. Leather and allied products (NAICS code 316)

This industry category covers ten sub-categories, distributed among the three areas of tanning and finishing of leather and hide, footwear manufacturing, and luggage and other leather goods. Data on energy use, employment distribution, and number of facilities are not available individually for the sub-categories.

Based on data available for the general category, not a single establishment in this industry would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. There were only three manufacturing facilities in the largest EIA size category in 2002 (>1,000 employees), from an industry total of 1,530 facilities. The average size of these three largest facilities was 1,110 employees, emitting 3.44 tons of  $CO_2$  per employee, or 3,816 tons  $CO_2$  per establishment. Since there are three facilities in this category emitting a total of only 11,448 tons, it is impossible that any single facility would emit more than 25,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year. Most facilities in this industry count fewer than 50 employees, and the average annual  $CO_2$  emissions were only 139 tons per facility in 2002.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 4.76 tons per employee, a facility would have to count about 5,250 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, since the few largest facilities have lower average emission rates than the industry average, theoretically, only facilities of more than 7,200 employees would be considered likely to approach the 25,000-ton threshold, which far exceeds the size of even the largest leather manufacturing facilities.

Due to the relatively low emissions levels in this industry as a whole, even a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not be likely to trigger any regulation.

# 5.07. Wood products (NAICS code 321)

The wood manufacturing industry includes fourteen sub-categories under the NAICS classification system. EIA reported energy use per employee in 2002 for five of these under the combined category "Veneer, plywood, and engineered woods," another seven categories under the classification "Other wood products," and finally the single sub-category of sawmills. Wood preservation is the only sub-category not covered by published EIA energy-use data.

Fossil fuel use per employee varies significantly across the different sub-sectors, and particularly between different facility size categories within an industry segment. For example, EIA reports that the average total fuel use for the segment "veneer, plywood, and engineered woods" is 1.6 billion Btus per employee, with a high of 3.7 billion Btus for facilities of 100–249 employees and a low of merely 0.1 billion Btus per employee for facilities of fewer than 50 employees. While the categories of "Other wood products" and sawmills show some size-dependent variation in energy use, it is not nearly as dramatic.

A special consideration for wood products is the large amount of wood chips (waste wood) used as fuel. Only about 24% of the fuel used in the industry (excluding electricity) is derived from fossil fuels. The carbon emissions from use of wood fuel are excluded in the calculations in this report, in accordance with the convention of assuming a virtually closed-loop use of biomass.

Similar to food production, the observed average energy use and  $CO_2$  emissions for the industry as a whole may not be representative of individual sub-categories. For example, the average  $CO_2$  emissions per employee in sawmills are about four times greater than the average for "Other wood products," and  $CO_2$  emissions per employee in "Veneer, etc." are twice the average for sawmills. Since all sub-categories of the industry, except one, are represented in the three groups covered by EIA reported data, it seems reasonable to only discuss those sub-groups individually and avoid potentially misleading conclusions about emissions-thresholds for the industry as whole. The implied thresholds of the wood industry as a whole can still be seen in Table 5.

#### 5.07.01. Sawmills (NAICS code 321113)

None of the 3,807 sawmills in the country would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule.

Most sawmills (3,265) employ fewer than 50 people, emitting 3.7 tons per employee on average, but the largest two facilities emit on average in excess of 24 tons of  $CO_2$  per employee. Energy use per employee, and thus  $CO_2$  emissions per employee, grows fast with increasing size, to a point where the energy profile of the largest sawmills has little in common with the rest of the industry. While the average annual  $CO_2$  emissions were only 252 tons per facility in 2002, the average for the two largest facilities with 500–999 employees was more than 15,000 tons. There were no sawmills with more than 1,000 employees.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 10.1 tons per employee, a facility would have to count more than 2,488 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold but the average emission rate for the largest relevant size class (500–999 employees) is 24.2 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 1,035 employees. There were no sawmills of such size in 2002.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would cover the two sawmills in the 500-999 employee size category, representing 959 tons of  $CO_2$ , which was 3% of sawmill emissions in 2002. Since facilities of 250–499 employees have much lower average emission rates (14.3 tons per employee), there is no strong reason to assume that facilities of fewer than 500 employees would be likely to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold.

# 5.07.02. "Veneer, plywood, and engineered wood" (NAICS codes 32121x)

As the name implies, this industry category covers veneer, plywood, engineered wood members, trusses, and reconstituted wood products. Data on energy use are not available individually for the various subcategories.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, not a single establishment in this industry would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. Most facilities in this industry count fewer than 50 employees, and the average annual  $CO_2$  emissions for the industry were 1,179 tons per facility in 2002. The largest plants in this industry fall in the category of 500-999 employees. There were only nine facilities in this size category from an industry total of 1,916 plants. The average size of these nine largest establishments was 576 employees, emitting 16.05 tons of  $CO_2$  per employee, or 9,245 tons  $CO_2$  per establishment.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 19.89 tons per employee, a facility would have to count about 1,257 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold, which is larger than any plant in this industry in 2002.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year might affect some facilities because the average emissions among the nine largest plants is so close to the 10,000-ton threshold. However, those nine plants accounted for only 83,000 tons of  $CO_2$  from a category total of 2.26 million tons in 2002.

### 5.07.03. "Other wood products" (NAICS codes 3219xx)

This industry category covers millwork (such as flooring, doors and windows), wood containers and pallets, manufactured homes, prefabricated wood buildings, and all other miscellaneous wood products. Data on energy use are not available individually for the various sub-categories.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, not a single establishment in this industry would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. The facilities that have the highest average  $CO_2$  emissions per employee are the 32 establishments with 500-999 employees. Their average annual emissions were 6,538 tons in 2002. The nine facilities with more than 1,000 employees had annual average emissions of 3,889

tons. Most facilities in this industry, or 9,532 out of 10,940 facilities, count fewer than 50 employees, and the average annual  $CO_2$  emissions for the industry were 72 tons per facility in 2002.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 2.49 tons per employee, a facility would have to count more than 10,000 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size class (>1,000 employees) is lower, at 1.52 tons per employee, indicating a theoretical threshold size of more than 16,000 employees. On the other hand, facilities with 500–999 employees have a much higher average emission rate per employee, at 10.10 tons, indicating a threshold size of 2,475 employees. Since there is such a large difference in average emission rates per employee between the size categories, it is difficult to pinpoint the employment size most likely to cause average emissions to exceed 25,000 tons, but only a facility of "several thousand" employees would be considered likely to exceed the 25,000-ton threshold, which probably exceeds the size of any facility in the industry.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not be expected to trigger emissions regulation. The 32 facilities with 500–999 employees emit on average 6,538 tons  $CO_2$  per year, but facilities in other size categories have significantly lower average emissions. It is possible, but somewhat improbable, to emit 10,000 tons per year with fewer than 1,000 employees.

# 5.08. Paper (NAICS code 322)

The paper industry includes twenty sub-categories under the NAICS classification system. EIA reported energy use per employee in 2002 for four of these individually. These are the paper mills: pulp mills, newsprint mills, paper mills other than newsprint, and paperboard mills. Paper mills are generally more energy intensive than the rest of the paper industry and represent higher than average  $CO_2$  emissions per employee.

There are too many inconsistencies and missing data to report reliable CO<sub>2</sub> thresholds for three of the four sub-categories above. EIA did not provide fuel use per employee for the smallest facility-size category for non-newsprint paper mills and paperboard mills, due to uncertainty in estimation. While it should be possible to derive some estimate of these values based on reported total fuel use in the industry and reported fuel use per employee in the other size categories, this was confounded by the apparent inconsistency between the product of reported fuel use per employee and total number of employees on one hand, and independently reported total fuel use on the other. For example, the sum of fuel use in paperboard mills across all size categories larger than 50 employees (the product of energy use per employee in each class and the number of employees in each class), yields a figure that is higher than the implied total for the industry (product of average industry energy use per employee and total number of employees in industry), which again does not quite match EIA's independently reported total fuel use for the industry. As noted in section 3.03, this inconsistency is introduced by apparent disagreement between EIA's calculated fuel use per employee and Census data on the number of employees in each industry. This is impossible to clarify further because EIA reports total energy use per industry and fuel use per employee but does not provide the actual Census data used, except to say that 2002 Economic Census data were used.

