



## Technical Working Group on Agricultural Greenhouse Gases (T-AGG)

# WHAT IS T-AGG?

Lydia Olander, Nicholas Institute, Duke University



*“Agricultural land management practices in the United States have the technical potential to contribute about 230 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e/yr of GHG mitigation by 2030 “*

*-Smith et al., 2008*

# T-AGG PURPOSE

---

Lay the scientific and analytical foundation necessary for building a suite of methodologies for high-quality greenhouse gas (GHG) mitigation for the agricultural sector



Focusing initially in the United States and beginning to assess opportunities and approaches for a similar effort abroad

# PROCESS

Identify  
ag  
practices  
that  
reduce  
GHGs

Assess  
biophysical,  
economic,  
technical and  
social  
feasibility

Assess how  
practice would  
fit in protocol  
framework  
(additionality,  
baseline... etc.)

Develop a  
methodology  
for use in  
carbon  
market

- ✘ Coordinate and complete a transparent and scientifically founded review of GHG mitigation opportunities in the U.S.
- ✘ For the best of these opportunities, conduct the analytical assessments necessary to initiate development of high-integrity methodologies
- ✘ Gather expert and user input
- ✘ Produce technical reports with executive summaries for stakeholders and decision makers
- ✘ Outreach and engagement
- ✘ Similar process for international opportunities

# INTRODUCE COORDINATING TEAM

---

- ✘ *Project Director*  
**Lydia Olander** - Director of Ecosystem Services Program, Nicholas Institute, Duke University
- ✘ *Research Director*  
**Alison Eagle** - Research Scientist, Nicholas Institute, Duke University
- ✘ *Research Advisor*  
**Rob Jackson** - Chair of Global Environmental Change at the Nicholas School and Professor in the Biology Department, Duke University
- ✘ *Research Advisor*  
**Charles Rice** - University Distinguished Professor of Soil Microbiology, Department of Agronomy, Kansas State University
- ✘ *Economic Advisor*  
**Brian Murray** - Director of Economic Analysis at the Nicholas Institute and Research Professor at the Nicholas School, Duke University
- ✘ *International Advisor*  
**Peter McCornick** - Director of Water Policy, Nicholas Institute, Duke University
- ✘ *Others involved in reports:* Justin Baker, Karen Haugen-Koyzra, Neville Millar, Phil Robertson, Lucy Henry, Andrea Martin, John Fay, Ben Parkhurst

# ADVISORY GROUPS

---

## Advisory Board

- ✘ **Elly Baroudy**, The BioCarbon Fund, World Bank (*represented by Neeta Hooda*)
- ✘ **Pradip K. Das**, New Technology Business Applications Director, Monsanto Co.
- ✘ **Ernest Shea**, 25x'25 Project Coordinator
- ✘ **Karen Haugen-Kozyra**, Climate Change Central
- ✘ **Eric Holst**, Environmental Defense Fund and Steering Committee for C-AGG
- ✘ **Bill Irving**, Chief - Program Integration Branch, Climate Change Division, USEPA
- ✘ **Carolyn Olson**, National Leader Climate Change Office of the Deputy Chief SSRA, USDA-NRCS
- ✘ **Keith Paustian**, Professor and Senior Research Scientist, NREL, Colorado State University

## Science Committee

- ✘ **John Antle**, Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics and Economics, Montana State University
- ✘ **Ron Follett**, Supervisory Soil Scientist, Soil and Plant Nutrient Research, USDA ARS
- ✘ **Cesar Izaurralde**, Fellow, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, DOE and Adjunct Professor University of Maryland
- ✘ **Keith Paustian**, Professor and Senior Research Scientist, NREL, Colorado State University
- ✘ **Phil Robertson**, Professor of Ecosystem Science, W.K. Kellogg Biological Station and Department of Crop and Soil Sciences of Michigan State University

# TIMING

---

- ✘ Experts meeting/ domestic drafts *April 2010*
- ✘ Domestic Scoping *Complete early summer 2010*
- ✘ Domestic Assessment(s) *Complete Summer Fall 2010*
- ✘ International Scoping *Initiate fall 2010*
- ✘ International Assessment(s) *Complete Summer 2011*

*End 2011*

# REPORTS

---

## Scoping and Comparison

- ✘ Assess wide range of agricultural and land management practices
- ✘ Identify practices with greatest mitigation potential and viability
- ✘ Net GHG impact, economic and technical feasibility (lit review, economic models, data synthesis, expert input) by practice and geography

## Practice Assessment

- ✘ Added depth on mitigation potential, scientific understanding, co-impacts, economic viability, social and technical barriers
- ✘ Assess data and options for baseline, additionality, leakage, reversal risk, measurement and monitoring.
- ✘ Provide recommendation of either (a) sufficiently well supported and feasible or (b) lacking important information or facing barriers

### Physical and Economic Potential – High/Med/Low?

- Net GHG/ha, total ha available, and over what time frame
- Costs for management shifts (opportunity costs, capital costs, ...)

### Scientific Certainty – High/Med/Low?

- Is information (measurement and modeling) sufficient by practice, crop, and geography?
- Does directional certainty exist for net GHGs?

### Possible Barriers – Addressable?

- Yield decline (affects production elsewhere and economic impact)
- Economic cost – break-even price too high?
- Technical barriers – monitoring, adoption, or production barriers
- Social barriers or negative community or farmer impacts
- Negative ecological impact
- Life cycle analysis – significant negative upstream or downstream GHGs

### Implementation & Accounting Barriers – Addressable?

- Measurement, monitoring and verification – Are there good methods for measuring or modeling GHG outcomes on a project scale? and for verifying projects?
- Additionality – Can it be assessed sufficiently?
- Baseline – Are there viable approaches for setting baseline? Sufficient data?
- Leakage risk – Is there leakage risk (life cycle analysis)? Can it be accounted for?
- Reversal risk – Can risk be estimated? Can it be accounted for? Is it too high?

### Significant Co-benefits?

May consider activity with lower GHG potential if it provides other social, economic or environmental co-benefits

GHG Mitigation Activity			
Cropland Management	CO <sub>2</sub>	Conservation Tillage	
		Crop residue retention	
		Fallow management (i.e., outside of main crop)	
		Shift between annual crops	
		Shift from annual crops to include perennial crops	
		Irrigation Improvements	
		Reduce chemical inputs	
		Management of organic soils	
		Agro-forestry on cropland	
		Application of organic soil amendments	
		Create field buffers (e.g., windbreaks, riparian buffers)	
		N <sub>2</sub> O	Improved nitrogen use efficiency and reduced N fertilizer use
			Irrigation management
		Improved manure application methods	
		Drainage of agricultural lands in humid areas	
	CH <sub>4</sub>	Rice, specifically water management	
		Improved rice cultivars, less methane production and transport	
Grazing Land Management	CO <sub>2</sub>	Improved grazing (pasture) management	
		Fertilization	
		Irrigation management	
		Changing species composition	
		Fire management	
	N <sub>2</sub> O	Improve N use efficiency of fertilizer	
	CH <sub>4</sub>	Feed/grazing animal management	
Land Use Change	CO <sub>2</sub>	Convert cropland to grazing land	
	N <sub>2</sub> O	Convert cropland to natural landscape	
	CH <sub>4</sub>	Restoration of degraded lands	
		Avoid draining wetlands	