As for newsprint mills, EIA reports fuel use for mills with 50–99 employees even though the Census data indicate no such facilities exist. Likewise, fuel use for mills with 500–999 employees is reported as none even though Census data indicate the existence of one such facility (EIA does not claim to withhold the information for privacy concerns).

As a result, it is highly problematic to draw conclusions about thresholds for the three categories other than pulp mills. The following are general conclusions about the paper industry as a whole, and then pulp mills in particular.

A special consideration for paper mills is the large amount of wood chips used as fuel as well as the pulping liquor or black liquor, which is an energy source unique to the paper mill industry. Only about 40% of the fuel used in the industry (excluding electricity) is derived from fossil fuels, while about 38% comes from wood and 15% from pulping/black liquor. As with the wood industry, the carbon emissions from use of wood fuel and black liquor is excluded in the calculations in this report, in accordance with the convention of assuming a virtually closed-loop use of biomass.

As noted above, the observed average energy use and  $CO_2$  emissions for the industry as a whole may not be representative of individual sub-categories. For example, the average  $CO_2$  emissions per employee in pulp mills are about 85% greater than the paper industry average and average emissions per pulp mill are about five times greater than the average per facility across the whole industry.

Among all paper manufacturing establishments, the 376 facilities that employ more than 250 people each would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This is 6.8% of the total number of establishments in this industry of 5,501 facilities. This level of regulation would represent 50.2 million tons of the total of 58.5 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 86%. In addition, nine smaller pulp mills of 100-249 employees would be expected to reach the threshold, representing an additional 257,000 tons of  $CO_2$ .

Most paper manufacturing facilities (3,111) employ fewer than 50 people, emitting 11.3 tons per employee on average, but the largest 376 facilities emit on average in excess of 241 tons of  $CO_2$  per employee. While the average annual  $CO_2$  emissions were 10,630 tons per facility in 2002, the range was tremendously wide, from an average of 187 tons for facilities with fewer than 50 employees, to more than 350,000 tons for facilities of more than 1,000 employees.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 119 tons per employee, a facility would have to count only 211 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size class (100–249 employees) is 34.2 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 732 employees, which falls outside the size category. Since facilities with 250–499 employees have much higher average emission rates (160 tons per employee) and facilities of 500–999 employees are higher still (312 tons per employee), indicating a threshold size of only 80 employees, it is difficult to identify a single specific facility size likely to exceed the 25,000-ton threshold, although a crude estimate might be around 300 employees. In this context, it would be most important to distinguish between paper mills and other less carbon-intensive paper industries.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, and the above discussion, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year might trigger emissions regulation for facilities with more than 200 employees, but that is a very rough estimate.

#### 5.08.01. Pulp mills (NAICS code 322110)

Among all 32 pulp mills in the country, the 22 mills that employ more than 100 people each (69% of facilities) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 1.69 million tons of the total of 1.70 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 99%.

Pulp mills come in all sizes but the level of  $CO_2$  emissions increases rapidly with operating scale. The range of  $CO_2$  emissions varies tremendously with size, from an average of 41.6 tons per employee and average annual emissions of 1,235 tons for the seven pulp mills of fewer than 50 employees, to 235 tons per employee and more than 170,000 tons annually for each of the four pulp mills with 500–999 employees.

At the industry-average CO<sub>2</sub> emission rate of 220.4 tons per employee, a facility would have to count only 114 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size class is lower, at 194 tons per employee and 28,558 tons per pulp mill. This indicates a threshold size of

129 employees. Similar to the paper industry as a whole, it is difficult to identify a single specific facility size likely to exceed the 25,000-ton threshold because the average emission rate per employee rises very significantly from one size category to the next. Pulp mills with 50–99 employees have a far lower average emission rate, at 29.4 tons per employee and 2,292 tons per pulp mill. Therefore, it is very likely that some of the nine pulp mills of 100–249 employees (with an average size of 147 employees) would not reach annual emissions of 25,000 tons.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not be expected to result in much additional emissions regulation, because average emissions for mills below 100 employees fall off precipitously. However, it would almost certainly cover all mills with more than 100 employees.

# 5.09. Printing and related activities (NAICS code 323)

Printing and related support activities cover twelve sub-categories but EIA publishes fuel use data for them only as a single group.

There were 37,532 printing facilities in the country in 2002 and none of those would have been expected fall under the 25,000-ton threshold.

The range of  $CO_2$  emissions varies from an average of 2.75 tons per employee and average annual emissions of 22 tons for the 34,421 printing facilities of fewer than 50 employees, to 8.24 tons per employee and more than 10,989 tons annually for each of the 21 facilities with more than 1,000 employees.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 3.50 tons per employee, a facility would have to count about 7,143 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size class is much higher, indicating a threshold size of 3,034 employees. Therefore, it is reasonable to anticipate that any printing facility approaching 3,000 employees may exceed the 25,000-ton threshold, but since the average size of the 21 largest printing facilities is only 1,333 employees, it is unlikely that any facility would be affected.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would result in additional emissions regulation. Many of the 21 printing facilities employing more than 1,000 people (0.06% of facilities), would be regulated under a 10,000-ton rule. All 21 included, this level of regulation would represent 231,000 tons of the total of 2.5 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 9%. However, since the average emissions per facility are merely 10,989 tons per year, it is likely that some of these plants would fall under the lower threshold of 10,000 tons.

#### 5.10. Petroleum and coal products (NAICS code 324)

Petroleum and coal products cover petroleum refineries, asphalt products, petroleum lubricants, and "all other petroleum and coal products." In 2002, petroleum refineries accounted for 30% of all manufacturing industry CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

EIA has published fuel use data for two out of five sub-categories: petroleum refineries and "other petroleum and coal products." Both industry categories use significant amounts of feedstock fuels but the composition of these feedstock fuels is not disclosed in EIA's MECS data tables. For example, petroleum refineries were reported to use 3.3 quads of nonfuel energy in 2002 under the category of "other," which exceeds their fuel-energy use of about 3 quads. This composition of this fuel use is unknown, this report relied on a separate EIA report of  $CO_2$  emissions from refineries in 2002, to formulate a comprehensive emission coef-

<sup>17</sup> U.S. EIA, 2002 Manufacturing Energy Consumption Survey (MECS), 2002 Data Tables, Table 2.2.

ficient that includes feedstock emissions (but excludes process emissions and flaring).<sup>18</sup> Because EIA did not report individual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions for the category of "other petroleum and coal products," it was not feasible to accurately formulate a separate emission coefficient for this category. Subsequently, no estimation can be made here of relative thresholds for this industry category individually.

Refineries heavily influence industry-wide figures. Even though they number only 198 out of the total of 2,262 facilities in the petroleum industry, they accounted for 91% of the industry's  $CO_2$  emissions in 2002.

#### 5.10.01. Petroleum and coal products – entire industry (NAICS code 324)

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 2,262 petroleum and coal product facilities in the country, only 369 of them (16%), those employing more than 50 people, would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 272.5 million tons of the total of 280.2 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 97%. Actual regulation might be slightly different, as discussed below, because the 55 refineries that count fewer than 50 employees (average of 48,181 tons per refinery and a total of 2.65 million tons) would also exceed the threshold. On the other hand, some of the 131 nonrefinery facilities with 50–99 employees (average of 26,148 tons per plant and a total of 3.95 million tons) would be expected to fall below the threshold.

The range of  $CO_2$  emissions varies from an average of 422 tons per employee and average annual emissions of 4,047 tons for the 1,893 facilities of fewer than 50 employees, to 4,859 tons per employee and an average of 5.96 million tons annually for each of the 13 facilities (all are refineries) with more than 1,000 employees.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 2,716 tons per employee, a facility would have to count only ten employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the corresponding size class is much lower, indicating a threshold size of 60 employees. Therefore, it is reasonable to anticipate that a petroleum and coal product facility exceeding 50 employees may exceed the 25,000-ton threshold. Refineries are the exception, as discussed below, because virtually any refinery would be expected to emit more than 25,000 tons of  $CO_2$ .

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not result in additional emissions regulation except that all 131 nonrefinery facilities with 50-99 employees would now almost certainly exceed the threshold.

# 5.10.02. Petroleum refineries (NAICS code 324110)

As noted above, all 198 petroleum refineries in the country would be regulated under either a 25,000-ton or a 10,000-ton rule. Refineries accounted for 30% of all manufacturing industry emissions of  $CO_2$  in 2002, or 254.6 million metric tons.

The range of  $CO_2$  emissions varies from an average of 2,018 tons per employee and average annual emissions of more than 48,000 tons for the 55 refineries of fewer than 50 employees, to 4,859 tons per employee and an average of 5.96 million tons annually for each of the 13 refineries with more than 1,000 employees.

At the lowest CO<sub>2</sub> emission rate of 2,018 tons per employee, based on employee numbers alone, a facility would have to count only 13 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. Yet the average size of refineries in the smallest size category is 24 employees. Therefore, it is virtually certain that any refinery would exceed the 25,000-ton threshold. Clearly, the same is true for a 10,000-ton threshold.

18 U.S. EIA, 2006(b), Table 2.

#### 5.11. Chemicals (NAICS code 325)

The chemical manufacturing industry covers 34 sub-categories of manufacturing. The industry is second only to petroleum in  $CO_2$  emissions from manufacturing. Chemicals accounted for 211.6 million metric tons  $CO_2$  in 2002, or about 25% of the total for the manufacturing industries.

EIA has published fuel use data for 15 sub-categories individually, and a group of four sub-categories under the title "Pharmaceuticals and medicines." Similar to the petroleum industry, many of these industries use significant amounts of feedstock fuels. Data on nonfuel and fuel energy use are limited in many instances, making calculations of emission thresholds difficult. For example, data on the energy mix for noncellulosic organic fiber manufacturing are very limited. Only the quantity of natural gas is reported, which amounts to about half of energy use in this industry, while the quantity of electricity, oil, and coal is withheld by EIA<sup>19</sup> Even though EIA reports total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from this industry elsewhere<sup>20</sup> (including electricity), which normally would be enough to formulate an emission coefficient, the fact that total electricity use is withheld means that CO<sub>2</sub> emissions exclusive of electricity use cannot be determined, as is the purpose here. Similar lack of information stood in the way of formulating emission coefficients for another five manufacturing sub-categories: petrochemicals, industrial gases, alkalies and chlorine, ethyl alcohol, and photographic chemicals and related products. Despite these obstacles, some inference can be made about emission thresholds for these industries from the available data. Each will be discussed below.

# 5.11.01. Chemicals – entire industry (NAICS code 325)

There were 13,189 chemical manufacturing facilities in the country in 2002. Based on average carbon dioxide emissions across the entire industry, the 1,725 facilities with more than 100 employees and another 203 smaller facilities (total of 1,928 or 15%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This would represent 184.3 million tons of the total of 211.6 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 87%. A lower emission threshold of 10,000 tons per year would increase the number of facilities affected, from 1,928 to 3,075 facilities. A 10,000-ton threshold would capture 194.2 million tons of emissions, or 92%.

In such a large and varied group of industries, the average energy use and  $CO_2$  emissions for the industry as a whole may not be representative of individual sub-categories. The more energy- and carbon intensive industries will skew upward the average emission levels per employee. For example, the average  $CO_2$  emissions per employee in "pharmaceutical and medicines" are only 7% of the industry average and average emissions for each such facility are less than 16% of the average for the whole industry, making the threshold for this industry group much higher than the industry average. The same is certainly true for many more of the 34 sub-categories in the chemicals industry.

#### 5.11.02. Petrochemicals (NAICS code 325110)

Comprehensive estimates of  $CO_2$  emissions and emissions per employee and facility in petrochemical manufacturing were not achieved due to lack of data. Nonetheless, the available data suggest unequivocally that virtually any petrochemical facility, regardless of size, would exceed the 25,000-ton threshold for  $CO_2$ .

The composition of all fuel energy use other than natural gas and waste gas (by-product fuel) was withheld by EIA. From a total of 474 trillion Btus of fuel energy (including net electricity), natural gas accounted for 172 trillion Btus and waste gas another 160 trillion Btus. The relative contribution of net electricity, fuel oil, liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) or natural gas liquids (NGL), and coal is unknown. In addition, EIA reported nonfuel feedstock use of LPG or NGL in the amount of 899 trillion Btus and another 37 trillion Btus of feedstock natural gas.

<sup>19</sup> U.S. EIA, 2002 Manufacturing Energy Consumption Survey (MECS), 2002 Data Tables, Table 2.2.

<sup>20</sup> U.S. EIA, 2006(b), Table 2.

Based on fuel energy use of natural gas and waste gas alone, the petrochemical facilities with fewer than 50 employees would emit on average in excess of 50,000 tons of  $CO_2$  per year. It is therefore safe to assume that every petrochemical facility would be subject to emissions regulation under the 25,000-ton threshold.

#### 5.11.03. Industrial gas manufacturing (NAICS code 325120)

Comprehensive estimates of  $CO_2$  emissions and emissions per employee and facility in industrial gas manufacturing were not achieved due to lack of data. Nonetheless, the available data suggest any industrial gas facility with more than 100 employees would exceed the 25,000-ton threshold for  $CO_2$ . It is not likely that many of the 555 facilities with fewer than 100 employees, from a total of 568 facilities in the industry, would exceed the threshold.

The composition of all fuel energy use other than natural gas was withheld by EIA, as was the composition of all nonfuel energy use. From a total of 177 trillion Btus of fuel energy, natural gas accounted for less than a third, or 52 trillion Btus. The relative contribution of net electricity and "other" fuel sources is unknown. Also unknown is the relative contribution of nonfuel natural gas and distillates, except that the combined feedstock use energy accounts for another 26 trillion Btus. There appears to be no coal, coke, or fuel oil use in this industry.

Based on the fuel energy use of natural gas alone, the ten industrial gas facilities with 100-249 employees would emit on average in excess of 42,000 tons of  $CO_2$  per year, and the remaining three larger facilities would emit about the same or more. The average emissions of the 23 facilities with 50-99 employees would be in excess of 12,000 tons but fall far short of the 25,000-ton threshold. The vast majority of industrial gas facilities (those with fewer than 50 employees) emit about 5,200 tons per year of  $CO_2$ , based on fuel energy use of natural gas alone.

#### 5.11.04. Alkalies and chlorine manufacturing (NAICS code 325181)

Comprehensive estimates of  $CO_2$  emissions and emissions per employee and facility in alkalies and chlorine manufacturing were not achieved due to lack of data. Nonetheless, the available data suggest unequivocally that virtually any such facility, regardless of size, would exceed the 25,000-ton threshold for  $CO_2$ .

While the composition of fuel energy use was reported by the EIA, both the total amount and the composition of all nonfuel energy use were withheld.

Based on fuel energy use alone, the alkalies and chlorine manufacturing facilities with fewer than 50 employees would emit on average in excess of 21,000 tons of  $CO_2$  per year but facilities with 50-99 employees would emit more than 50,000 tons per year. Again, the additional emissions from nonfuel energy use are entirely unknown.

# 5.11.05. Carbon black (NAICS code 325182)

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 25 carbon black manufacturing facilities in the country, 20 of them, those employing more than 50 people (80% of facilities), would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent almost all (99.96%) of the 4.9 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002.

The data on energy use in this industry suggest tremendous difference in energy use between facilities of different sizes, ranging from about 200 million Btus per year for facilities of fewer than 50 employees to more than 33 billion Btus per year for facilities of 50-99 employees, and down again to little less than 11 billion Btus per year for facilities of 100-249 employees (there are no facilities larger than 250 employees). The range of  $CO_2$  emissions varies from an average of 25 tons per employee and average annual emissions of 376 tons for the five facilities of fewer than 50 employees, to 4,073 tons per employee and an average of 259,000 tons annually for each of the sixteen facilities with 50-99 employees.

Since the difference in average emissions per employee is so large across the size categories, it is meaningless to discuss industry-wide averages to determine a single size threshold for emissions regulation. The available data imply that no facility under 50 employees would ever be regulated under a 25,000-ton threshold, while every larger facility would be expected to cross that threshold by a wide margin. The same would be true for a 10,000-ton threshold.

# 5.11.06. Other basic inorganic chemicals (NAICS code 325188)

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 617 facilities in this category, only those 136 employing more than 50 people (22%), would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 6.3 million tons of the total of 7.2 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 88%.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 156 tons per employee, a facility would have to count 161 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the corresponding size class (100–250 employees) is higher, at 266 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 94 employees. Therefore, it is reasonable to anticipate that a facility exceeding 90 employees will approach the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not result in additional emissions regulation. Since facilities with fewer than 50 employees emit on average 1,833 tons  $CO_2$  per year, it is unlikely that many facilities in this size category emit in excess of 10,000 tons per year.

# 5.11.07. Cyclic crude and intermediate (NAICS code 325192)

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 39 facilities in this category, only those 13 employing more than 100 people (33%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 2.61 million tons of the total of 2.80 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 93%. It is possible that some of the remaining 26 smaller facilities would also be regulated.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 445 tons per employee, a facility would have to count only 57 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the corresponding size class is lower, indicating a threshold size of 93 employees. Yet, the higher average emission rate for facilities of 100-249 employees implies a threshold size of only 20 employees. It would seem reasonable to expect that a facility of 90-100 employees may already approach the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would result in emissions regulation for an additional 6 facilities. Total emissions under the cap would be 2.73 million tons, or 97%, under a 10,000-ton rule.

### 5.11.08. Ethyl alcohol (NAICS code 325193)

Comprehensive estimates of  $CO_2$  emissions and emissions per employee and facility in ethyl alcohol manufacturing were not achieved due to lack of data. Some number of the 69 ethyl alcohol plants would likely exceed the 25,000-ton threshold for  $CO_2$  but the available data does not reveal the approximate share.

The composition of fuel energy use was partially withheld by the EIA. While coal and natural gas use was reported, at a total of 49 trillion Btus, the composition of the remaining 11 trillion Btus between electricity and "other" is unknown.

Based on coal and natural gas use alone, the ethyl alcohol manufacturing facilities with fewer than 50 employees (58 facilities of a total of 69) would emit on average in excess of 20,000 tons of  $CO_2$  per year. Again, the additional emissions from other energy use are entirely unknown and therefore it is unknown what share of these plants might actually exceed the threshold.

#### 5.11.09. Other basic organic chemicals (NAICS code 325199)

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 688 facilities in this category, only those 291 employing more than 50 people (42%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 64.3 million tons of the total of 65.7 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 98%. It is possible that some of the remaining 397 smaller facilities would also be regulated.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 854 tons per employee, a facility would have to count only 30 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the corresponding size class (<50 employees) is considerably lower, at 241 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 104 employees. The average emission rate for the next size category (50–99 employees) is much higher, at 602 tons per employee. It would seem reasonable to expect that a facility of 40 employees may already exceed the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not result in additional emissions regulation. Facilities with fewer than 50 employees emit on average 3,420 tons  $CO_2$  per year. At the average emission rate per employee for that size category, a facility of 42 employees would be expected to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold. Therefore, it is possible that some of the 397 facilities in this group, whose average size is 14 employees, would exceed that level.

#### 5.11.10. Primary production of plastics material and resin (NAICS code 325211)

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 688 facilities in this category, only those 159 employing more than 100 people (23%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 46.3 million tons of the total of 49.4 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 94%. It is unlikely that any of the remaining 529 smaller facilities would also be regulated.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 731 tons per employee, a facility would have to count only 35 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the corresponding size class (<50 employees) is considerably lower, at 141 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 178 employees. The average emission rate for the next size category (50–99 employees) is somewhat higher, at 185 tons per employee, indicating a threshold of 135 employees. It would seem reasonable to expect that only facilities of more than 100 employees may exceed the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year might result in emissions regulation from an additional 148 facilities. Facilities with between 50 and 100 employees emit on average 12,726 tons  $CO_2$  per year. At the average emission rate per employee for that size category, a facility of 54 employees would be expected to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold. Therefore, it is likely that many of the facilities in this group would exceed that level.

# 5.11.11. Synthetic rubber (NAICS code 325212)

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 157 facilities in this category, only those 27 employing more than 100 people (17%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 2.2 million tons of the total of 2.40 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 91%. It is not likely that many of the remaining 130 smaller facilities would also be regulated.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 251 tons per employee, a facility would have to count 100 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the corresponding size class (100–249 employees) is slightly higher, at 297 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 84 employees. The average emission rate for the next smaller size category (50–99 employees) is considerably lower, at 166 tons per employee, indicating a threshold of 150 employees. It would seem reasonable to expect that only facilities of more than 100 employees may approach the 25,000-ton threshold. Also, it is entirely possible that not all facilities of more than 100 employees would be regulated.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would result in emissions regulation from an additional 18 facilities. Facilities with 50-99 employees emit on average 11,237 tons  $CO_2$  per year. Therefore, it is likely that a majority of the facilities in this group would exceed 10,000 tons per year.

#### 5.11.12. Noncellulosic organic fiber (NAICS code 325222)

Comprehensive estimates of  $CO_2$  emissions and emissions per employee and facility in noncellulosic organic fiber manufacturing were not achieved due to lack of data. Nonetheless, the available data suggest that any such facility with more than 500 employees (16 out of 94) would exceed the 25,000-ton threshold for  $CO_2$ . Many of the nine facilities with 250–499 employees might also exceed the same threshold.

The composition of fuel energy use was partially withheld by the EIA. While natural gas use was reported, at 30 trillion Btus, and "other" fuel use at 3 trillion Btus, the composition of the remaining 31 trillion Btus between electricity, residual oil, and coal is unknown. The composition of the additional 3 trillion Btus of nonfuel energy is also ambiguous.

Based on natural gas fuel use alone, industry facilities with 500-999 employees would emit on average in excess of 50,000 tons of  $CO_2$  per year. On the other hand, facilities with 250-499 employees would emit in excess of 23,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year on average from their use of natural gas fuel. Again, the additional emissions from other non-electricity energy use are unknown.

Three conclusions can be drawn. One is that every facility with more than 500 employees would be subject to emissions regulation under a 25,000-ton threshold. The second conclusion is that since facilities with fewer than 50 employees have very low average emissions from fuel use of natural gas (67 tons per year), it is virtually impossible that any such facility would exceed the 25,000-ton threshold, even considering the unknown additional emissions from coal and oil. Finally, some of the nine facilities with 250–499 employees could have average emissions exceeding 25,000 tons  $CO_2$  but this is highly uncertain due to lack of information on the use and composition of nongas fuel.

### 5.11.13. Nitrogenous fertilizer (NAICS code 325311)

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 143 facilities in this category, only those 27 employing more than 50 people (19%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 9.91 million tons of the total of 10.16 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted by the industry in 2002, or 98%.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 2,266 tons per employee, a facility would have to count only 11 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size class (<50 employees) is considerably lower, at only 249 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 101 employees. The average emission rate for the next size category (50–99 employees) is much higher, at 2,612 tons per employee. It would seem reasonable to expect that a facility of 40 employees may fast approach the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not result in additional emissions regulation. Facilities with fewer than 50 employees emit on average 2,151 tons  $CO_2$  per year. At the average emission rate per employee for that size category, a facility of 5 employees would be expected to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold. Therefore, it is possible that some of the 116 facilities in this group, whose average size is only 9 employees, would exceed that level.

# 5.11.14. Phosphatic fertilizer (NAICS code 325312)

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 44 facilities in this category, only those 18 employing more than 100 people (41%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would

represent 1.84 million tons of the total of 1.90 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted by the industry in 2002, or 97%. It is unlikely that any of the remaining 26 smaller facilities would be regulated.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 290 tons per employee, a facility would have to count 87 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size class (50–99 employees) is considerably lower, at only 35 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 714 employees. The average emission rate for the next size category (100–249 employees) is much higher, at 572 tons per employee, implying a much lower threshold level of 44 employees. There is only one facility reported in this size category, which may partially explain how its "average" value lies so far outside the adjacent size categories. The small sampling size in the category of 50–99 employees makes it difficult to draw a firm conclusion about a likely size threshold, but it would seem reasonable to expect it to lie somewhere in the range of 50–100 employees.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not result in additional emissions regulation. Facilities with fewer than 100 employees emit on average less than 3,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year.

# 5.11.15. "Pharmaceuticals and medicines" (NAICS codes 32541x)

This group of four sub-categories of the chemical industry includes medicinal and botanical manufacturing, pharmaceutical preparations, in-vitro diagnostic substances, and biological products other than diagnostics. Fuel use in pharmaceutical preparation manufacturing is also reported separately (see below).

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 1,800 facilities in this industry, only those 45 facilities employing more than 1,000 people (2.5%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 1.7 million tons of the total of 4.52 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 37%. It is unlikely that many of the remaining facilities would be regulated.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of about 18 tons per employee, a facility would have to count 1,389 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size class (1000+ employees) is slightly lower, at 15 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 1,667 employees. The average emission rate for facilities with 500–999 employees is 22 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 1,136 employees. It would be reasonable to expect any facility of more than 1,100 employees to approach the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would result in additional emissions regulation. Facilities with 500-999 employees emit on average 14,679 tons  $CO_2$  per year. At the average emission rate per employee for that size category, a facility of 454 employees would be expected to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for facilities with 250-499 employees is less than 23 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 434 employees. Therefore, it is apparent that most facilities of more than 500 employees, and some smaller facilities, would be regulated under a 10,000-ton threshold.

#### 5.11.16. Pharmaceutical preparation (NAICS codes 325412)

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 901 facilities in this industry, only those 31 employing more than 1,000 people (3.4%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 1.59 million tons of the total of 3.20 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 50%. It is unlikely that many of the remaining 870 smaller facilities would be regulated.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of about 18 tons per employee, a facility would have to count 1,389 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the corresponding size class (1,000+ employees) is essentially the same, at about 17 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 1,470

employees. The average emission rate for facilities with 500–999 employees is slightly higher, indicating a threshold size of 1,142 employees. Therefore, there is no compelling reason to expect a facility of fewer than 1,000 employees to exceed the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would result in additional emissions regulation. Facilities with 500-999 employees emit on average 14,518 tons  $CO_2$  per year. At the average emission rate per employee for that size category, a facility of 454 employees would be expected to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold. Therefore, it is likely that most facilities with more than 500 employees would exceed a 10,000 threshold.

#### 5.11.17. Photographic film, chemicals, etc. (NAICS code 325992)

Comprehensive estimates of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and emissions per employee and facility in the manufacturing of photographic film, paper, plate and chemicals, were not achieved due to lack of data. Nonetheless, the available data suggest that only those facilities with more than 1,000 employees would exceed the 25,000-ton threshold for CO<sub>2</sub>.

The composition of fuel energy use was partially withheld by the EIA. Net electricity and coal use were withheld, but total fuel energy use of 29 trillion Btus was reported, as was natural gas use, at 7 trillion Btus, and residual fuel oil use of about one trillion Btus. Clearly, most of the energy used is split between coal and electricity.

Based on natural gas and residual fuel oil use alone, the three industry facilities with more than 1,000 employees would emit on average in excess of 100,000 tons of  $CO_2$  per year. There are no facilities reported in the size category of 500–999 employees, but the 11 facilities with 250–499 employees would emit less, about 3,600 tons per year on average, and facilities with 100–249 employees emit about 2,200 tons per year on average. Again, the additional emissions from coal use are entirely unknown. If most of the remaining 21 trillion Btus (total, less natural gas and oil) were in the form of coal, which is exceedingly unlikely, many facilities larger than 100 employees would exceed the threshold. Since the unknown distribution between electricity and coal is so large, it is impossible to estimate with any confidence where the size threshold may lie.

## 5.12. Plastics and rubber (NAICS code 326)

The plastics and rubber products industry covers 17 sub-categories but EIA publishes fuel use data for them only as a single group.

Available information on the amount of coal fuel used in this industry is ambiguous. EIA chose not to report coal usage on account of uncertainty but the implied coal usage, derived from the difference between reported total fuel use and the sum of noncoal fuel use, is 22 trillion Btus. Reported use of other fossil fuels amounts to 140 trillion Btus. The emissions values discussed here are based on noncoal fuel use, but as long as coal use in this industry is not significantly more than the implied value of 22 trillion Btus, the results for relative thresholds would not change.

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 15,487 facilities in this industry, it appears that a 25,000-ton rule for inclusion in the cap would apply to virtually no facilities. At the industry average of 7.8 tons  $CO_2$  emissions per employee, a facility would have to have more than 3,200 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. Therefore it seems likely that few, if any of the facilities in this industry would be affected by the cap under a 25,000-ton rule.

#### 5.13. Nonmetallic minerals (NAICS code 327)

The nonmetallic minerals industry covers 24 sub-categories but EIA publishes fuel use data for five of them individually, and four of them as a group called "glass and glass products."

There are significant variations in energy use and  $CO_2$  emissions per employee among the sub-categories of this industry group. While average size thresholds are discussed here, it is important to keep in mind that they are not representative of all sub-categories. For example,  $CO_2$  emissions per employee in cement and lime manufacturing are very high compared to other industry categories, and while cement manufacturing represents close to half of fuel-related  $CO_2$  emissions in this industry group, it represents only a fraction of the total number of facilities and employees.

Based on average emission levels across all sub-categories of this industry, only 1,104 of 16,653 facilities (6.6%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. These are facilities that employ more than 100 people and some smaller facilities such as cement manufacturers with more than 50 employees and all lime manufacturing plants. This level of regulation would represent 58.6 million tons of the total of 63.9 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 92%.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 132 tons per employee, a facility would have to count about 190 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the corresponding size class (100–249 employees) is higher, indicating a threshold size of 281 employees. Therefore, it is reasonable to anticipate that any facility employing more than 190 people, and some smaller facilities, may exceed the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would result in additional coverage because some smaller flat glass manufacturers (50–99 employees) and the smallest cement manufacturing plants would exceed that threshold.

# 5.13.01. "Glass and glass products" (NAICS codes 32721x)

This group of four sub-categories covers flat glass manufacturing, glass containers, pressed and blown glass and glassware, and glass product made of purchased glass. Fuel use for the first two categories is reported separately (see below).

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 2,261 facilities in this industry, only those 148 facilities employing more than 250 people and flat glass manufacturers employing more than 100 people (6.5%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 5.99 million tons of the total of 8.13 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 74%. It is unlikely that many of the remaining facilities would be regulated.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of about 70 tons per employee, a facility would have to count 358 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size class (250–499 employees) is higher, at 103 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 243 employees. It would be reasonable to expect any facility of 250 employees to approach the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would result in emissions regulation from an additional 158 facilities. Facilities with 100-249 employees emit on average 89 tons  $CO_2$  per year. At the average emission rate per employee for that size category, a facility of 113 employees would be expected to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for facilities with 50-99 employees indicates a threshold size of 454 employees. Therefore, it is apparent that most facilities of more than 100 employees would be regulated under the 10,000-ton threshold but most smaller facilities would not be regulated.

## 5.13.02. Flat glass (NAICS code 327211)

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 36 facilities in this industry, those 31 employing more than 100 people (86%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 2.74 million tons of the total of 2.76 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 99.4%. It is unlikely that many of the remaining smaller facilities would be regulated.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of about 258 tons per employee, a facility would have to count 97 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size class (100–249) is slightly lower, at 249 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 101 employees. Therefore, it would be reasonable to expect that only when a facility exceeds 100 employees is it likely to exceed the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would result in additional emissions regulation. Facilities with 50–99 employees emit on average 10,163 tons  $CO_2$  per year. At the average emission rate per employee for that size category, a facility of 52 employees would be expected to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold. Therefore, it is apparent that most facilities of more than 50 employees would be regulated under a 10,000-ton threshold, while most smaller facilities would not be regulated.

#### 5.13.03. Glass containers (NAICS code 327213)

Comprehensive estimates of  $CO_2$  emissions and emissions per employee and facility in the glass container manufacturing industry were not achieved due to lack of data. Nonetheless, the available data suggest that only those facilities with more than 100 employees would exceed the 25,000-ton threshold for  $CO_2$ .

EIA did not report data on energy use for the nine facilities (from a total of 65) that have fewer than 100 employees.

Based on the reported industry-wide average energy use per employee, and the derived average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 175 tons per employee, the single establishment with 50–99 employees (reported to have 91 employees) would emit close to 16,000 tons of  $CO_2$  per year. The eight facilities with fewer than 50 employees (with seven employees each on average) would emit a bit over 1,200 tons per year on average. On the other hand, facilities with 100–249 employees would emit more than 37,000 tons per year, based on an emission rate of 197 tons per employee. Due to the small number and size of facilities with fewer than 100 employees, it appears that virtually all  $CO_2$  emissions from this industry would be covered under a 25,000-ton threshold.

## 5.13.04. Cement manufacturing (NAICS code 327310)

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 246 facilities in this industry, the 120 facilities with more than 50 employees (49%) would be expected to be affected by a 25,000-ton rule. The affected facilities emit more than 28 million tons, or 92% of total emissions from cement manufacturing. As in every other category, this amount is based on fuel-related emissions only. There are significant additional process emissions of  $CO_2$  in cement manufacturing.

At the average emission rate for facilities with 50-99 employees (1,769 tons per employee), a facility would have to count only 14 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. At the average emission rate for facilities with fewer than 50 employees (1,630 tons per employee), a facility would need only 15 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. Therefore it seems possible that facilities with fewer than 50 employees would also be affected by the cap with a 25,000-ton rule.

## 5.13.05. Lime manufacturing (NAICS code 327410)

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 77 facilities in this industry, every one of them would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule, for a total of 9.5 million tons. Again, this amount is based on fuel-related emissions only. There are significant additional process emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> in lime manufacturing.

At the average emission rate for facilities of fewer than 50 employees (4,124 tons per employee), a facility would have to count three employees to cross the 10,000-ton threshold. On average, facilities in this category had 18 employees in 2002 and emissions exceeded 74,000 tons.

## 5.13.06. Mineral wool (NAICS code 327993)

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 304 facilities in this industry, those 53 facilities employing more than 100 people (17%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 1.91 million tons of the total of 2.14 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 89%. It is unlikely that many of the remaining smaller facilities would be regulated.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of about 111 tons per employee, a facility would have to count 225 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size class is lower, at 93 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 269 employees. The average emission rate for facilities with 100–249 employees is significantly higher again, at 174 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 145 employees. Therefore, it would be reasonable to expect that only when a facility approaches 150 employees is it likely to exceed the 10,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not result in much additional emissions regulation, except that all facilities above 100 employees would almost certainly be covered by this lower threshold. Facilities with 50–99 employees emit on average 6,468 tons  $CO_2$  per year. At the average emission rate per employee for that size category, a facility of 107 employees would be expected to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for facilities with fewer than 50 employees is significantly lower, indicating a threshold size of 477 employees. Therefore, it is apparent that not many facilities with fewer than 100 employees would be regulated under a 10,000-ton threshold.

## 5.14. Primary metals (NAICS code 331)

The primary metals industry covers 26 sub-categories. EIA publishes fuel use data for six of them individually, as well as several groups of related sub-categories.

There are significant variations in energy use and  $CO_2$  emissions per employee among the sub-categories of this industry group. As noted for other industries, the industry-wide emission characteristics and thresholds are not representative of all sub-categories. Aluminum production is a particular case as it involves significant process emissions of  $CO_2$ . These nonfuel emissions are not quantified here.

Based on average emission levels across all sub-categories of this industry, only the 462 facilities employing more than 250 people, plus another ten ferroalloy manufacturers employing 50–240 people, would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule, out of a total of 5,188 facilities. This level of regulation would represent 106 million tons of the total of 119 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 89%.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 243 tons per employee, a facility would have to count about 102 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the corresponding size class (100–249 employees) is lower, indicating a threshold size of 365 employees. Therefore it seems likely that most facilities with fewer than 250 employees would not be affected by a 25,000-ton rule.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would result in additional emissions regulation. The average facility with 100-249 employees emitted 10,779 tons  $CO_2$ . At the average emission rate for this size class, a facility would need to have 146 employees to cross a 10,000-ton threshold. This suggests that many of the 718 facilities in the 100-249 employee size class would be affected by a 10,000-ton rule.

# 5.14.01. Iron and steel mills (NAICS code 331111)

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 373 facilities in this industry, those 106 facilities employing more than 250 people (28%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 89 million tons of the total of 91 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 98%. It is unlikely that many of the remaining smaller facilities would be regulated.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of about 766 tons per employee, a facility would have to count 33 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size class is lower, at 338 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 74 employees. There is a significant dip in reported energy use per employee for facilities with 50–99 employees (84 tons) and 100–249 employees (97 tons) relative to both smaller and larger facilities. In general, it would be reasonable to expect that only when a facility approaches 250 employees is it likely to exceed the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the somewhat bifurcated emissions profile of this industry means that some of the 267 facilities with fewer than 250 employees may exceed the 25,000-ton threshold, although that is fairly unlikely.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would result in additional emissions regulation. Facilities with 100-249 employees emit on average 15,277 tons  $CO_2$  per year. At the average emission rate per employee for that size category, a facility of 104 employees would be expected to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold. Therefore, it is apparent that most of the 51 facilities of 100-249 employees would be regulated under the 10,000-ton threshold.

#### 5.14.02. Electrometallurgical ferroalloys (NAICS code 331112)

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 24 facilities in this industry, those 12 employing more than 50 people (50%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 711,000 tons of the total of 800,000 tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 89%. It is unlikely that many of the remaining smaller facilities would be regulated.

At the industry-average CO<sub>2</sub> emission rate of about 326 tons per employee, a facility would have to count 77 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the corresponding size class (50–99 employees) is higher, at 541 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 46 employees. Therefore, it would be reasonable to expect that a facility approaching 50 employees would be likely to exceed the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not result in much additional emissions regulation. The 12 facilities with fewer than 50 employees emit on average 7,391 tons  $CO_2$  per year and have 20 employees on average. At the average emission rate per employee for that size category, a facility of 27 employees would be expected to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold.

#### 5.14.03. "Steel products from purchased steel" (NAICS codes 3312xx)

This group of three sub-categories covers iron and steel pipe and tube manufacturing from purchased steel, rolled steel shape manufacturing, and steel wire drawing.

The following discussion is based on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fuel energy use in this industry. EIA withheld the amount of nonfuel (feedstock) use of coke, due to uncertainty. Assuming the nonfuel use of coke is

not insignificant and that some portion of it is not sequestered in industry products, total emissions in the industry would be higher than indicated here. This would be a reasonable assumption.

Based on average emissions characteristics from reported fuel use alone, none of the 666 facilities in this industry would be affected by a 25,000-ton rule. At the average emissions rate of 24 tons  $CO_2$  per employee, a facility would need over 1,000 employees to exceed the 25,000-ton threshold, but there were no facilities in the 1000+ employee size class. However, the lack of complete data casts some uncertainty on this conclusion.

#### 5.14.04. "Alumina and aluminum" (NAICS codes 33131x)

This group of six sub-categories covers: alumina refining; primary aluminum production; secondary smelting and alloying of aluminum; aluminum sheet, plate, and foil manufacturing; aluminum extruded product manufacturing; and other aluminum rolling and drawing.

There are significant process emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> in primary aluminum production. These emissions are not included here.

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 592 facilities in this industry, only those 78 employing more than 250 people (13%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 10.1 million tons of the total of 11.3 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted by the industry in 2002, or 89%.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of about 158 tons per employee, a facility would have to count 158 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size class is essentially the same, at 162 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 154 employees, so it would be reasonable to expect many facilities with more than 150 employees to be affected by a 25,000-ton rule.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not result in many additional affected facilities. Facilities with 100-249 employees emit on average 7,206 tons  $CO_2$  per year. At the average emission rate per employee for that size category, a facility of 220 employees would be expected to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold. Therefore, it is apparent that few facilities with less than 250 employees would be affected by a 10,000-ton threshold.

## 5.14.05. Primary aluminum (NAICS code 331312)

Comprehensive estimates of fuel-related  $CO_2$  emissions and emissions per employee and facility in primary aluminum manufacturing were not achieved due to lack of data. Nonetheless, the available data suggest that, based on fuel energy use alone, primary aluminum facilities with more than 250 employees would exceed the 25,000-ton threshold for  $CO_2$ .

EIA did not report data on energy use for the 20 facilities (from a total of 41) that have fewer than 100 employees. Most of the energy used in this industry (and the source of significant CO<sub>2</sub> emissions) is in the form of electricity (to break the oxygen bond of aluminum oxide) and nonfuel coke (carbon bonds with oxygen to form CO<sub>2</sub>). These significant emissions are not counted here.

Based on the reported average energy use per employee at facilities of 250-499 employees and the derived average fuel-related  $CO_2$  emission rate of 109 tons per employee, a facility of 230 employees would exceed the 25,000-ton threshold.

# 5.14.06. "Nonferrous metals except aluminum" (NAICS codes 3314xx)

This group of seven sub-categories covers smelting, refining, alloying and forming of nonferrous metals in general and copper in particular.

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 1,021 facilities in this industry, only those 64 employing more than 250 people (6.3%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 2.5 million tons of the total of 4.3 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 59%.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of about 65 tons per employee, a facility would have to count 386 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size class is higher, at 77 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 324 employees. It would be reasonable to expect any facility approaching 300 employees to approach the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not result in much additional emissions regulation. The 120 facilities with 100-249 employees emit on average 7,956 tons  $CO_2$  per year. At the average emission rate per employee for that size category, a facility of 192 employees would be expected to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the 119 facilities with 50-99 employees indicates a threshold size of 157 employees. Therefore, it is apparent that most facilities of more than 200 employees would be affected by a 10,000-ton threshold and that some smaller facilities might also be affected.

# 5.14.07. "Foundries" (NAICS codes 3315xx)

This group of eight sub-categories covers iron, steel, aluminum, copper, and other nonferrous foundries.

Based on industry-wide average emissions characteristics across all 2,512 foundries, only those 56 facilities employing more than 500 people, and another 47 iron foundries of more 250–499 employees, (4.1% of all foundries) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 3.9 million tons of the total of 7.6 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 51%.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of about 42 tons per employee, a facility would have to count 596 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the corresponding size class is higher, at 54 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 463 employees, so it would be reasonable to conclude that, on average, any facility approaching 500 employees might exceed the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would result in additional emissions regulation. The 111 foundries with 250–499 employees emit on average 18,543 tons  $CO_2$  per year. At the average emission rate per employee for that size category, a facility with 185 employees would be expected to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the 302 facilities with 100–249 employees indicates a threshold size of 285 employees. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that most facilities with more than 250 employees would be included in the cap under a 10,000-ton threshold, while many smaller facilities, with the exception of iron foundries, would not be affected.

## 5.14.08. Iron foundries (NAICS codes 331511)

Based on industry-wide average emissions characteristics across all 619 iron foundries, only those 69 employing more than 250 people (11%) would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule. This level of regulation would represent 3.3 million tons of the total of 4.9 million tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 68%. It is very likely that several smaller iron foundries would also be regulated.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of about 74 tons per employee, an iron foundry would have to count 340 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. However, the average emission rate for the corresponding size class is higher, at about 85 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 294 employees. Average annual emissions for the 87 iron foundries with 100-249 employees remain well under the threshold at 9,387 tons and their average emissions indicating a threshold of 427 employees. Average emission rates for the 88 iron foundries with 50-99 employees are higher again, indicating a threshold of 248 employees.

Therefore, it would be reasonable to conclude that any iron foundry approaching 250 employees might exceed the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $\rm CO_2$  per year would result in some additional emissions regulation. Foundries with 100–249 employees emit on average 9,387 tons per year. At the average emission rate per employee for that size category, a facility of 171 employees would be expected to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the 88 facilities with 50–99 employees indicates a threshold size of 100 employees. Therefore, it seems likely that only some of the facilities with 100–249 employees would be affected by a 10,000-ton threshold.

#### 5.14.09. Aluminum die-casting foundries (NAICS codes 331521)

Based on industry-wide average emissions characteristics across all 295 aluminum die-casting foundries, only 2 facilities employing more than 1,000 people (0.7%) would be affected by a 25,000-ton threshold. This level of regulation would represent 215,000 tons of the total of 849,000 tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 25%. It is not likely that smaller foundries would be regulated.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of about 30 tons per employee, an aluminum die-casting foundry would have to count 833 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the corresponding size class is slightly lower, at about 28 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 908 employees. The average annual emissions for aluminum die-casting foundries with more than 1,000 employees are above the threshold, at 107,254 tons per year. Since the average emission rate for foundries with 250–499 employees is slightly higher, indicating a threshold of 777 employees, it would be reasonable to conclude that any foundry exceeding 900 employees might approach the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would result in significant additional emissions regulation. The 5 foundries with 500–999 employees emit on average 18,091 tons per year, and the 16 foundries with 250–499 employees emit on average 11,207 tons per year. Therefore, it appears that most foundries with more than 250 employees would be affected by a 10,000-ton threshold.

# 5.14.10. Aluminum foundries (except die-casting) (NAICS codes 331524)

Based on industry-wide average emissions characteristics across all 542 such facilities, only the single facility employing more than 1,000 people (0.2%) would be affected by a 25,000-ton threshold. This level of regulation would represent 56,000 tons of the total of 743,000 tons of  $CO_2$  emitted by the industry in 2002, or 8%. It is possible that some smaller foundries would also be affected.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of about 26 tons per employee, a foundry would have to count 961 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the corresponding size class is higher, at about 36 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 694 employees. The average annual emissions for the ten foundries with 500–999 employees are not far below the threshold, at 22,580 tons per year. It would be reasonable to conclude that at least some of the ten foundries in this size class, which together represent another 226,000 tons of  $CO_2$ , would approach the 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would result in additional emissions regulation. The average annual emissions of the ten foundries with 500-999 employees is 22,580 tons, so most if not all of them would be expected to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold. The average annual emissions for the next smaller size class is much lower, at 8,931 tons, indicating that many facilities in this size class would be unaffected by a 10,000-ton threshold.

# 5.15. Fabricated metals (NAICS code 332)

Fabricated metals cover 43 sub-categories of industry. EIA reported energy use for this industry as a single group, but not individually for any of the sub-categories.

Based on industry-wide average emissions characteristics, none of the 62,176 facilities are expected to be affected by a 25,000-ton threshold. At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 7.5 tons per employee, a metals fabrication facility would have to count 3,334 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. There are 33 facilities with more than 1,000 employees, but, because their average emissions are 13,660, it is somewhat unlikely that any are large enough to be affected by a 25,000-ton threshold. Nonetheless, there are 43 subcategories to this sector and some particular metal fabricators may stand out from the average energy use.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would affect at least some facilities. The average annual emissions at facilities with more than 1,000 employees are above a 10,000-ton threshold. At the average emission rate for facilities in this size class, a facility would need 1,140 employees to exceed a 10,000-ton threshold. Therefore, it seems likely that at least some of the 33 facilities with more than 1,000 employees would be affected by a 10,000-ton rule.

## 5.16. Machinery (NAICS code 333)

Manufacturing of machinery covers 49 sub-categories of industry. EIA reported energy use for this industry as a single group but not individually for any of the sub-categories.

Based on industry-wide average emissions characteristics, none of the 28,239 facilities would be expected to be affected by a 25,000-ton threshold. The average emissions from facilities in the largest size class are only 10,182 tons per year, and at the average emissions rate for that size class, a facility would have to have more than 4,000 employees while the average number of employees in that size class is only 1,644. Therefore it seems likely that few, if any, facilities would be affected.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would result in at least some affected facilities. The average annual emissions at the 87 facilities with more than 1,000 employees are more than a 10,000-ton threshold, and at the average emissions rate for that size class, a facility would need 1,615 employees to be affected. Therefore, it is likely that some of the largest facilities in this industry would be affected by a 10,000-ton threshold because the average number of employees in that size class is 1,644.

# 5.17. Computers and electronics (NAICS code 334)

Computers and electronics cover 30 sub-categories of industry. EIA reported energy use individually for the semiconductor industry as well as for the larger industry as a whole.

Based on industry-wide average emissions characteristics across all 15,813 such facilities, not a single facility would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 2.85 tons per employee, a facility would have to count 8,772 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the corresponding size class is 2.93 tons per employee (annual average of 6,739 tons per facility), indicating a threshold size of 8,533 employees. It would be reasonable to conclude that any facility with more than 8,500 employees might exceed the 25,000-ton threshold but the average size of the 191 largest facilities is much lower, at 2,296 employees.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not affect many facilities. The average annual emissions at the 191 facilities with more than 1,000 employees indicate a threshold size of 3,413 employees. However, as the average emissions for

facility in this size class are 6,739 tons, it seems likely that most facilities would be unaffected by a 10,000-ton rule.

# 5.18. Electrical equipment, appliances, and components (NAICS code 335)

Manufacturing of electrical equipment, appliances, and components covers 22 sub-categories of industry. EIA reported energy use for this industry as a single group but not individually for any of the sub-categories.

Based on average emissions characteristics across all 6,481 such facilities, few, if any, would be affected by a 25,000-ton threshold. The average emissions for the 51 facilities with more than 1,000 employees were 9,483 tons in 2002, and at the average emissions rate for facilities in that size class, a facility would need 4,690 employees to exceed the threshold. However, these 51 facilities had an average of 1,780 employees in 2002, which indicates that most, if not all, would fall well under the threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not result in significant numbers of affected facilities. The average annual emissions of facilities with more than 1,000 employees were 9,483 tons in 2002, and the average emissions rate for those facilities indicates that a facility would need 1,876 employees to be affected by a 10,000-ton threshold. Therefore, it is likely that some of the 51 largest facilities would be affected, but all smaller facilities would probably not exceed a 10,000-ton threshold.

# 5.19. Transportation equipment (NAICS code 336)

Manufacturing of transportation equipment covers 30 sub-categories of industry. EIA reported energy use individually for the sub-category of light truck and utility vehicle manufacturing as well as for the industry as a whole.

Based on industry-wide average emissions characteristics across all 12,579 such facilities, only a handful would be affected by a 25,000-ton threshold. The average emissions for facilities with more than 1,000 employees are close to the threshold hold, at 24,379 tons. At the average emissions rate for this size class, a facility would need 3,101 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. It is unclear how many of the 272 facilities with more than 1,000 employees would exceed this threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, there would be at least some additional facilities affected by a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year. At the average  $CO_2$  emission rate of the largest size class is higher, about 8.1 tons per employee, a facility would need 1,241 employees to be affected by the 10,000-ton threshold. The average annual emissions of these large facilities were in excess of 24,000 tons in 2002, but the average annual emissions for facilities with 500-999 employees would be significantly below the threshold, at 6,918 tons per year. It would be reasonable to conclude that any facility exceeding 1,200 employees might approach a 10,000-ton threshold.

#### 5.19.01. Light trucks and utility vehicles (NAICS code 336112)

Comprehensive estimates of  $CO_2$  emissions and emissions per employee and facility in light truck and utility vehicle manufacturing were not achieved due to lack of data.

The industry's 97 facilities are either very small (61 facilities with fewer than 50 employees), or very large (35 facilities with more than 1,000 employees). EIA partially withheld the composition of energy use in this industry, not revealing either net-electricity or coal use. Subsequently, it is impossible to estimate non-electricity  $CO_2$  emissions.

Nonetheless, based on the reported industry-wide average energy use per employee, it seems safe to assume that most of the 35 facilities of more than 1,000 employees would exceed the 25,000-ton threshold, while the 61 small facilities would almost certainly not exceed the threshold.

## 5.20. Furniture and related products (NAICS code 337)

Manufacturing of furniture and related products covers 13 sub-categories of industry. EIA reported energy use for this industry as a single group but not individually for any of the sub-categories.

Based on industry-wide average emissions characteristics across all 22,524 such facilities, not a single one would be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 2.6 tons per employee, a facility would have to count 9,616 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. The average emission rate for the corresponding size class is higher, at 5.0 tons per employee, indicating a threshold size of 5,000 employees. The average annual emissions of these large facilities were 8,562 tons in 2002. Therefore is seems likely that few, if any, of these facilities would be affected by a 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $\rm CO_2$  per year would also not affect many facilities. While the average emissions for this size class are below a 10,000-ton threshold, the average annual emissions at the 41 facilities with more than 1,000 employees indicate that a facility would have to have 2,012 employees to be affected. This suggests that it is possible that at least some of the facilities in this category would cross the 10,000-ton threshold.

## 5.21. Miscellaneous (NAICS code 339)

This category covers 24 sub-categories of industry. EIA reported energy use for this industry as a single group but not individually for any of the sub-categories.

Based on industry-wide average emissions characteristics across all 32,598 such facilities, not a single one would be expected to be regulated under a 25,000-ton rule.

At the industry-average  $CO_2$  emission rate of 2.3 tons per employee, a facility would have to count 10,869 employees to cross the 25,000-ton threshold. The average annual emissions of facilities with more than 1,000 employees were 4,324 tons in 2002. It would be reasonable to conclude that few, if any, facilities would exceed a 25,000-ton threshold.

Based on the average emission levels of facilities within each size category, a lower threshold of 10,000 tons  $CO_2$  per year would not result in additional emissions regulation. The average annual emissions at the 48 facilities with more than 1,000 employees (average of 1,617 employees) indicate a threshold of 3,746 employees, suggesting that most of the facilities in this category would not cross the 10,000-ton threshold.

# **Works Cited**

- U.S. Census Bureau. 2005. 2002 Economic Census, Manufacturing. Report #EC02-31SG-1, October 2005.
- U.S. Energy Information Administration. 2006(a). Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States, 2005.
- U.S. Energy Information Administration. 2006(b). Energy-Related Carbon Dioxide Emissions in U.S. Manufacturing. Report # DOE/EIA-0573(2005). http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/ggrpt/pdf/industry\_mecs. pdf (accessed February 2007).
- U.S. Energy Information Administration. 2006(c). Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States 2004. Report # DOE/EIA-0638(2004).
- U.S. Energy Information Administration. 2002. Manufacturing Energy Consumption Survey (MECS). 2002 Data Tables. http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/mecs2002/data02/shelltables.html (accessed February 2007).
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2006. Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990–2004.

West, T.O. and N. Peña. 2003. Determining thresholds for mandatory reporting of greenhouse gas emissions. *Environmental Science and Technology* 37, no. 6 (2003): 1057–1060.

# the Nicholas Institute

The Nicholas Institute for Environmental Policy Solutions at Duke University is a nonpartisan institute founded in 2005 to engage with decision makers in government, the private sector and the nonprofit community to develop innovative proposals that address critical environmental challenges. The Institute seeks to act as an "honest broker" in policy debates by fostering open, ongoing dialogue between stakeholders on all sides of the issues and by providing decision makers with timely and trustworthy policy-relevant analysis based on academic research. The Institute, working in conjunction with the Nicholas School of the Environment, leverages the broad expertise of Duke University as well as public and private partners nationwide.

for more information please contact:

Nicholas Institute for Environmental Policy Solutions
Duke University
Box 90335
Durham, NC 27708
919.613.8709
919.613.8712 fax
nicholasinstitute@nicholas.duke.edu

copyright © 2009 Nicholas Institute for Environmental Policy Solutions